



Calhoun: The NPS Institutional Archive

DSpace Repository

Theses and Dissertations

1. Thesis and Dissertation Collection, all items

1986

An analysis of factors affecting the retention of medical officers in the United States Navy.

Whalen, William Patrick.; Mehay, Stephen L.

http://hdl.handle.net/10945/21647

Downloaded from NPS Archive: Calhoun



Calhoun is the Naval Postgraduate School's public access digital repository for research materials and institutional publications created by the NPS community. Calhoun is named for Professor of Mathematics Guy K. Calhoun, NPS's first appointed -- and published -- scholarly author.

> Dudley Knox Library / Naval Postgraduate School 411 Dyer Road / 1 University Circle Monterey, California USA 93943



L TLEY KNOX HERARY
NAV. LECTION OF CHOOL
TO 97.94 C-6002





NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL

Monterey, California



THESIS

AN ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING THE RETENTION OF MEDICAL OFFICERS IN THE UNITED STATES NAVY

by

William P. Whalen
December 1986

Thesis Advisor:

Stephen L. Mehay

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited



SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE											
	REPORT DOCU	MENTATION	PAGE								
1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		16 RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS									
28 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release;									
26 DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE	E		cion is unl								
4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	(5)	5 MONITORING	ORGANIZATION R	EPORT NUMBER(S)						
Naval Postgraduate School	(If applicable) Code 54		onitoring orga cgraduate Sc								
6c ADDRESS (City State, and ZIP Code)		76 ADDRESS (CIT	y, State, and ZIP	Code)							
Monterey, California 93943-500	0	Monterey,	California	93943-500	00						
83 NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	(If applicable)	9 PROCUREMENT	INSTRUMENT ID	ENTIFICATION N	JMBER						
Bc ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10 SOURCE OF F	UNDING NUMBER	ıs							
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO	PROJECT NO	TASK	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO						
AN ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTIN NAVY	G THE RETENTIO	N OF MEDICAL	. OFFICERS	IN THE UNIT	TED STATES						
Whalen, William P.											
Master's Thesis FROM	ERED TO	14 DATE OF REPO 1986 Decem		Day) 15 PAGE 114	COUNT						
6 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION											
FELD GROUP SUB-GROUP	Physician ret regression an	ention, med	ical office	r recruitme							
This thesis examines factor leave the service in FY85 using contained in the Navy Medical O obligated to remain in service. Several regression models i entry were significant in this officers, surgeons, pediatricia found less likely to leave than physicians entering the Navy viwere more likely to leave than through earlier commissioning p leave the service if they receithe regular Navy, had received	s influencing the LOGIT non fficer File, E ndicated that career decisions, OTHER phys hospital-base a the Armed Fo volunteers or rograms. In a ved an increas aviation medic	a Navy physolinear estine ND FY85, and a physician notician specific ician specific dor general rees Health medical offi ddition, physic in militarine training	s specialty ally, executions, and medical or professions were a fectorial were a fectorial constructions where a fectorial classific cla	nique, data oving officy and source utive medical internists of the second seco	cers cers ce of cine s were dimilarly, nip Program Navy cely to						
XUNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED SAME AS RPT 223 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Stephen L. Mehay	DTIC USERS	UNCLASSIF	nclude Area Code								
	edition may be used un	(408) 646	5.00	Code 5							

#19 (Cont'd) graduate, were older, were citizens, had longer lengt	more senior in grade, were h-of-service, or were not el	aliens or naturalized igible to retire.

Approved for public release, distribution is unlimited.

An Analysis of Factors Affecting the Retention of Medical Officers in the United States Navy

ЬУ

William Patrick Whalen
Lieutenant Commander, Medical Service Corps, United States Navy
B.B.A., University of Michigan, 1974
M.H.A., Xavier University, 1976

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN MANAGEMENT

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL December 1986

1,es s 1484295 c.l

ABSTRACT

This thesis examines factors influencing a Navy physician's decision to stay or leave the service in FY85. Data contained in the Navy Medical Officer File, END FY85 were analyzed using the LOGIT nonlinear estimation technique. The sample was restricted to officers who were not obligated to remain in the service.

Several logistic regression models indicated that a physician's specialty and source of entry were significant in this career decision. Specifically, executive medicine officers, surgeons, pediatricians, OTHER physician specialists, and internists were found less likely to leave than hospital-based or general medical officers. Similarly, physicians entering the Navy via the Armed Forces Health Professions Scholarship Program were more likely to leave than volunteers or medical officers who entered the Navy through earlier commissioning programs. In addition, physicians were less likely to leave the service if they received an increase in military pay, were augmented into the regular Navy, had received aviation medicine training, were a foreign medical graduate, were older, were more senior in grade, were aliens or naturalized citizens, had longer length-of-service, or were not eligible to retire.

היים - פרטפ. היים - פרטפ.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTE	RODUCT	ION		•	-	•		•	-		•	•				•		ш		1 1
II.	BACK	(GROUN	ID .					-			-										19
	Α.	PREVI	ous	STU	DIE	S	a												a	a	21
	B.	COST	OF L	EAV	INE	M	ODI	EL									•				24
III.	CHAF	RACTER	ISTI	CS	OF	NA	VAI	L	ME	DΙ	CA	L	OF	FI	CE	RS	ì				30
	Α.	SPECI	ALTY																		30
	B.	STAY	OR L	EAV	E			e												-	31
	C.	GRADE						-		-						•					33
	D.	LENGH	-0F-	SER	VIC	E															35
	E.	ELIGI	BLE	то	RET	IR	E	•			-										38
	F.	AGE				-		-	-	-										-	35
	G.	SOURC	E OF	EN	TRY	,		-						•					tr	-	41
	н.	SUBSP	ECIA	LTY	co	DE	/BI	DA	RD	С	EF	ΤI	FI	CA	TI	01	ļ				44
	I.	ADDIT	IONA	L O	UAL	IF	IC	ΑТ	ΙO	NS	;								zł		46
	J.	REGUL	AR O	R R	ESE	RV	E.	•													47
	к.	FOREI	GN 0	R U	.s.	M	ED	ΙC	AL	S	CH	100	L	GF	AE)UF	TE	Ξ			45
	L.	CITIZ	ENSH	IP					•	•									н		51
	М.	RACE,	GEN	DER				2						a						a	52
	N.	MARIT	AL S	TAT	US		п														55
	0.	OSTEO	PATH				-														56
	ρ.	MILIT	ARY/	CIV	ILI	AN	I	NC	OM	Ε	RA	TI	0								57
IV.	EMP I	RICAL	ANA	LYS	IS																65
	Α.	EXPLA	NATO	RY	VAR	IA	BLI	ES													65

	В.	FROBL	.EMS	UF	ML	JL 1	11	JUL	_11	. NE	.Ah	(1)	Υ	•	•	•		•		•	'	u	60
V.	EMP:	IRICAL	. RES	UL.	TS							u									,		71
	A.	MODEL	ONE		•			•			•			-		-	-	•	•		,	•	71
	B.	MODEL	. TWO		•	•	•	ø	•	•	•			•			-	•				•	76
	C.	MODEL	. THR	EE	•		•			•	•		-	-		u	•	•			,	•	83
VI.	COI	VCLUS 1	ON A	ND	RE	ECC	MMC	ΊΕΝ	ID <i>F</i>	ΙΤέ	10	15		•	•			•			,		89
APPENI	DIX 4		YSIC NGTH											•			a				•		96
APPENI	XIC	3 PH	HYSIC	ΙAI	V 5	SPE	CI	AL	T)	E	łΥ	AG	θE			,	•		•	a		1	Ø Q
APPENI) XIC		RREL NSTR													,	•					1	<u> </u>
LIST (OF RE	EFEREN	ICES	#		•	•			•	a					,		•	•	-		1	1 0
INITI	AL D	ISTRIE	NUTIO	NI	_ I S	т	a									,						1	12

LIST OF TABLES

1.	PERCENTAGE OF SELECTED OFFICER COMMUNITIES				
	WITH GREATER THAN 14 YEARS LENGTH-OF-SERVICE		•	b	15
2.	PHYSICIANS BY SPECIALTY				31
3.	PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY STAY OR LEAVE DECISION	l			33
4.	PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY GRADE	-	2	•	34
5.	STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY GRADE		a	s	35
6.	STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY LENGTH-OF-SERVICE	а	a		37
7.	PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY ELIGIBLE TO RETIRE .				38
8.	STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY ELIGIBLE TO RETIRE				39
9.	STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY AGE	•			40
10.	PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY SOURCE OF ENTRY	•			42
11.	STAY OR LEAVE BY SOURCE OF ENTRY			•	42
12.	STAY OR LEAVE BY SOURCE OF ENTRY WITH BP, ECP, MOSP				43
13.	PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY SUBSPECIALTY CODE (BDCERT)				44
14.	STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY SUBSPECIALTY CODE (BDCERT)		a		45
15.	PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY ADDITIONAL QUALIFICATIONS	a			46
16.	STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY ADDITIONAL QUALIFICATIONS				47
17.	PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY REGULAR OR RESERVE .				48
18.	STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY REGULAR OR RESERVE			æ	49
19.	PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY U.S. OR FOREIGN MEDICAL SCHOOL				50

£1.11 a	MEDICAL SCHOOL		50
21.	PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY CITIZENSHIP		51
22.	STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY CITIZENSHIP	æ	52
23.	PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY RACE		53
24.	PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY GENDER		53
25.	STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY RACE		54
26.	STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY GENDER	a	54
27.	PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY MARITAL STATUS		55
28.	STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY MARITAL STATUS		56
29.	PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY OSTEOPATH	a	57
3Ø.	STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY OSTEOPATH		58
31.	SPECIAL PAY FOR PHYSICIANS		59
32.	FY85 SPECIAL INCENTIVE PAY BY PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY		59
33.	MEDIAN CIVILIAN PHYSICIAN EARNINGS BY SPECIALTY		69
34.	HOSPITAL-BASED PHYSICIANS' CIVILIAN EARNINGS		61
35.	STAYERS AND LEAVERS MILITARY EARNINGS		62
36.	STAYERS AND LEAVERS EXPECTED CIVILIAN EARNINGS .		63
37.	EXPECTED MILITARY-CIVILIAN EARNINGS DIFFERENCES		64
38.	VARIABLES CONSIDERED FOR THE MODELS		66
39.	MISSING VALUES		67
40.	SELECTED CORRELATION PROBLEMS		68
41.	MODEL ONE MEAN OBSERVATIONS		72
42.	MODEL ONE LOGISTIC REGRESSION PROCEDURE		73
43.	MODEL TWO LOGISTIC REGRESSION PROCEDURE		77
44.	ELASTICITY OF SELECTED VARIABLES IN MODEL TWO .		81

45.	MODEL TWO STEPWISE REGRESSION PROCEDURE	82
46.	MODEL THREE LOGISTIC REGRESSION PROCEDURE	84
47.	ELASTICITY OF SELECTED VARIABLES IN MODEL THREE	87
48.	MODEL THREE STEPWISE REGRESSION PROCEDURE	88

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis is the result of the assistance of many individuals who contributed to the research effort and are presently acknowledged for their quidance. Ms. Carol Mullins, Navy Fersonnel Research and Development Center, San Diego and Lieutenant Jim Moody, Navy Liaison, Defense Manpower Data Center, Monterey assisted in the procurement and initial investigation of the Medical Officer File. Ms. Kathy Kocher and particularly Ms. Helen Davis provided continued insight and guidance into the regression models developed. Lieutenant Shane Brannman, Naval Medical Command, Washington, D.C. provided continuous assistance with the data file. Frofessors Stephen Mehay and Kenneth Coffey, Naval Fostgraduate School provided organizational guidance in the development and presentation of this research effort and findings. Additionally, LCDR Margaret Shannon, MC, Naval Hospital Long Beach provided a medical officer's perspective and support throughout the development of this project.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recent discussions within the Department of Defense (DOD) indicate concern that military medicine "can give full treatment to only 35 percent of the casualties they expect in a full-scale conventional war" [Ref. 1]. According to William E. Mayer, Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, military medicine "exists to be able to ... take care of the troops ... and I know at this moment we are not able to do that ... We are now, by God, doing something about it." This "something" to improve medical readiness means "military hospitals will focus on the care needed to sharpen combat skills." This involves the added problem of adjusting "the mix of patients that come into the military treatment facilities so that ... adequate work (can be given) to at least a larger number of surgeons than we have now ... " [Ref. 2]. The care within military medical facilities will "shift gradually toward surgery and other skills relevant to combat, while other types of care such as pediatrics will shift to civilian facilities" [Ref. 1]. "We've got to have a large number of general practice people in my hospitals to take care of the people we have, but I can't have 80 percent of them in general practice" [Ref. 2].

The perceived ability to provide care for only one-third the casualties incurred in a conventional war suggests the need for substantial increases in the number of Navy surgeons and hospital-based physicians (anesthesia, laboratory, x-ray). Surgeons to provide immediate and potential life-saving care and hospital-based medical officers to complete the team effort required to provide this necessary patient care. If strictly interpretted, Assistant Secretary Mayer's figures could suggest a possible tripling of these physician specialties. Given that the number of Navy surgeons and hospital-based medical officers at the end of FY85 was 378 and 433, respectively, this would suggest an increase of 1622 (2 X 811) physicians within these two specialties along with a complementary decline in other types of Medical Department officers in order to maintain authorized personnel ceilings.

Plans to improve the readiness of military medicine with concurrent implications for altering the structure of the Naval Medical Corps to a more surgically-oriented, combat ready force may prove to be neither easily nor promptly accomplished. In fact, no specific personnel objectives apparently now exist to move toward this new military medical readiness system. Although no specific targets appear to have been established, plans do exist to "form a panel of respected experts in medical education to examine military training programs ... to begin meetings

this (FY86) year" [Ref. 2]. Aside from personnel, budgeting 'targets' for medical readiness equipment have increased sharply. DOD's 1986 budget calls for \$500 million, up from \$300 million in 1985, for combat medical facilities [Ref. 3]. This thesis will analyze the factors that affect the retention rates of surgeons and hospital-based physicians. The results indicate that surgeons and hospital-based physicians may be difficult specialties not only to retain, but to increase due to higher expected civilian earnings.

In the past year, this "shift" towards a more surgically-oriented and improved Navy medical system has meant an increase in Navy Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services (CHAMPUS) referrals to civilian medical providers and a redoubling of efforts to simultaneously improve medical readiness and the quality of patient care. Improvements in readiness and quality have been lauded, but the additional costs generated by the referral of eligible beneficiaries to civilian care may prove to be quite expensive for the government and troublesome for beneficiaries. Increases in combat medical readiness can thus be viewed as offset by reductions in available military facility medical care to eligible beneficiaries other than active duty.

Given that the current physician mix is deficient—that is, lacking in sufficient numbers of surgeons and hospital—based medical officers—how does Navy medicine correct

this shortfall? Specifically, how can the Navy Medical Department improve retention and increase the numbers and mix of physicians considered necessary to improve overall operational or combat readiness?

To examine this problem, one should begin with an understanding of factors which are shown to significantly affect retention of all Navy physicians in general, and surgeons/hospital-based physicians in particular. An empirical analysis which attempts to identify and explain factors that influence retention behavior could prove beneficial in formulating policies necessary to maintain the appropriate number and mix of Navy physicians to realize an operationally-ready medical force. In addition, an accurate picture of the status of the Navy medical officer community may suggest alternative methods for improving the force.

Discussed in the context of other officer communities, the retention rate for military physicians is one of the lowest of any specific military community. Although the retention rates of Navy nuclear officers and pilots have been erratic and troublesome over the years, their continuation rates are exemplary when compared to the Navy Medical Corps. Table 1 shows this by comparing the continuation rates for these selected officer populations with those of DOD physicians and all Navy officers. This table is presented to establish a perspective from which the retention

PERCENTAGE OF SELECTED OFFICER COMMUNITIES
WITH GREATER THAN 14 YEAR CONTINUATION RATES (LOS)

COMMUNITY	FY83	FY84	FY85
NAVY PHYSICIANS	13%	13%	13%
DOD PHYSICIANS	12%	13%	13%
NAVY PILOTS	32%	32%	33%
NAVY NUCLEAR	41%	40%	37%
ALL NAVY OFFICERS	3Ø%	32%	32%

SOURCE: OFFICER CONTINUTATION DATA BASE, DEFENSE MANPOWER DATA CENTER (DMDC)

rate for Navy physicians may be evaluated—that is, to suggest more meaning to "13%" than this solitary figure alone might give. The point emphasized is that the percentage of 'career' medical officers, judged here by the percentage of the force with length—of—service greater than 14 years, is comparatively very small. As shown, medical officers exhibit overall career retention characteristics that are approximately one—third of the closely monitored nuclear and pilot communities and 42 percent of all Navy officers (excluding warrant officers). This retention problem appears to be shared by all the services as indicated by the low officer continuation rates for all DOD physicians.

Despite this lower retention rate for medical officers vice Navy pilots and nuclear officers, recent articles appear more concerned about the future manning needs of these non-medical communities. For example, a shortage of 1,100 pilots in the grades of lieutenant and lieutenant commander (in a total community of 12,000) has led to a "major effort for retention" with a proposed increase in Aviation Officer Continuation Pay (AOCP) from \$6,000 per year to \$8,000 per year for six-year contracts [Ref. 4]. Similarly, "Navy manpower officials are looking at the possibility of making special pays for nuclear-trained officers and enlisted persons as one way of reversing falling retention figures, which are becoming a concern" [Ref. 5]. Perhaps an increase in physician pay to increase medical officer retention also needs investigation.

Compounding the problem of maintaining career medical officers is the difficulty of sustaining the proper mix of military physicians. The Medical Officer File, which contains the data upon which this study is based, contains 62 separate classification categories for Navy physicians. Similarly, the Naval Military Personnel Command (NMPC) lists 52 categories of authorized Navy Medical Corps officers. Unfortunately, the unfamiliarity of the author with the NMPC file and its different method of classifying some physicians when compared to the Medical Officer File, which is utilized in this thesis, prevented a desired

comparison of authorized versus actual physician billets. However, this large number of specialties in an active force of nearly 4,000 increases the complexity of retaining not only the appropriate overall number, but the right mix of physicians.

The purpose of this thesis is to formulate an explanatory model with which to examine and identify significant factors affecting Navy physician retention in general, and surgeons/hospital-based medical officers in particular. The model is estimated using a logistic regression procedure with data obtained from the Medical Officer (BUMIS) File, END FY85. The objective of the thesis, in part, is to analyze the relative effects of economic versus other factors in explaining a physician's decision to stay or leave the Navy. This will permit an assessment of those policies that may be more cost-effective in reaching the Navy's goals.

After a brief discussion of related work which similarly analyzed factors related to physician satisfaction and retention in Chapter II, Chapter III identifies the characteristics of the Navy medical officer community at the end of FY85. The primary focus of this chapter will be to document cross-tabulations of these characteristics by physician specialty and by whether the physician remained or left the service. Chapter IV continues this discussion and shows how the explanatory models were constructed.

Chapter V presents the results of several models estimated with the logistic regression procedure. In Chapter VI, several policy recommendations are presented for possibly improving Navy physician retention and the structure or $mi\times$ of this community.

II. BACKROUND

Numerous prior studies and data analyses have examined personnel retention in the military service. The majority of these studies, however, have dealt with enlisted as opposed to officer retention behavior. Even fewer studies have examined the retention of medical officers within DOD, and only a handful of studies in recent years have investigated factors surrounding Navy medical officer decisions to remain in or leave the service. No studies known to the author have exclusively dealt with the recruitment, retention and training of surgeons and hospital-based physicians.

The data for this thesis is the FY85 Medical officer File (MOF) maintained by the Naval Medical Command. The MOF is the physician portion of the larger BUMIS File. The BUMIS File contains military and medical-specific data on all medical staff corps officers (Medical, Medical Service, Nurse, Dental). The Medical Officer File contains general information on the military careers of physicians as well as specific information concerning medical training, specialty, and commissioning program through which the individual entered the service. Each fiscal year's data is reconciled with the Officer Master File maintained

by the Naval Military Personnel Command to ensure accuracy and completeness.

Prior to the reorganization of the Naval Medical Department in 1982, each medical staff corps maintained their own data file. Subsequent to reorganization, these data files became centrally managed. This centralization, together with an increase in computerized management information systems, has dramatically improved the quantity and quality of information available. As shall be noted, an earlier study of FY82 Navy medical officer behavior was severly hampered by missing values of up to 25 percent in many relevant data fields. The same fields examined in the FY85 MOF show less than one percent missing values with only one field greater than four percent.

The FY85 MOF primarily contains information on medical officers who continued, were a loss, or a pending gain to the Naval service. As shall be described in detail later, the data fields were cross referenced to provide a division of officers who were either a non-obligated stayer (N=1072), a leaver (N=492), or obligated to remain in the Navy through FY85 (N=2833). Obligated physicians were eliminated from subsequent regression analysis to form a cohort of "true" stayers and leavers. Unfortunately, reconciliation of the FY86 Medical Officer File with NMPC was completed in November 1986, which was too late to be incorporated into this thesis.

The explanatory model developed in this thesis uses the LOGIT nonlinear estimation technique. This technique was chosen because the dependent variable, the physician's decision to stay or leave the Naval service in FY85, is a binary choice variable. That is, the probability of a physician leaving the Navy in FY85 is restricted to values of either Ø or 1.

The purpose of this binary choice model is to determine the probability that a physician with a given set of attributes, such as income or commissioning program, will make the decision to stay or leave the Navy. The data indicate whether a Navy physician's career decision was to stay or leave the service in FY85. In addition, we know the physician's specialty, commissioning program, age, race, gender, and a number of other personal characteristics that will influence the decision to leave. The LOGIT nonlinear technique estimates the significance of these variables on the physicians' choice to stay or leave the Navy. For example, the LOGIT models developed will indicate how important the officer's medical specialty is in the decision to stay in the military service. [Ref. 6]

A. PREVIOUS STUDIES

Two noteworthy studies utilizing the LOGIT regression estimation technique and medical officer historical data have been performed within the past two years. Daubert's

analysis focused on the retention of volunteer physicians in the U.S. Air Force using historical data from the Air Force Uniformed Officer Record (UOR), FY 1975-FY 1982. Daubert reached several conclusions regarding the retention behavior of volunteer Air Force physicians.

- 1. Young board certified surgeons and obstetricians, both U.S. and foreign-trained, are least likely to be retained under current conditions and are most responsive to an increase in military pay.
- 2.Foreign-trained, hospital-based physicians (radiologists, anesthesiologists, pathologists) without board certification have the highest predicted retention rate.
- 3. Foreign-trained subspecialists and surgeons are retained at a lower rate than U.S.-trained physicians in this group (most of whom are older).
- 4. Volunteer retention increases with the physician's military grade; i.e., given years of training, the Air Force tends to keep older and usually more expensive volunteers. [Ref. 7]

A second LOGIT regression analysis was performed by Mullins who examined the retention behavior of Navy physicians using data obtained from the June 1983 Medical Officer File. Although constrained by deficiencies in the data, particularly missing values in several relevant fields, the following findings were obtained.

- 1.A physician's specialty is a key indicator of the likelihood of staying in the Navy. Psychiatrists are more likely to stay in the Navy than physicians in any other specialty. Estimation results indicate that physicians with a general medicine specialty are the most likely to leave the Navy.
- 2. Medical officer retention also differed across source of entry program. A physician who entered under the Early Commissioning programs or the Berry Plan had a higher probability of leaving the Navy in FY82.

- 3.An officer's location in the career path affects the likelihood that he or she will leave the Navy. Physicians who are eligible to retire and those who are within one year of being free of obligation are more likely to leave the Navy than physicians who are not at either of these decision points.
- 4. Factors that were found to decrease the likelihood of a physician leaving include being a foreign medical school graduate, holding additional medical related qualifications (e.g., flight surgeon qualified), and being a regular Navy officer. [Ref. 8]

Other studies have performed analyses on data obtained from the 1978 DOD Survey of Officers and Enlisted Personnel conducted by the Rand Corporation. These efforts utilize survey data vice actual frequency data and add much to the understanding of physician motivation because the medical officer's intentions and motives are analyzed rather than strictly objective data. A possible shortcoming of these analyses is often the lack of follow-up as to whether a physician, in this case, actually carried out his specified intentions.

Three reports were analyzed to gain insight into the Navy physicians' satisfaction and military commitment. Cain's analysis [Ref. 9] suggested that inadequate pay as compared to civilian opportunities, the frequency of permanent transfers, and negative satisfaction with military life were significant factors in the decision of medical officers to remain on active duty. Menifee [Ref. 10] similarly found that the military-civilian wage comparison was an important factor in the retention of physicians past their initial period of obligation. In addition,

the immediate supervision relationship and retirement benefits were also important to the retention decision.

A third study examined military physician procurement programs. In the FYS5 Navy, approximately 81 percent both the active medical officers and losses entered the service as a volunteer (22 percent) or through the Armed Forces Health Professions Scholarship Program (AFHPSP) (59 percent). In the Air Force, Hosek found that while the "AFHPSP program represents a more stable procurement source than direct recruiting in both numbers and composition ... it is also more expensive" [Ref. 11]. Additionally, this analysis found that FY81 U.S. Air Force AFHPSP physicians, using a five percent discount rate, are 25-30 percent more expensive than volunteers and 40 percent more expensive at an eight percent discount rate. However, if volunteers were to be paid an additional \$15,000-20,000 in annual pay, this cost difference with AFHPSP would be eradicated.

B. COST OF LEAVING MODEL

The purpose of this section is to present and discuss the cost of leaving model as specified by the Center for Naval Analysis (CNA) and frequently relied on by the Navy. Although this thesis has not relied on this particular form of analysis, discussion of the model will highlight several factors relevant to a military physician's decision

to remain within or leave the Naval service not presented elsewhere. This more detailed analysis of pecuniary returns, for example, is not a subject of this thesis while personal characteristics such as commissioning program, gender and citizenship have been included.

Individual choice theory assumes that service members have full information and choose to stay in the Navy if the monetary returns, net of costs, outweigh their distaste for military life [Ref. 12]. Retention forecasts can thus be generated based on a comparison of the present value of the individual's expected civilian and military earnings streams and "taste" for military life. The military physician will remain on active duty if the expected military returns net of civilian returns are positive. The individual's rate of time preference or discount rate is used to calculate the present value of both earnings streams. Higher discount rates represent less valuable future earnings [Ref. 13].

The CNA cost of leaving model, commonly known as the Annualized Cost of Leaving (ACOL) Model is specified as:

$$C(t,n) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n}{j-t} & \frac{M(i)}{j-t} + \frac{W(n) + R(n)}{n-t} \\ \frac{J-t}{j-t} & (1+r) \end{bmatrix} - (W(t) + R(t));$$

where:

C(t,n) = net present value of monetary and non-monetary
 returns of staying in military medicine until
 time "n" as compared with leaving in the current
 period "t".

- M(j) = pecuniary returns to military service from period
 "t" through "n".
- W(n) = lump-sum payment of the present value (in period "n") of the expected after-service civilian wages realized by those staying in the military until "n".
- R(n) = lump-sum payment of the present value (in period "n") of the expected retirement benefits realized by those physicians staying in the military until "n".
- W(t) = present value in year "t" of the expected civilian
 wages realized by those leaving the military
 in year "t".
- R(t) = present value in year "t" of the expected civilian
 retirement payments for those physicians leaving
 the military in year "t".
- physician's individual rate of time preference
 or discount rate.

[Ref. 13]

The first term in the bracket, M(j), is the monetary value of total military pay discounted over time. Although direct military compensation is easily quantifiable, total military compensation includes such factors as state and federal tax advantages and discounts received from military exchanges/commissaries. As specified later, this thesis does not consider these "fringe" benefits in calculating the military pay of physicians due to the difficulty of estimating the equivalent monetary value of in-kind benefits.

The measure of returns realized once the physician stays until year "n", R(n) + W(n), is often defined at the year of retirement (LOS = $2\emptyset$). The expected stream

of retirement pay is calculated and discounted to the year retirement begins. At this point, the sum is again discounted to the current period. As would be expected, even assuming an annual retirement pay for Captain physicians at year $2\emptyset$ in FY85 of \$22,638, the projection of future retirement increases $(\overline{R}(n))$ and the discount rate chosen, involve speculative assumptions about the future status of retirement benefits, inflation, and a physician's time preference for money. The same can be said of retired military physician earnings $(\overline{W}(n))$ in addition to the fundamental difficulties of projecting whether he will even remain within medicine and to what extent. These difficulties in ascertaining the military retirement pay stream would be similar to the problems of calculating expected physician retirement flows in the private sector.

The opportunity cost foregone if the military physician remains in the military, W(t) + R(t), composes the final term in the equation and represents expected civilian wages and retirment payments. As we shall see, civilian wages in this thesis are defined as median practice net or average "take-home" pay. This fiftieth percentile ignores the time value of human capital, start-up costs of establishing a practice, and work locaton. Specifically, urban physicians tend to have higher earnings than rural physicians which can again be differentiated by national geographic region. In addition, incorporated physicians

net substantially more than their nonincorporated counterparts.

The civilian-military wage differential alone does little to explain some of the difficulties encountered by physicians in the private sector. Some of the major influences on the enlisted retention decision appear equally applicable to Navy physicians: low pay, geographic instability, petty regulations, and lack of recognition [Ref. 13]. The civilian sector, however, faces a different set of difficulties such as too many competing colleagues (441,000 non-military M.D.s and D.O.s), falling patient visit rates (down 21 percent between 1975 and 1985), the spread of contract medicine, tough reimbursement rules, increasing malpractice premiums (up 31 percent in 1985), and other difficulties faced in the management of a business [Ref. 14].

Aside from the problems of estimating both military and civilian earnings streams, the ACOL model does little to estimate the nonmonetary and difficult-to-quantify personal "taste" factors influencing a physician's decision to stay or leave the Navy. An example of these are policy alternatives which may generate important psychological factors which can influence a Navy physician's decision to stay or leave the military. Specifically, improvements in quality assurance programs with their accompanying increased documentation requirements, continued emphasis on medical readiness with its complementary field medicine

operations, and the ramifications of increasing physician tours aboard ships from one to two years may significantly affect a physician's taste for military life [Ref. 12]. Complicating the effect of these policy alternatives is the divergent effect one policy can have on different physicians.

To improve the theoretical model previously specified, an unobserved military taste factor must be added to the model. This taste factor is positive (may reflect job security or sense of belonging to a community) if one prefers military to civilian life, and negative if the physician has a "distaste" for military service (may reflect poor duty stations or resource shortages). Specification of taste factors in a model using the statistical methodology found in the LOGIT estimation technique, for example, and accurate measurement of the behavioral variables remains difficult and may hinder the significance and usefulness of any findings or conclusions attributed to them. Thus, this empirical task was not attempted in this thesis.

III. CHARACTERISTICS OF NAVAL MEDICAL OFFICERS

To provide a framework for better understanding retention within the Navy physician population and particularly surgeons and hospital-based doctors, all medical officers. including those who left the service in FY85 $(N=492)_{*}$ were categorized according to individual characteristics. The variables chosen are also delineated by whether the individual decided to stay or leave (DELCD2) the Naval service in FY85. Providing a frequency analysis of each factor by physician specialty followed by a second evaluation of the variable by DELCD2 gives the reader a reference an overview of the data prior to estimation of the LOGIT models. Missing values, as shall be explained in detail shortly, are primarily the result of a physician having an obligation to remain in the Navy through FY85.

A. SPECIALTY

The 62 physician specialties referred to earlier were combined in this study to produce nine relatively homogeneous categories with a sufficient number of observations to accommodate analysis. Table 2 illustrates the distribution of doctors by specialty. GMOs comprise the largest category, but this can be misleading since medical students currently in training are also found within this category.

TABLE 2
PHYSICIANS BY SPECIALTY

XSUBSPI SUBSPECIALTY ONE

		ABSOLUTE		AOJUSTED FREQ	CUM
CATEGORY LABEL	C00E			(PCT)	(PCT)
EXEC	1.	168	3.8	3.8	3.8
GM O	2.	1490	33.5	33.9	37.7
SURG	3.	408	9.2	9.3	47.0
OSGYN	4.	208	4.7	4.7	51.7
INTMED	5.	426	9.6	9.7	61.4
PEDS	6.	240	5.4	5.5	66.9
FAMPR	7.	305	6.9	6.9	73.8
HOSP8	8.	499	11.2	11.4	85.2
OTHER	9.	651	14.6	14.8	100.0
MISSING	-9.	52	1.2	M1SS1NG	100.0
	TOTAL	4447	100.0	100.0	

VALID CASES 4395 MISSING CASES 52

Note:

EXEC is executive/managerial medical officer
GMO is a general medical officer
SURG is a surgeon
OBGYN is an obstetrician/gynecologist
INTMED is an internal medicine specialist
PEDS is a pediatrician
FAMPR is a family practitioner
HOSPB is a radiologist, anesthesiologist, pathologist
OTHER is all other physicians

B. STAY OR LEAVE

The decision to remain or leave the Naval service would be impossible to accurately analyze without some

method of determining those physicians serving on active duty under obligation. Removing obligated physicians from the sample leaves a cohort that was free to depart the service or remain on active duty in FY85. The majority of obligated service is the result of Navy-sponsored education programs such as medical school, internship, residency or fellowship training. Specifically, a physician was considered obligated and omitted from further analysis if he or she fell into the following groups:

- 1. Pending admission to the Naval Medical Corps.
- 2.Classified as obligated to serve or with a minimum service requirement past September 1985.
- 3.Completed internship subsequent to September 1984.
- 4. Entered the Navy subsequent to September 1983.
- 5. Completed residency subsequent to September 1983.
- 6.Classified as 'in training'.
- 7.An intern.
- 8.A graduate of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS).
- 9.Commissioned through the Armed Forces Health Professions Scholarship Program and had completed internship subsequent to September 1983. [Ref. 15]

Table 3 shows the number of non-obligated physicians who left the Naval service by specialty. When compared with Table 2, nearly 75 percent of all physicians remaining on active duty during FY85 were obligated (N=2833). Of the remaining 1525 who could have left the service, approximately 30 percent did leave. The highest percentage of

TABLE 3
PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY STAY OR LEAVE DECISION

	COUNT	I																			
	ROW PCT	IE	XEC	(GMO		SURG		OBGYN		INTMED	-	PEDS		FAMPR		HOSPB	(THER		ROW
	COL PCT	I																			TOTAL
	TOT PCT	-		. 1		. I		-				. 1		6 . I		1.		.1			
DELCDZ		- I -		- 1 -		- 1		1		- 1 -		-1-		I		- I -		- I -		-1	
	0.	1	136	1	190	I	94	I	36	1	121	I	102	1	60	I	103	I	216	1	1058
STAY		1	12.9	I	18.0	I	8.9	1	3.4	1	11.4	I	9.6	1	5.7	1	9.7	1	20.4	1	69.4
		1	86.6	I	57.9	I	75.8	1	51.4	ľ	69.5	1	81.0	I	58.3	1	60.9	1	78.8	1	
		1	••/			I			2.4										14.2		
	-	- 1 -		-1-	-)	- I -		I-		1-		- I-		1		-1-		-1-		- I	
	1.	I	21	I	138	I	30	I	34	1	5 3	1	24	I	43	I	66	1	58	1	467
LEAVE		I	4.5	I	29.6	I	6.4	I	7.3	1	11.3	I	5.1	I	9.2	I	14.1	1	12.4	I	30.6
		I	13.4	I	42.1	I	24.2	I	48.6	Ī	30.5	I	19.0	1	41.7	I	39.1	1	21.2	I	
		1	1.4	-		I						-	1.6	-			4.3				
		· I		- 1 -		- I -		I-		I -		- 1 -		I		-1-		- 1 -		- I	
	COLUMN		157		358		124		70		174		126		103		169		274		1525
	TOTAL		10.3		21.5		8.1		4.6		11.4		8.3		6.8		11.1		18.0		100.0

leavers was among OBGYN (49%), GMO (42%), FAMPR (42%), and HOSPB (39%). Those specialties less likely to leave were EXEC (13%) and PEDS (19%).

C. GRADE

Fhysicians receive credit for purposes of promotion for time spent in medical school (4 years), an internship (1 year), residency training (year-for-year), and even civilian practice (1/2 year for each year). Since 4 years is given initially for the completion of medical school, no physician falls below the rank of lieutenant (0-3). The total creditable service cannot exceed 14 years. Those entering service with 10 to 14 years of creditable service are lieutenant commanders (0-4). Table 4 provides a force structure matrix of the physician population by

grade and physician specialty. As anticipated, the most senior medical officers are EXEC (83% CAPT or above) while the most junior officers are GMO (81% LT) with the median officer being a Lieutenant Commander. Upon removing obligated officers from this cohort in Table 5, however, the median officer who chose to remain on active duty is now

TABLE 4
PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY GRADE

	COUNT	I									
	ROW PCT		GMO	SURG	OBGYN	INTMED	PEDS	FAMPR	HOSP8	OTHER	ROM
	COL PCT										TOTAL
	TOT PCT	-	1.I 2.								
GRADE		-	I	•	-	-	•	•	•	•	-
	3.			I 95							I 1935
LT		I 0.0	I 62.5				1 3.3		I 7.9	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	I 44.0
		1 0.0					-		1 30.5		I
		1 0.0		I 2.2				••	1 3.5	•	I
		-	I	-	-	•	•	•	-	•	-
LCDR	4.	I 6			-				I 221		I I412
LCDR									I IS.7		1 32.1
									1 44.3		I
		I 0.I	I 4.4	I 4.3					I 5.0		I
	5.	•		•	•	•	•	•	I I 84	•	I 581
CDR	٠.	I 3.8						-			
CDR		I 13.1			•				I 14.5 I 16.8		I 13.2
		I 0.5									
	_				I 0.5			I 0.8	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1 3.1 I	1
	6.	-	_	I 59	-	_	_	-	I 42	•	1 I 449
CAPT	٠.	I 27.8	I 5.1					•	I 9.4		I 10.2
CAPI		I 74.4		I 14.5				-	I 8.4	- '	1 10.2
		I 2.8		I 14.5					I 1.0		I
	_		I							:	-
	7.			I 0	-	-	-	-	I 0	•	1 4
RADML	′•	I 100.0	-	1 0.0	-			-	I 0.0		I 0.1
1000		I 2.4	-	I 0.0					I 0.0		1
		I 0.1		I 0.0				I 0.0			ı
	_	· I									-
	8.	I 10	-	I 0	•		•	-	- I 0	-	I 11
RADMU		I 90.9	-		I 0.0				I 0.0		I 0.3
		I 6.0		I 0.0					I 0.0		I
		I 0.2		I 0.0				I 0.0			ī
			I								
	9.	I 1	I 0	I 0	I 0	- I 0	- I 0	1 0	. 0	I 0	1 1
VADM		I 100.0	•		1 0.0				1 0.0		I 0.0
		I 0.6	I 0.0	I 0.0	1 0.0		I 0.0	I 0.0	1 0.0	I 0.0	I
		I 0.0	I 0.0	_	-						I
	-		I								I
	COLUMN	168	1489	408	207	426	240	3 0 5	499	651	4393
	TOTAL	3.8									

NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 54

a Commander with the highest losses experienced by lieutenants (75%).

D. LENGTH-OF-SERVICE (LOS)

An officer's rank and commissioned length-of-service are generally closely correlated. As previously noted, physicians can be an exception due to creditable service time given for medical training and any civilian practice. Length-of-service is particularly meaningful, independent of grade, in measuring a physician's military experience. Appendix A displays each physician specialty by length-of-service. The calculations made at the end of this table are provided to show the percentage of medical officers who are beyond fourteen years of service and considered, for the purposes of this study, to be 'career' officers.

TABLE 5
STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY GRADE

	COUNT	Г	1															
	ROW PO	T	ILT			LCOR		COR		CAPT		RAOML		RAOMU		VAOM		ROW
	COL PO	T	I															TOTAL
	TOT PO	T	I		3.1		4.1		5.1		6.1		7.1	,	B.I		. 1	
OELCD2			1		1		1		1									
				41						365			I		1		1	1072
STAY			i	3.8						34.0					-	_	-	
			I:												_		_	00.5
			-	25.5		53.9			_	87.1			_		I	100.0	I	
			I	2.6	1	17.2	I	24.7	I	23.3	I	0.2	I	0.4	1	0.1	I	
		- 3	I		I		I		1		I		I		I		-1	
	1.	. :	I	120	I	230	1	82	1	54	I	1	I	5	I	0	1	492
LEAVE		1	1 :	24.4	I	46.7	1	16.7	I	11.0	1	0.2	1	1.0	1	0.0	I	31.5
				74.5	1	46.1	1	17.5	I	12.9	1	25.0	I	45.5	I	0.0	1	
		1	I	7.7	I	14.7	1	5.2	I	3.5	I	0.1	I	0.3	I	0.0	1	
		- 1	I		I		1		1		I		1		I		-1	
	COLUMN	1		161		499		469		419		4		11		1		1564
	TOTAL			10.3		31.9		30.0		26.8		0.3		0.7		0.1		100.0

NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS # 2883

Closer examination of 'career' specialists also notes several significant differences from the DOD FY85 overall continuation rate of 13 percent noted earlier. Executive medicine officers (71%) can be assumed to have attained their managerial positions later in their Naval service. The GMO's very low career percentage of two percent may indicate a strong preference for leaving the service upon completion of obligated service if further residency or specialist training is not obtained. Family practice residency training is a relatively new specialty and this suggests the reason for the low percentage (6%) of these physicians in this specialty remaining past 14 years of service. 'Career' surgeons very closely approximate the overall continuation rate for all Navy physicians.

Table & further separates this cohort into physicians remaining in service and those who were a loss to the Navy in FY85. As would be anticipated, obligated service is prominent through the first 10 years of service. Losses are also relatively constant through the first 10 years of service, drop to about 10 physicians per year until year 15, become almost nonexistent until year 20 and then rise as the physician becomes eligible to retire. The peak losses for the active, non-obligated physician cohort appears to occur at the seventh and eighth year of service suggesting the time when AFHPSP physicians have completed medical school, internship and obligated service time.

TABLE 6
STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY LENGTH-OF-SERVICE

	COUNT	1										
	ROM PCT											ROW
	TOT PCT		2.1	3.:	1 4.:		. ,					TOTAL
DELCD2										I 9. I I I		
	0.	1 0 1	2 1	32	50							
STAY	1	-										I 68.7
	1	I 0.0 I I 0.0 1					54.4 1 3.1 1			I 69.5 I 1 4.7 I		I
										1 4.7 I II		
	I. 1											
LEAVE	1			10.8 1	7.6	9.0	8.4 1	9.0 1	9.8	1 6.5 I	3.7	I 31.3
		100.0 I					45.6 I					1
	1 -1									I 2.1 I 1I		-
	COUNT I		·	•	•	•						•
	ROW PCT I											ROH
	COL PCT I											TOTAL
DELCD2	TOT PCT I									[19.I [I		
DECODE	0. I											
STAY	1	5.6 I	2.6 1	4.9 I	6.0 1	4.8 1	4.8 I	4.6 I	3.5 1	4.2 1	2.7	1 68.7
	1	82.2 I	71.8 I	86.9 I	82.1	94.4	92.7 I	98.0 1	97.4	95.7 I	72.5	I
	I		-		-							
	- I I. I	•	_	•	_		-			II I 2 I		
LEAVE												
		17.8 I			17.9 I						27.5	
	1	0.8 1	0.7 I	0.5	0.9 1	0.2 1	0.3 1	0.1 1	0.1 1	1 0.1 1	0.7	I
	-		1	I	I	1	I	I	1	[[-		I
	COUNT I											
												ROM
	ROW PCT I											ROH
	COL PCT I	21.1	22.1									TOTAL
DELCD2	COL PCT I	21.1						1	1	11		TOTAL I
DELCD2	COL PCT I TOT PCT I 1	21.I I 22 I	I 15 I	1 15 I	11 1	 11	10 1	14 1	:1 : 7 1	1I 1 4 I	 5	TOTAL I I I 1071
DELCD2 STAY	COL PCT I	21.I I 22 I 2.1 I	15 I	15 I	11 1	11 1	10 1 0.9 1	14 I	7 1	1I 1 4 I I 0.4 I	5 0.5	TOTAL I I I 1071 I 68.7
	COL PCT I	21.I 	15 I 1.4 I 71.4 I	15 I 1.4 I 75.0 I	11 1 1.0 1 91.7 1	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 1 0.9 1 76.9 1	14 1 1.3 1 73.7 1	7 1 0.7 1 70.0 1	1I 1 4 I I 0.4 I 1 66.7 I	5 0.5 83.3	TOTAL I I I I 1071 I 68.7
	COL PCT I TOT PCT I 1 0. I	21.I I 22 I 2.1 I 61.1 I	15 I 1.4 I 71.4 I I.0 I	15 I 1.4 I 75.0 I	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 1 0.9 1 76.9 1	14 1 1.3 1 73.7 1	7 1 7 0.7 1 7 70.0 1	1I 1	5 0.5 83.3 0.3	TOTAL I I I 1071 I 68.7 I
	COL PCT I TOT PCT I 1 0. I	21.I 21.I 22.I 22.I 21.I 61.1 I 1.4 1	15 I 1.4 I 71.4 I I.0 I	15 I 1.4 I 75.0 I 1.0 I	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 1 0.9 1 76.9 1	14 1 1.3 1 73.7 1 0.9 1	7 1 70.0 1 70.4 1	1I 1	5 0.5 83.3 0.3	TOTAL I I I I 1071 I 68.7 I I I I
	COL PCT I TOT PCT I 0. 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	21.I 21.I 22.I 22.I 21.I 61.1 I 1.4 1	15 I 1.4 I 71.4 I 1.0 I	15 I 1.4 I 75.0 I 1.0 I	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 1 1 0.9 1 76.9 1 0.6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	14 1 1.3 1 73.7 1 0.9 1 1 5 1 1 1.0 1	7 1 7 0.0 1 7 0.4 1 1 0.4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1I 1	5 0.5 83.3 0.3	TOTAL I I I I 1071 I 68.7 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
STAY	COL PCT I TOT PCT I O. I I 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	21. I 22. I 22. I 21. I 61.1 I 1.4 1 1.4 1 1	15 II 1.4 II 71.4 II 1.0 II	15 I 1.4 I 75.0 I 1.0 I	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	14 1 1.3 1 73.7 1 0.9 1 11 5 1 1.0 1 1 26.3 :	7 1 7 0 0 7 1 7 0 0 0 1 0 0 4 1 7 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1I 1	5 0.5 83.3 0.3 1 0.2	TOTAL I I I 1071 I 68.7 I I 1 1071 I 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
STAY	COL PCT I TOT PCT I O. I I 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	21. I 22. I 22. I 32. I 34. I	15 I 1.4 I 71.4 I I.0 II 6 I 1.2 I 28.6 I	75.0 1 1.0 1 1.0 1 1 1.0 1 1 1.0 1 1 1.0 1 1 1.0 1 1 1 1	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	14 1 1.3 1 73.7 1 0.9 1 1 1 5 1 1.0 1 26.3 1 0.3 1	7 1 0.7 1 70.0 1 0.4 1 1 0.6 1 30.0 1 0.2	1 I 1	5 0.5 83.3 0.3 	TOTAL I I I 1071 I 68.7 I I 131.3 I
STAY	COL PCT I TOT PCT I 0. I 1 1. I 1. I 2 COUNT -	21. I 21. I 22. I 22. I 1 23.	15 I 1.4 I 71.4 I I.0 II 6 I 1.2 I 28.6 I	75.0 1 1.0 1 1.0 1 1 1.0 1 1 1.0 1 1 1.0 1 1 1.0 1 1 1 1	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	14 1 1.3 1 73.7 1 0.9 1 1 1 5 1 1.0 1 26.3 1 0.3 1	7 1 0.7 1 70.0 1 0.4 1 1 0.6 1 30.0 1 0.2	1I 1	5 0.5 83.3 0.3 	TOTAL I I I 1071 I 68.7 I I 131.3 I
STAY	COL PCT I TOT PCT I O. I I I I I COUNT ROW PCT I	21. I	15 I 1.4 I 71.4 I I.0 II 6 I 1.2 I 28.6 I	75.0 1 1.0 1 1.0 1 1 1.0 1 1 1.0 1 1 1.0 1 1 1.0 1 1 1 1	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	14 1 1.3 1 73.7 1 0.9 1 1 1.0 1 1 1.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	7 1 0.7 1 70.0 1 0.4 1 0.6 1 30.0 1 0.2 I	1 I 1	5 0.5 83.3 0.3 	TOTAL I I I I 1071 I 68.7 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
STAY	COL PCT I TOT PCT I 0. I 1. I 1. I 1. I ROW PCT I COL PCT I TOT PCT I	21.III 22 I 22.I I 21.I 61.1 I 1.4 I 1.4 I 1.4 I 1.5 I 1.7 I 1.7 I 1.8 I 1.9	15 I 1.4 I 71.4 I I .0 I	15 I 1.4 I 75.0 I 1.0 I	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	14 1 1 3 1 7 3 7 7 1 7 3 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7	7 1 7 0 0 1 7 0 0 1 0 0 4 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1	1 I 1	5 0.5 83.3 0.3 	TOTAL I I I 1071 I 68.7 I I I 131.3 I 1 1560
STAY	COL PCT I TOT PCT I 0. I 1. I 1. I 1. I ROW PCT I COL PCT I TOT PCT I	21.III 22 I 22.I I 21.I 61.1 I 1.4 I 1.4 I 1.4 I 1.5 I 1.7 I 1.7 I 1.8 I 1.9	15 I 1.4 I 71.4 I I .0 I	15 I 1.4 I 75.0 I 1.0 I	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	14 1 1 3 1 7 3 7 7 1 7 3 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7	7 1 7 0 0 1 7 0 0 1 0 0 4 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1	1 I 1	5 0.5 83.3 0.3 	TOTAL I I I 1071 I 68.7 I I I 131.3 I 1 1560
STAY	COL PCT I TOT PCT I 0. I 1. I 1. I 1. I 1. I 1. I 1. I 1. I	21.I	15 II 1.4 II 71.4 II I.0 II	15 I 1.4 I 75.0 I 1.0 I	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	14 1 1 3 1 7 3 7 7 1 7 3 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7	7 1 7 0 0 1 7 0 0 1 0 0 4 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1	1 I 1	5 0.5 83.3 0.3 	TOTAL I I I 1071 I 68.7 I I I 131.3 I 1 1560
STAY	COL PCT I TOT PCT I 0. I 1. I 1. I COUNT I ROW PCT I TOT PCT I TOT PCT I	21.I	15 II 1.4 II 71.4 II I.0 II 6 II 1.2 II 28.6 II 28.6 II	15 II 1.4 II 75.0 II 1.0 II 1.0 II 25.0 II 25.	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	14 1 1 3 1 7 3 7 7 1 7 3 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7	7 1 7 0 0 1 7 0 0 1 0 0 4 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1	1 I 1	5 0.5 83.3 0.3 	TOTAL I I I 1071 I 68.7 I I I 131.3 I 1 1560
STAY LEAVE DELCD2	COL PCT I TOT PCT I 0. I 1. I 1. I COUNT I ROM PCT I COL PCT I TOT PCT I	21. I	15 II 1.4 II 71.4 II 1.0 II 1.2 II 28.6 II 0.4 II	15 II 1.4 II 75.0 II 1.0 II 1.	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 68.7	14 1 1 3 1 7 3 7 7 1 7 3 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7	7 1 7 0 0 1 7 0 0 1 0 0 4 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1	1 I 1	5 0.5 83.3 0.3 	TOTAL I I I 1071 I 68.7 I I I 131.3 I 1 1560
STAY LEAVE DELCD2	COL PCT I TOT PCT I O. I I I I I I COUNT I ROW PCT I COL PCT I TOT PCT I O. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	21. I	15 II 1.4 II 71.4 II 1.0 II 1.2 II 28.6 II 0.4 II	15 II 1.4 II 75.0 II 1.0 II 25.0 II 1.0 II 25.0 II 1.0 II 25.0 II 1.0 II	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	14 1 1 3 1 7 3 7 7 1 7 3 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7	7 1 7 0 0 1 7 0 0 1 0 0 4 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1	1 I 1	5 0.5 83.3 0.3 	TOTAL I I I 1071 I 68.7 I I I 131.3 I 1 1560
STAY LEAVE DELCD2	COL PCT I TOT PCT I 0. I 1. I 1. I COUNT I ROW PCT I COL PCT I TOT PCT I 0. I 1. I 1. I 1. I 2. I 3. I 4. I 4. I 5. I 6. I 7. I 8. I 9. I 10. I	21. I	15 II 1.4 II 71.4 II 1.0 II 6 II 1.2 II 28.6 II 0.4 II	15 II 1.4 II 75.0 II 1.0 II 25.0 II 25.0 II 33.1 1.0 II 25.0 II 0.0 II 0.0 II 0.0 II 1.0 II 1	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ROW TOTAL II 1071 I 68.7 II 1 489	14 1 1 3 1 7 3 7 7 1 7 3 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7	7 1 7 0 0 1 7 0 0 1 0 0 4 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1	1 I 1	5 0.5 83.3 0.3 	TOTAL I I I 1071 I 68.7 I I I 131.3 I 1 1560
STAY LEAVE DELCD2	COL PCT I TOT PCT I 0. I 1. I 1. I COUNT I ROM PCT I TOT PCT I 0. I 1. I	21. I	15 I 1.4 I 71.4 I I .0 I	15 II 1.4 II 75.0 II 1.0 II 25.0 II 1.0 II 25.0 II 1.0 II 25.0 II 1.0 II	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ROW TOTAL I I 1071 I 68.7 I I 1 489 I 51.3	14 1 1 3 1 7 3 7 7 1 7 3 7 1 7 3 7 1 1 1 1	7 1 7 0 0 1 7 0 0 1 0 0 4 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1	1 I 1	5 0.5 83.3 0.3 	TOTAL I I I 1071 I 68.7 I I I 131.3 I 1 1560
LEAVE DELCD2 STAY	COL PCT I TOT PCT I O. I I I I I I COUNT I ROW PCT I TOT PCT I TOT PCT I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	21. I 22. I 22. I 23. I 61.1 I 1.4 I 2.9 I 38.9 I 38.9 I 38.9 I 1.1 I 1.	15 I 1.4 I 71.4 I I .0 I	15 II 1.4 II 75.0 II 1.0 II 25.0 II 1.0 II 25.0 II 0.0 II	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ROW TOTAL I I 1071 I 68.7 I 1 489 I 31.3 I	14 1 1 3 1 7 3 7 7 1 7 3 7 1 7 3 7 1 1 1 1	7 1 7 0 0 1 7 0 0 1 0 0 4 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1	1 I 1	5 0.5 83.3 0.3 	TOTAL I I I 1071 I 68.7 I I I 131.3 I 1 1560
LEAVE DELCD2 STAY	COL PCT I TOT PCT I 0. I 1. I 1. I COUNT I ROW PCT I COL PCT I TOT PCT I 1.	21. I	15 II 1.4 II 71.4 II 1.0 II 6 II 1.2 II 28.6 II 1.0	15 II 1.4 II 75.0 II 1.0 II 1.0 II 25.0 II 26.0 II 27.0 II 27.	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ROW TOTAL I I 1071 I 68.7 I I 489 I 51.3 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	14 1 1 3 1 7 3 7 7 1 7 3 7 1 7 3 7 1 1 1 1	7 1 7 0 0 1 7 0 0 1 0 0 4 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1	1 I 1	5 0.5 83.3 0.3 	TOTAL I I I 1071 I 68.7 I I I 131.3 I 1 1560
LEAVE DELCD2 STAY	COL PCT I TOT PCT I 0. I 1. I 1. I COUNT I ROW PCT I COL PCT I TOT PCT I 1. I	21. I	15 II 1.4 II 71.4 II 1.0 II 1.2 II 28.6 II 28.6 II 0.4 II 1.0 II	15 II 1.4 II 75.0 II 1.0 II 1.0 II 25.0 II 25.0 II 1.0 II	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ROW TOTAL I I 1071 I 68.7 I I 489 I 51.3 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	14 1 1 3 1 7 3 7 7 1 7 3 7 1 7 3 7 1 1 1 1	7 1 7 0 0 1 7 0 0 1 0 0 4 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1	1 I 1	5 0.5 83.3 0.3 	TOTAL I I I 1071 I 68.7 I I I 131.3 I 1 1560
LEAVE DELCD2 STAY	COL PCT I TOT PCT I O. I I I I I COUNT TOT PCT I COUNT TOT PCT I O. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	21. I	15 II 1.4 II 71.4 II 1.0 II 1.2 II 28.6 II 28.6 II 0.4 II 1.0 II	15 II 1.4 II 75.0 II 1.0 II 1.0 II 25.0 II 26.0 II 27.0 II 27.	11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ROW TOTAL I I 1071 I 68.7 I I 489 I 51.3 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	14 1 1 3 1 7 3 7 7 1 7 3 7 1 7 3 7 1 1 1 1	7 1 7 0 0 1 7 0 0 1 0 0 4 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1	1 I 1	5 0.5 83.3 0.3 	TOTAL I I I 1071 I 68.7 I I I 131.3 I 1 1560

TABLE 7
PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY ELIGIBLE TO RETIRE

	ROH COL	JNT PCT PCT	I E			GMO		SURG			8GYN		INTMED		PEDS		FAMPR		HOSP8		OTHER		ROW TOTAL
51.057		PCT	-	_	. I		2.1		3.		•			5 . I		6 . I		7.1		3 . I		. I	
ELRET																				I		- I	
		0.	-	100		1473				I	193					I	302	I	480	I	613	I	4185
NOTELRET			I		I		1	9.	2	I	4.6	I	9.7	I	5.5	I	7.2	I	11.5	I	14.6	I	95.6
			I	59.5	I	99.4	1	94.	8	I	92.8	I	95.5	I	96.7	I	100.0	I	96.8	I	94.5	I	
			I	2.3	I	33.7	1	8.	8	I	4.4	I	9.3	I	5.3	I	6.9	I	11.0	I	14.0	I	
		-	I-		- I		1	[I		I		I		1		I		I		- I	
		1.	I	68	I	9	1	1 2	I	I	15	I	19	I	8	I	0	I	16	I	36	I	192
ELRET			I	35.4	I	4.7	1	10.	9	I	7.8	I	9.9	I	4.2	I	0.0	I	8.3	I	18.8	I	4.4
			1	40.5	I	0.6	1	5.	2	I	7.2	I	4.5	I	3.3	I	0.0	I	3.2	I	5.5	I	
			I	1.6	I	0.2	1	٥.	5	I	0.3	I	0.4	1	0.2	I	0.0	I	0.4	I	0.8	I	
		-	I		- I		1			I		I		I		I		I		- I -		- I	
	COLU	IMN		168		1482		40	7		208		425		240		302		496		649		4377
	TOT	AL		3.8		33.9		9.	3		4.8		9.7		5.5		6.9		11.3		14.8		100.0

E. ELIGIBLE TO RETIRE (ELRET)

A different perspective with which to view length-ofservice is whether an officer is eligible to retire or
not. Active Duty Base Date (ADBD) was used to make this
determination as it was for length-of-service. Active
duty base date contained 281 missing values and was augmented
by data from the following data fields: Active Commission
Base Date (ACBD), Professional Service Date (PSD), Date
of First Naval Commission (DFNC), Health Professional
Fay Entry Date (HPPED), and Pay Entry Base Date (PEBD),
to form a new variable with 18 missing values. Table
7 separates by specialty those medical officers who have
served twenty years and are eligible to retire from those
physicians who have not. As previously stated, medical
officers who have become administrators are likely to

TABLE 8

STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY ELIGIBLE TO RETIRE

	COUNT	1					
	ROW PCT	r I	NOTELRE	Τ 8	LRET		RON
	COL PCT	1					TOTAL
	TOT PCT	1	c	.1	1	. 1	
DELCD2		-1-		-1-		-1	
	0.	I	937	I	134	1	1071
STAY		I	87.5	I	12.5	I	68.7
		I	68.3	1	70.9	I	
		1	60.1	I	8.6	I	
		-1-		-1-		-1	
	1.	1	434	1	55	1	489
LEAVE		I	88.8	I	11.2	1	31.3
		1	31.7	I	29.1	I	
		I	27.8	I	3.5	1	
		-1-		-1-		- 1	
	COLUMN		1371		189		1560
	TOTAL		87.9		12.1		100.0

accomplish this transition later in their military careers. Table 8 shows that 29 percent of those officers eligible to retire in FY85 did so, but this was slightly fewer leavers than among those officers who were not eligible to retire (32%). Finally, combining data from the previous two tables shows that approximately three percent of all remaining physicians in FY85 were eligible to retire.

F. AGE

Complementing GRADE, Length-of-Service and ELRET is a physician's age. Appendix B shows a physician's age by specialty and again indicates that GMOs tend to be younger medical officers while EXECs tend to be more mature. A surgeons median age is 35. While the median age for all physicians is 33, Table 9 shows the median age for

TABLE 9
STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY AGE

LEAVE 1													
DELCO2 OI 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 7 1 9 1 17 1 25 1 29 1 29 1 29 1 17 1 25 1 29 1 29 1 29 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 7 1 9 1 17 1 25 1 29 1 29 1 29 1 29 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 7 1 0 8 1 1 1 6 1 2 2 6 1 2 7 1 2 7 7 7 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		COUNT	I										
TOT PCT I 26.1 27.1 28.1 29.1 30.1 31.1 32.1 33.1 34.1 DELCD2 0. I 0 1 0 1 0 1 7 1 9 1 17 1 25 1 29 1 29 1 STAY 1 0.0 1 0.0 1 0.0 1 0.0 1 7 1 9 1 17 1 25 1 29 1 27 1 27 1 1 0.0 1 0.0 1 0.0 1 0.0 1 0.5 1 0.6 1 1.1 1 1.6 1 1.9 1 1.9 1 1 0.0 1 0.0 1 0.0 1 0.5 1 0.6 1 1.1 1 1.6 1 1.9 1 1.9 1 1 0.0 1 0.0 1 0.0 1 0.5 1 0.6 1 1.1 1 1.6 1 1.9 1 1.9 1 1 0.0 1 0.0 1 1.0 1 0.5 1 0.6 1 1.1 1 1.6 1 1.9 1 1.9 1 1 1 2 1 115 1 9 1 17 1 7 1 35 1 41 1 38 1 41 1 1 1 2 1 115 1 9 1 17 1 7 1 35 1 41 1 38 1 41 1 1 100.0 1 100.0 1 100.0 1 70.8 1 45.8 1 67.3 1 62.1 15.7 1 58.6 1 1 0.1 1 0.8 1 0.6 1 1.1 1 0.5 1 2.3 1 2.6 1 2.5 1 2.5 1 2.6 1 1 0.1 1 0.8 1 0.6 1 1.1 1 0.5 1 2.3 1 2.6 1 2.5 1 2.5 1 2.6 1 1 0.1 1 0.8 1 37.1 38.1 39.1 40.1 41.1 42.1 45.1 44.1 2 0. 1 42 1 51 1 46 1 55 1 55 1 67 1 72 1 57 1 58 1 2 0. 1 42 1 51 1 46 1 55 1 5.5 1 6.8 1 5.4 1 5.5 1 5.5 1 2 1 2.7 1 3.3 1 3.0 1 3.5 1 3.4 1 4.3 1 4.5 1 4.6 1 5.5 1 2 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 4 6 1 3 1 3 1 4 6 1 3 1 3 1 4 6 1 3 1 3 1 4 6 1 3 1 3 1 4 6 1 3 1 4 6 1 3 1 4 6 1 6 1		ROW PCT	I										
DELCO2 0. I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 7 I 9 I 17 I 25 I 29 I 29 I 1 1 0.0 I 0.0 I 0.0 I 0.0 I 0.7 I 9 I 17 I 25 I 29 I 29 I 29 I 1 0.0 I 0.0 I 0.0 I 0.0 I 0.0 I 0.0 I 1.6 I 2.4 I 2.7 I 2.7 I 2.7 I 1 0.0 I 0.		COL PCT	I										RCH
DELCO2 0. I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 7 I 9 I 17 I 25 I 29 I 29 I 17 I 0.0 I 0.0 I 0.0 I 0.0 I 0.7 I 0.8 I 1.6 I 2.4 I 2.7 I 2.7 I 1 0.0 I 0.0 I 0.0 I 0.0 I 0.7 I 0.8 I 1.6 I 2.4 I 2.7 I 2.7 I 1.9 I 17 I 25 I 29 I 29 I 29 I 29 I 1 0.0 I		TOT PCT	I 26.	I 27.	. 1 28.	1 29	7 70						TOTA
STAY 0	DELCD2							.1 51.	. 32	· I 33	. I 34	. I 35	. I
STAY		0.	I 0	I n									- I
LEAVE 1 0.0 1 0.0 1 0.0 1 29.2 1 56.3 1 32.7 1 37.9 1 43.3 14.4 1	STAY			-	-	-	-				= :	I 42	I 106
LEAVE 1 0.0 1 0.0 1 0.0 1 0.5 1 0.6 1 1.1 1.6 1.9 1 1.9 1												I 4.0	I 68.
LEAVE 1											1 41.4	I 50.6	I
LEAVE 1												I 2.7	I
LEAVE 1 0.0 1 2.7 1.8 1 3.5 1.4 1 7.2 8.6 1 38 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1											I	- I	- I
COUNT 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.8 3.3 1.40.8 1.42.1	LEAVE		•						_		I 41	I 4I	I 48
1			• • • •						_			I 8.4	I 31.
COUNT I ROW PCT I COL PCT I TOT PCT I 36.1 37.1 38.1 39.1 40.1 41.1 42.1 43.1 44.1 DELCD2 0. I 42 I 51 I 46 I 55 I 53 I 67 I 72 I 57 I 58 I I 4.0 I 4.8 I 4.3 I 5.2 I 5.0 I 6.3 I 6.8 I 5.4 I 5.5 I I 51.2 I 72.9 I 74.2 I 76.4 I 79.1 I 83.8 I 90.0 I 89.1 I 93.5 I I 2.7 I 3.3 I 3.0 I 3.5 I 3.4 I 4.3 I 4.6 I 3.7 I 3.7 I I 4.0 I 4.8 I 4.3 I 5.2 I 1.0 I 1.1 I 13 I 8 I 7 I 4 I LEAVE I 8.2 I 3.9 I 3.5 I 2.6 I 22.9 I 27.1 I.6 I 1.4 I 1.8 I 1.8 I 7 I 4 I ROW PCT I COL PCT I TOT PCT I 46.1 47.1 48.1 49.1 50.1 51.1 52.1 53.1 54.1 DELCD2 0. I 48 I 43 I 33 I 36 I 36 I 36 I 20 I 28 I 15 I 22 I TOT PCT I 46.1 47.1 48.1 49.1 50.1 51.1 52.1 53.1 54.1 LEAVE I 82.8 I 86.0 I 91.7 I 78.3 I 80.0 I 69.0 I 93.3 I 75.0 I 86.6 I 6 I 3.1 I 1.1 I 1.										I 56.7	I 58.6	I 49.4	I
ROM PCT I COL PCT I TOT PCT I 36.1 37.1 38.1 39.1 40.1 41.1 42.1 43.1 44.1 DELCD2 0. I 42 I 51 I 46 I 55 I 53 I 67 I 72 I 57 I 58 I I 4.0 I 4.8 I 4.3 I 5.2 I 5.0 I 6.3 I 6.8 I 5.4 I 5.4 I 5.5 I I 51.2 I 72.9 I 74.2 I 76.4 I 79.1 I 83.8 I 90.0 I 89.1 I 93.5 I I 2.7 I 3.3 I 3.0 I 3.5 I 3.4 I 4.3 I 4.6 I 3.7 I 3.7 I 1 40 I 19 I 16 I 17 I 14 I 13 I 8 I 7 I 4 I 1 48.8 I 27.1 I 25.8 I 22.6 I 20.9 I 16.3 I 10.0 I 10.9 I 6.5 I I 2.6 I 1.2 I 1.0 I 1.1 I 0.9 I 0.8 I 0.5 I 0.5 I 0.3 I COUNT I ROM PCT I COL PCT I TOT PCT I 46.1 47.1 48.1 49.1 50.1 51.1 52.1 53.1 54.1 DELCD2 0. I 48 I 43 I 33 I 36 I 36 I 36 I 20 I 28 I 15 I 22 I STAY I 4.5 I 4.0 I 5.1 I 3.4 I 3.4 I 1.9 I 2.6 I 1.4 I 2.1 I I 82.8 I 86.0 I 91.7 I 78.3 I 80.0 I 69.0 I 93.3 I 75.0 I 86.6 I 6 I 5.1 I 2.1 I 1.4 I 0.6 I 2.1 I 1.8 I 1.8 I 0.4 I 1.0 I 1.4 I LEAVE LEAVE 1 2.1 I 1.4 I 0.6 I 2.1 I 1.8 I 1.8 I 1.0 I 1.0 I 1.4 I COUNT I TOT PCT I 46.1 57.1 58.1 59.1 60.1 61.0 61 0.1 I 1.0 I 1.4 I LEAVE 1 2.1 I 1.4 I 0.6 I 2.1 I 1.8 I 1.8 I 0.4 I 1.0 I 1.4 I LEAVE 1 2.1 I 1.4 I 0.6 I 2.1 I 1.8 I 1.8 I 0.4 I 1.0 I 1.5 I 1.5 I COUNT I ROM PCT I COL PCT I 10 I 7 I 3 I 10 I 9 I 9 I 9 I 2 I 5 I 4 I LEAVE 1 2.1 I 1.4 I 0.6 I 2.1 I 1.8 I 1.8 I 0.4 I 1.0 I 1.5 I 1.5 I COUNT I ROM PCT I COL							1 0.5	I 2.3	I 2.6	I 2.5	I 2.6	I 2.6	I
COL PCT I TOT PCT I 36.1 37.1 38.1 39.1 40.1 41.1 42.1 43.1 44.1 DELCD2 0. I 42 I 51 I 46 I 55 I 55 I 67 I 72 I 57 I 58 I I 51.2 I 72.9 I 74.2 I 76.4 I 79.1 I 81.8 I 90.0 I 91.1 91.5 I I 51.2 I 72.9 I 74.2 I 76.4 I 79.1 I 81.8 I 90.0 I 91.1 91.5 I I 51.2 I 72.9 I 74.2 I 76.4 I 79.1 I 81.8 I 90.0 I 61.0 I 61.1 62.1 64.1 65.1 LEAVE DELCD2 DELCD2 O. I 48 I 43 I 35 I 3.5 I 22.5 I 1.9 I 2.7 I 1.6 I 1.4 I 0.8 I 1 4.8 I 2.7 I 2.8 I 1.8 I 90.0 I 91.2		COUNT		,	1	1	I	I	I	1	·	1	- I
TOT PCT I 36.I 37.I 38.I 39.I 40.I 41.I 42.I 43.I 44.I 44.I 50.I 51.I 41.I 42.I 43.I 44.I 44.I 50.I 51.I 46.I 55.I 53.I 67.I 72.I 57.I 58.I 51.I 46.I 15.I 14.0 I 4.8 I 4.5 I 5.2 I 5.0 I 6.3 I 6.8 I 5.4 I 5.5 I 5.5 I 5.1 51.I 1 4.0 I 4.8 I 4.5 I 5.2 I 5.0 I 6.3 I 6.8 I 5.4 I 5.5 I 5.5 I 5.1 51.I 51.2 I 72.9 I 74.2 I 76.4 I 79.I I 83.8 I 90.0 I 89.I I 93.5 I 5.1 I 2.7 I 3.3 I 3.0 I 3.5 I 3.5 I 3.4 I 4.5 I 4.5 I 4.5 I 3.7 I 3.7 I 7.1 I 81.8 I 7 I 4 I 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		ROW PCT I											ROH
DELCD2 0. I 42 I 51 I 46 I 55 I 53 I 67 I 72 I 57 I 58 I I 1 40 I 40 I 40 I 40 I 40 I 40 I 40		COL PCT I											TOTAL
DELCD2 0. I 42 I 51 I 46 I 55 I 53 I 67 I 72 I 57 I 58 I I 1 40 I 40 I 4.8 I 4.3 I 5.2 I 5.0 I 6.3 I 6.8 I 5.4 I 5.5 I 5.5 I 53 I 67 I 72 I 5.7 I 58 I I 1 51.2 I 72.9 I 74.2 I 76.4 I 79.1 I 83.8 I 90.0 I 89.1 I 93.5 I I 2.7 I 3.3 I 3.0 I 3.5 I 3.4 I 4.3 I 4.6 I 3.7 I 3.5 I 3.4 I 4.3 I 4.6 I 3.7 I 3.5 I 3.5 I 3.4 I 4.3 I 4.6 I 3.7 I 3.7 I 3.7 I 3.7 I 3.7 I 3.7 I 3.5 I 3.5 I 2.9 I 2.7 I 1.6 I 1.4 I 0.8 I 1 48.8 I 27.1 I 25.8 I 23.6 I 20.9 I 16.5 I 10.0 I 10.9 I 6.5 I 1 2.6 I 1.2 I 1.0 I 1.1 I 0.9 I 0.8 I 0.5 I 0		TOT PCT I	36.1	37.	I 38.	I 39.	1 40.	T 41.	1 42	1 48	1 44	I 45.	
STAY 0. I 40 I 4.8 I 4.3 I 5.5 I 53 I 67 I 72 I 57 I 58 I 1 4.0 I 4.8 I 4.3 I 5.2 I 5.0 I 6.3 I 6.8 I 5.4 I 5.5 I 1 5 5 I 1 5.2 I 5.0 I 6.3 I 6.8 I 5.4 I 5.5 I 1 5 5 I 1 5 1 2 I 72.9 I 76.2 I 76.4 I 79.1 I 83.8 I 90.0 I 89.1 I 95.5 I I 2.7 I 3.3 I 3.0 I 3.5 I 3.4 I 4.3 I 4.6 I 3.7 I 3.7 I 1 2.7 I 3.3 I 3.0 I 3.5 I 3.4 I 4.3 I 4.6 I 3.7 I 3.7 I 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	DELCD2	1											
STAY I 4.0 I 4.8 I 4.3 I 5.2 I 5.0 I 6.3 I 6.8 I 5.4 I 5.5 I 5.12 I 72.9 I 74.2 I 76.4 I 79.1 I 83.8 I 90.0 I 89.1 I 93.5 I 2.7 I 5.3 I 3.0 I 3.5 I 3.4 I 4.3 I 4.6 I 5.7 I 5.7		0. I										-	I 106
I 51.2 1 72.9 1 74.2 1 76.4 1 79.1 1 83.8 1 90.0 1 89.1 1 93.5 1 1 27.7 1 3.5 1 3.0 1 3.5 1 3.6 1 4.3 1 4.6 1 3.7 1 5.7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	STAY					_			_				I 68.
LEAVE 1 2.7 1 3.3 1 3.0 1 3.5 1 3.4 1 4.5 1 4.6 1 3.7 1 3.7 1 1.7 1 1.1 1 1.1 1 1.1 1 1.1 1		-		-									I 68.
LEAVE 1												_	I
LEAVE 1. I 40 I 19 I 16 I 17 I 14 I 13 I 8 I 7 I 4 I 1 10												1 4.1 I	-
LEAVE I 8.2 I 3.9 I 3.3 I 3.5 I 2.9 I 2.7 I 1.6 I 1.4 I 0.8 I I 48.8 I 27.1 I 25.8 I 23.6 I 20.9 I 16.3 I 10.0 I 10.9 I 6.5 I I 2.6 I 1.2 I 1.0 I I.1 I 0.9 I 0.8 I 0.5 I 0.5 I 0.5 I 0.5 I I COUNT I ROW PCT I COL PCT I TOT PCT I 46.1 47.1 48.1 49.1 50.1 51.1 52.1 53.1 54.1 DELCD2 O. I 48 I 43 I 33 I 36 I 36 I 20 I 28 I 15 I 22 I 3 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1 I 1										•	-	•	I 487
T 48.8 I 27.1 I 25.8 I 23.6 I 20.9 I 16.3 I 10.0 I 10.9 I 6.5 I I 2.6 I 1.2 I 1.0 I 1.1 I 0.9 I 0.8 I 0.5 I 0.5 I 0.3 I I 2.6 I 1.2 I 1.0 I 1.1 I 0.9 I 0.8 I 0.5 I 0.5 I 0.3 I I 3.1 I 3.0 I 1.1 I 0.9 I 0.8 I 0.5 I 0.5 I 0.3 I 1 3.1 I 3.0 I 1 1.1 I 0.9 I 0.8 I 0.5 I 0.5 I 0.3 I 1 3.1 I 3.0 I 1 3.1 I	LEAVE			-						-			I 3I.4
1		_											1 31.4
COUNT I ROW PCT I COL PCT I TOT PCT I 46.I 47.I 48.I 49.I 50.I 51.I 52.I 53.I 54.I DELCD2													I
COUNT I ROW PCT I COL PCT I TOT PCT I 46.I 47.I 48.I 49.I 50.I 51.I 52.I 53.I 54.I DELCD2 O. I 48 I 43 I 33 I 36 I 36 I 20 I 28 I 15 I 22 I STAY I 4.5 I 4.0 I 3.I I 3.4 I 3.4 I 1.9 I 2.6 I 1.4 I 2.I I I 82.8 I 86.0 I 91.7 I 78.3 I 80.0 I 69.0 I 93.3 I 75.0 I 84.6 I 6 I 3.I I 2.8 I 2.I I 2.3 I 2.3 I 1.3 I 1.8 I 1.0 I 1.4 I		•											-
ROM PCT I COL PCT I TOT PCT I 46.I 47.I 48.I 49.I 50.I 51.I 52.I 53.I 54.I DELCD2 O. I 48 I 43 I 33 I 36 I 36 I 20 I 28 I 15 I 22 I STAY I 4.5 I 4.0 I 3.I I 3.4 I 3.4 I 1.9 I 2.6 I 1.4 I 2.I I I 82.8 I 86.0 I 91.7 I 78.3 I 80.0 I 69.0 I 93.3 I 75.0 I 84.6 I 8 I 3.I I 2.8 I 2.I I 2.3 I 2.3 I 1.3 I 1.8 I 1.0 I 1.4 I LEAVE I 2.1 I 1.4 I 0.6 I 2.1 I 1.8 I 1.8 I 0.4 I 1.0 I 0.8 I I 17.2 I 14.0 I 8.3 I 21.7 I 20.0 I 31.0 I 6.7 I 25.0 I 15.4 I 1 ROM PCT I COL PCT I TOT PCT I 56.I 57.I 59.I 59.I 60.I 61.I 62.I 64.I 65.I DELCD2 O. I 9 I 9 I 9 I 8 I 3 I 5 I I I 0 I 1 I I STAY I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.5 I 0.2 I 0.5 I 0.1 I 0.0 I 0.1 I I 1 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.5 I 0.2 I 0.7 I 25.0 I 0.0 I 0.1 I STAY LEAVE			•					,		,	1	1	
COL PCT I TOT PCT I 46.I 47.I 48.I 49.I 50.I 51.I 52.I 53.I 54.I DELCD2													ROM
TOT PCT I 46.I 47.I 48.I 49.I 50.I 51.I 52.I 53.I 54.I DELCD2 O. I 48 I 43 I 33 I 36 I 36 I 20 I 28 I 15 I 22 I STAY I 4.5 I 4.0 I 3.I I 3.4 I 3.4 I 1.9 I 2.6 I 1.4 I 2.I I I 82.8 I 86.0 I 91.7 I 78.3 I 80.0 I 69.0 I 93.3 I 75.0 I 84.6 I 6 I 3.I I 2.8 I 2.I I 2.3 I 2.3 I 1.3 I 1.8 I 1.0 I 1.4 I													TOTAL
DELCD2			46.1	47.1	48.1	49.1	50.1	51.1	52.	53.1	54.	55.	
O. I 48 I 43 I 33 I 36 I 36 I 20 I 28 I 15 I 22 I STAY I 4.5 I 4.0 I 3.1 I 3.4 I 3.4 I 1.9 I 2.6 I 1.4 I 2.1 I I 82.8 I 86.0 I 91.7 I 78.3 I 80.0 I 69.0 I 93.3 I 75.0 I 84.6 I 8 I 3.1 I 2.8 I 2.1 I 2.3 I 2.3 I 1.3 I 1.8 I 1.0 I 1.4 I -I	DEL CD2											(
STAY I 4.5 I 4.0 I 3.I I 3.4 I 3.4 I 1.9 I 2.6 I 1.4 I 2.I I I 82.8 I 86.0 I 91.7 I 78.3 I 80.0 I 69.0 I 93.3 I 75.0 I 84.6 I 6 I 3.I I 2.8 I 2.I I 2.3 I 2.3 I 1.3 I 1.8 I 1.0 I 1.4 I -I													_
I 82.8 I 86.0 I 91.7 I 78.3 I 80.0 I 69.0 I 93.3 I 75.0 I 84.6 I 8 I 3.1 I 2.8 I 2.1 I 2.3 I 2.3 I 1.3 I 1.8 I 1.0 I 1.4 I I I I I 1.0 I 1.4 I I I I I I 1.0 I 1.4 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	VATS												1 68.6
I 3.I I 2.8 I 2.I I 2.3 I 2.3 I 1.3 I 1.8 I I.0 I 1.4 I -IIIIIII	•161												 I
LEAVE I. I 10 I 7 I 3 I 10 I 9 I 9 I 2 I 5 I 4 I LEAVE I 2.1 I 1.4 I 0.6 I 2.1 I 1.8 I 1.8 I 0.4 I 1.0 I 0.8 I I 17.2 I 14.0 I 8.3 I 21.7 I 20.0 I 31.0 I 6.7 I 25.0 I 15.4 I 1 I 0.6 I 0.5 I 0.2 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.1 I 0.3 I 0.3 I COUNT I ROW PCT I COL PCT I TOT PCT I 56.I 57.I 58.I 59.I 60.I 61.I 62.I 64.I 65.I DELCD2 0. I 9 I 9 I 9 I 8 I 3 I 5 I I I 0 I 1 I STAY I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.3 I 0.5 I 0.1 I 0.0 I 0.1 I LEAVE I 1.2 I 1.2 I 0.6 I 1 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.4 I 0.6 I 0.0 I 0.1 I LEAVE I 1.2 I 1.2 I 0.6 I 1.2 I 0.6 I 0.4 I 0.6 I 0.2 I 0.2 I 0.2 I I 40.0 I 40.0 I 25.0 I 42.9 I 50.0 I 28.6 I 75.0 I 100.0 I 50.0 I													1
LEAVE I. I 10 I 7 I 3 I 10 I 9 I 9 I 2 I 5 I 4 I I 17.2 I 14.0 I 8.3 I 21.7 I 20.0 I 31.0 I 6.7 I 25.0 I 15.4 I 1 I 1 0.6 I 0.5 I 0.2 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.1 I 0.3 I 0.3 I 0.3 I		_											_
LEAVE I 2.1 I 1.4 I 0.6 I 2.1 I 1.8 I 1.8 I 0.4 I 1.0 I 0.8 I I 17.2 I 14.0 I 8.3 I 21.7 I 20.0 I 31.0 I 6.7 I 25.0 I 15.4 I I I 0.6 I 0.5 I 0.2 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.1 I 0.3 I 0.3 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		-	_	_		_		_		•			•
I 17.2 I 14.0 I 8.3 I 21.7 I 20.0 I 31.0 I 6.7 I 25.0 I 15.4 I I I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.5 I 0.2 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.1 I 0.3 I 0.3 I 0.3 I 0.5 I 0.2 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.1 I 0.3 I 0.3 I 0.3 I 0.5 I 0.2 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.1 I 0.3 I 0.3 I 0.5 I 0.2 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.1 I 0.3 I 0.3 I 0.5 I	LEAVE												
I 0.6 I 0.5 I 0.2 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.1 I 0.3 I 0.3 I -IIIIII													1 31.4 I
-IIIIII													
COUNT I ROW PCT I COL PCT I TOT PCT I 56.I 57.I 58.I 59.I 60.I 6I.I 62.I 64.I 65.I DELCD2 O. I 9 I 9 I 9 I 8 I 3 I 5 I I I 0 I 1 I STAY I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.5 I 0.5 I 0.I I 0.0 I 0.I I I 60.0 I 60.0 I 75.0 I 57.I I 50.0 I 71.4 I 25.0 I 0.0 I 50.0 I I I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.5 I 0.2 I 0.3 I 0.1 I 0.0 I 0.1 I LEAVE I 1.2 I 1.2 I 0.6 I 1.2 I 0.6 I 0.4 I 0.6 I 0.2 I 0.2 I I 40.0 I 40.0 I 25.0 I 42.9 I 50.0 I 28.6 I 75.0 I 100.0 I 50.0 I						_	_						
COL PCT I TOT PCT I 56.I 57.I 58.I 59.I 60.I 61.I 62.I 64.I 65.I DELCD2 0. I 9 I 9 I 9 I 8 I 3 I 5 I I I 0 I 1 I STAY I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.3 I 0.5 I 0.I I 0.0 I 0.I I I 60.0 I 60.0 I 75.0 I 57.I I 50.0 I 71.4 I 25.0 I 0.0 I 50.0 I I I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.5 I 0.2 I 0.3 I 0.1 I 0.0 I 0.1 I LEAVE I 1.2 I 1.2 I 0.6 I 1.2 I 0.6 I 0.4 I 0.6 I 0.2 I 0.2 I I 40.0 I 40.0 I 25.0 I 42.9 I 50.0 I 28.6 I 75.0 I 100.0 I 50.0 I				1						•			
TOT PCT I 56.I 57.I 58.I 59.I 60.I 61.I 62.I 64.I 65.I DELCD2 0. I 9 I 9 I 9 I 8 I 3 I 5 I I I 0.I I I STAY I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.5 I 0.5 I 0.I I 0.0 I 0.I I I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.5 I 0.2 I 0.3 I 0.1 I 0.0 I 50.0 I 1 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.5 I 0.2 I 0.3 I 0.1 I 0.0 I 0.1 I LEAVE I 1.2 I 1.2 I 0.6 I 1.2 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.4 I 0.6 I 0.2 I 0.2 I I 40.0 I 40.0 I 25.0 I 42.9 I 50.0 I 28.6 I 75.0 I 100.0 I 50.0 I		ROW PCT I											ROM
DELCD2 O. I 9 I 9 I 9 I 8 I 3 I 5 I I I 0 I 1 I STAY I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.5 I 0.5 I 0.1 I 0.0 I 0.1 I I 60.0 I 60.0 I 75.0 I 57.1 I 50.0 I 71.4 I 25.0 I 0.0 I 50.0 I 10 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.5 I 0.2 I 0.3 I 0.1 I 0.0 I 0.1 I		COL PCT I											TOTAL
DELCD2 O. I 9 I 9 I 9 I 8 I 3 I 5 I I I 0 I 1 I STAY I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.5 I 0.5 I 0.1 I 0.0 I 0.1 I I 60.0 I 60.0 I 75.0 I 57.1 I 50.0 I 71.4 I 25.0 I 0.0 I 50.0 I 10 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.5 I 0.2 I 0.3 I 0.1 I 0.0 I 0.1 I		TOT PCT I	56.1	57.I	58.1	59.1	60.I	61.1	62.1	64.1	65.1	67.1	1
O. I 9 I 9 I 9 I 8 I 3 I 5 I I I 0 I 1 I STAY I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.3 I 0.5 I 0.1 I 0.0 I 0.1 I I 60.0 I 60.0 I 75.0 I 57.1 I 50.0 I 71.4 I 25.0 I 0.0 I 50.0 I 10 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.5 I 0.2 I 0.3 I 0.1 I 0.0 I 0.1 I -IIIIII	ELCD2	I-	I-	I	I	I	_	_]	I	1		1
STAY I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.8 I 0.3 I 0.5 I 0.1 I 0.0 I 0.1 I I 60.0 I 60.0 I 75.0 I 57.1 I 50.0 I 71.4 I 25.0 I 0.0 I 50.0 I 10 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.5 I 0.2 I 0.3 I 0.1 I 0.0 I 0.1 I -IIIIII		0. I	9 I	9 1	9 I	8 1	3 I	5 I	1 1	0 1	1 1	1 1	1 1063
I 60.0 I 60.0 I 75.0 I 57.I I 50.0 I 71.4 I 25.0 I 0.0 I 50.0 I IO I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.5 I 0.2 I 0.3 I 0.1 I 0.0 I 0.1 I -IIIII	STAY	I	0.8 I	0.8 I	0.8 I			0.5 I	0.1	0.0 I	0.1 1	0.1	68.6
I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.6 I 0.5 I 0.2 I 0.3 I 0.1 I 0.0 I 0.1 I -IIIII		- 1										100.0	ı
I. I 6 I 6 I 3 I 6 I 3 I 2 I 3 I I I I I LEAVE I 1.2 I 1.2 I 0.6 I 1.2 I 0.6 I 0.4 I 0.6 I 0.2 I 0.2 I I 40.0 I 40.0 I 25.0 I 42.9 I 50.0 I 28.6 I 75.0 I 100.0 I 50.0 I													
I. I 6 I 6 I 3 I 6 I 3 I 2 I 3 I I I I I LEAVE I 1.2 I 1.2 I 0.6 I 1.2 I 0.6 I 0.4 I 0.6 I 0.2 I 0.2 I I 40.0 I 40.0 I 25.0 I 42.9 I 50.0 I 28.6 I 75.0 I 100.0 I 50.0 I		1											
LEAVE I 1.2 I 1.2 I 0.6 I 1.2 I 0.6 I 0.4 I 0.6 I 0.2 I 0.2 I 1 40.0 I 40.0 I 25.0 I 42.9 I 50.0 I 28.6 I 75.0 I 100.0 I 50.0 I													
I 40.0 I 40.0 I 25.0 I 42.9 I 50.0 I 28.6 I 75.0 I 100.0 I 50.0 I	LEAVE												31.4
·	201176												
1 0.7 1 0.7 1 0.8 1 0.2 1 0.8 1 0.2 1 0.8 1													
-111111													
COLUMN 15 15 12 14 6 7 4 1 2													
												0.1	
1.0 1.0 0.0 0.7 0.4 0.5 0.3 0.1 0.1		IUIAL	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	100.0

leavers to be 35 and the median age for non-obligated physicians remaining on active duty to be 42.

G. SOURCE OF ENTRY (SOE)

Most medical officers are commissioned through one of several programs unique to the medical community. Some of these programs are relatively new (eg. the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (USUHS) and the Armed Forces Health Profession Scholarship Program (AFHPSP) initiated in 1972 and 1976, respectively. Others, such as the Berry Plan (BP) and the Medical/Osteopathic Scholarship Program (MOSP) were terminated in 1973 and 1977, respectively [Ref. 15]. Volunteers (VOL), the Early Commissioning Program (ECP), miscellaneous medical officer accessions, and interservice transfers comprise the remainder.

Table 10 depicts the FY85 physician community inventory by source of entry and physician specialty with PRIOR representing those physicians who entered the Navy via the BP (110), ECP (285) or MOSP (223). As shown, almost 85 percent of the losses and continuances for FY85 entered the Naval service via the AFHPSP or were volunteers. In addition, GMOs, which again includes physicians in medical school and internship, composed nearly 81 percent of AFHPSP. The newness of the USUHS and its potential as a future source of physicians is an area to be covered

TABLE 100

PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY SOURCE OF ENTRY

	COUNT	I																			
	ROW PCT	16	XEC	(SMC OME		SURG		OBGYN		INTMED		PEDS	,	FAMPR		HOSP8	- 1	OTHER		ROH
	COL PCT	I																			TOTAL
	TOT PCT	I	1	. I		2.I	;	1.2		4.I	5	Ι.	6	. 1	7	. I	8	. I	9	. I	
XS0E28		- I -		- I -		I		- I		I		- I		- I -		- I -		- I -		- I	
	1.	I	66	I	217	I	122	I	37	I	102	I	67	I	43	I	132	1	1 98	I	984
VOL		I	6.7	I	22.1	I	12.4	I	3.8	I	10.4	I	6.8	I	4.4	I	13.4	I	20.1	I	23.5
		I	40.5	I	15.9	I	30.7	I	18.3	I	24.2	I	28.9	I	14.5	I	27.0	I	31.5	1	
		I	1.6	I	5.2	I	2.9	I	0.9	I	2.4	I	1.6	I	1.0	I	3.1	I	4.7	I	
		- I -		- I -		I		- I		I		- I ·		- I -		- I -		- I -		- I	
	2.	I	91	I	43	I	74	I	34	I	69	I	54	I	35	I	71	I	138	I	609
PRIOR		I	14.9	I	7.I	I	12.2	I	5.6	I	11.3	I	8.9	I	5.7	I	11.7	I	22.7	I	14.5
		I	55.8	I	3.1	I	18.6	I	16.8	I	16.4	I	23.3	I	11.8	I	14.5	I	22.0	I	
		I	2.2	I	1.0	1	1.8	I	0.8	I	1.6	I	1.3	I	0.8	I	1.7	I	3.3	I	
		- I -		- I -		I		- I -		I		- I -		- I -		- I -		- I -		- I	
	3.	I	6	I	1106	1	202	I	131	I	250	I	111	I	218	I	285	I	292	I	2601
AFHPSP		I	0.2	I	42.5	I	7.8	I	5.0	I	9.6	I	4.3	I	8.4	I	11.0	I	11.2	I	62.0
		I	3.7	I	81.0	I	50.8	I	64.9	I	59.4	I	47.8	1	73.6	I	58.4	I	46.5	I	
		I	0.1	I	26.4	I	4.8	I	3.1	I	6.0	I	2.6	I	5.2	I	6.8	I	7.0	I	
		- I -		- I -		I		- 1 -		I		- 1 -		- I -		- I -		- I -		- I	
	COLUMN		163		1366		398		202		421		232		296		488		628		4194
	TOTAL		3.9		32.6		9.5		4.8		10.0		5.5		7.1		11.6		15.0		100.0
AFMPSP	COLUMN	I I	3.7 0.I 163	I	81.0 26.4 1366	I	50.8 4.8 398	I	64.9 3.1 202	I	59.4 6.0 421	I	2.6	I	73.6 5.2 296	I	58.4 6.8 488	I	46.5 7.0 628	I	4194

TABLE 11
STAY OR LEAVE BY SOURCE OF ENTRY

	COUNT	-						
	ROH PCT	IV	OL	F	RIOR	-	FHPSP	ROM
	COL PCT	I						TOTAL
	TOT PCT	I	I	. I	2	1.5	3.	I
DELCD2		- I -		- I -		-1-		1
	0.	I	460	I	391	I	198	1 1049
STAY		I	43.9	I	37.3	I	18.9	I 68.2
		I	74.8	I	84.8	I	43.0	ı `
		I	29.9	I	25.4	I	12.9	I
		- I -		- I -		-1-		ī
	ı.	I	155	I	70	I	263	488
LEAVE		I	31.8	I	14.3	1	53.9	31.8
		I	25.2	I	15.2	I	57.0	ı
		I	10.1	I	4.6	I	17.1	1
		- I -		- 1 -		- I -	1	1
	COLUMN		615		461		461	1537
	TOTAL		40.0		30.0		30.0	100.0

NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 2910

in subsequent research as these officers begin to complete their obligated service. Of the 223 missing values noted, 170 are USUHS students or graduates, all of whom were

obligated in FY85. Additionally, miscellaneous officer accessions and transfers were omitted due to the small size of this cohort (30).

Table 11 reveals that by far the largest percent of leavers occurred within AFHPSP (57%) followed by volunteers (25%). Conversely, PRIOR medical officers were the most likely to stay (85%). Although many PRIOR (Berry Plan) physicians were originally draft motivated and less inclined to become career officers, separate frequency analysis indicates those who did remain now appear much less likely to leave. This is shown in Table 12 and is a change from the behavior of 1982 Berry Plan physicians noted earlier in Mullin's research [Ref. 8].

TABLE 12
STAY OR LEAVE BY SOURCE OF ENTRY WITH BP, ECP, MOSP

	COUNT	I													
	ROW PCT	I١	/OL	٤	CP	8	P	M	ISC	-	FHPSP	۲	IOSP		ROW
	COL PCT	I													TOTAL
	TOT PCT	I	I	. 1	2	. I	3	. 1	4	.1	5	. I	6	.1	
DELCD2		-1-		- I -		- I -		- I -		- I -		- I-		-1	
52552	0.	1	460	I	209	I	97	I	22	I	198	I	85	I	1071
STAY		I	43.0	I	19.5	I	9.1	I	2.1	I	18.5	I	7.9	I	68.7
		I	74.8	I	83.6	I	92.4	I	95.7	I	43.0	I	80.2	I	
		I	29.5	1	13.4	1	6.2	I	1.4	I	12.7	I	5.4	I	
		- I -		- I-		- I -		-1-		- I -		- I -		- I	
	I.	I	155	1	41	I	8	I	I	I	263	I	21	I	489
LEAVE		I	31.7	I	8.4	I	1.6	I	0.2	I	53.8	I	4.3	I	31.3
		I	25.2	I	16.4	I	7.6	I	4.3	I	57.0	I	19.8	I	
		I	9.9	1	2.6	I	0.5	I	0.1	I	16.9	I	1.3	1	
		- I -		- I -		- I -		- I -		-1-		-1-		I	
	COLUMN		615		250		105		23		461		106		1560
	TOTAL		39.4		16.0		6.7		1.5		29.6		6.8		100.0

NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 2887

TABLE 13
PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY SUBSPECIALTY CODE (BDCERT)

COUNT	I																			
ROW PCT	IE)	XEÇ	(GMO	;	SURG		OBGYN		INTMED		PEDS	F	FAMPR		HOSPB		OTHER		ROM
COL PCT	I																			TOTAL
TOT PCT	I	I	. I	2.	I	3	. I	4	. 1		5.1	. 6	I	7	. I	8	. I	9.	. I	
XSU8C1	- I		- 1 -		I-		- I		- 1		1	I	I-		- I		- I ·		- I	
1.	I	0	I	1157	I	115	I	90	1	93	1	79	I	93	I	168	I	168	I	1963
FULLY TRAINED	I	0.0	I	58.9	I	5.9	I	4.6	1	4.7	1	4.0	I	4.7	I	8.6	I	8.6	I	44.7
	I	0.0	I	77.7	I	28.2	I	43.3	1	21.8	1	32.9	I	30.5	I	33.7	I	25.8	I	
	I	0.0	I	26.3	1	2.6	I	2.0	1	2.1	1	1 .8	I	2.1	I	3.8	I	3.8	I	
	- I		- I -		I-		- 1		- 1		1	·	1-		- I		- I ·		- I	
2.	I	132	-	46	I	170	I	59	1	256	1	126	I	134			I	306	I	1435
80ARD CERT	I	9.2	I	3.2	I	11.8	I	4.1	1	17.8	1	8.8	I	9.3	I	14.4	I	21.3	I	32.7
	I	78.6	I	3.I	I	41.7	I	28.4	1	60.1	1	52.5	I	43.9	I	41.3	I	47.0	I	
	I	3.0	I	1.0	I	3.9	I	1.3	1	5.8	1	2.9	I	3.0	I	4.7	I	7.0	I	
	- I		- 1 -		I-		- I		- 1		1	ı	I-		- I		- I		- I	
3.	-	35	-	0	I	0	I	0	1	0	1	0 1	I	0	I		I	•	I	49
SIGEXP	I	71.4	I	0.0	I	0.0	I	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	I	0.0	I	0.0	I	28.6	I	Ι.Ι
	I	20.8	I	0.0	I	0.0	I	0.0	1	0.0	1	0.0	I	0.0	I	0.0	I	2.2	I	
	I	0.8	I	0.0	I	0.0	I	0.0	I	0.0	1	0.0	I	0.0	I	0.0	I	0.3	I	
	- I		- I -		I-		- I		- I		1	I	I-		- I		- I -		- I	
4.	I	I	I	287	I	123	I	59	1	77	1	35	I	78	I	125	I	163	I	948
IN TRAINING	I	0.1	I	30.3	I	13.0	I	6.2	1	8.1	1	3.7	I	8.2	I	13.2	I	17.2	I	21.6
	I	0.6	I	19.3	I	30.1	I	28.4	1	18.1	1	14.6	I	25.6	1	25.1	I	25.0	I	
	I	0.0	I	6.5	I	2.8	I	I.3	1	1.8	1	8.0	I	1.8	I	2.8	I	3.7	I	
	- I		- I -		I-		- 1		- 1]	I	1-		- I		- I ·		- I	
COLUMN		168		1490		408		208		426		240		3 0 5		499		651		4395
TOTAL		3.8		33.9		9.3		4.7		9.7		5.5		6.9		11.4		14.8		100.0
NUMBER OF MISSING	OBSE	ERVATI	ONS	•	5	52														

H. SUBSPECIALTY CODE/BOARD CERTIFICATION (BDCERT)

In addition to specialty, each physician is classified as to his status within his field. Primarily, a physician is in training (22%), fully trained and not board certified in his selected specialty (45%), or board certified (33%). The remainder of physicians are in executive medicine or OTHER specialty (1%). The training category is one of the primary determinants of whether a physician was "obligated" and hence not eligible to leave the service under usual circumstances. Table 13 provides a crosstabulation of each physician specialty by training status and level of expertise. Noteworthy is the larger number

TABLE 14
STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY SUBSPECIALTY CODE (BDCERT)

	COUNT	I									
	ROW PCT	IF	ULLY	TR	BOARD	CE	SIGEXP		IN TRAI	N	ROH
	COL PCT	IA	INED	-	RT				ING		TOTAL
	TOT PCT	I		1.1		2.1		. 1	4	. I	
DELCD2		- I -		I		I		-1-		- I	
	0.	I	343	I	696	I	33	I	0	I	1072
STAY		I	32.0	I	64.9	I	3.1	I	0.0	I	68.5
		I	58.8	I	75.4	I	78.6	1	0.0	I	
		I	21.9	I	44.5	I	2.1	I	0.0	I	
		-1-		I		I		- I -		-1	
	I.	I	240	I	227	I	9	I	16	I	492
LEAVE		I	48.8	I	46.1	I	1.8	I	3.3	I	31.5
		I	41.2	1	24.6	I	21.4	I	100.0	I	
		1	15.3	1	14.5	I	0.6	I	1.0	1	
		- I -		1-		I		-1-		- I	
	COLUMN		583		923		42		16		1564
	TOTAL		37.3		59.0		2.7		1.0		100.0

of board certified physicians in the Navy (33%) than Daubert found in the Air Force (26%) [Ref. 7]. The largest numbers of board certified physicians are found in OTHER (306), HOSPB (206) and SURG (170). In addition, 79 percent of executive medicine officers are board certified specialists. Subspecialty code is a combination of subspecialty code data field one and two on the Medical Officer File. In FY85, this suggests the number of board certified physicians serving in their "primary" or first subspecialty was 974. With the 'in training' category considered to be obligated, Table 14 indicates that 41 percent of fully trained physicians that could leave the Navy did so while only 25 percent of board certified physicians departed from the Navy in FY85. This is contrary to expectations. Board certified physicians might be anticipated to leave

TABLE 15

PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY ADDITIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

	CO	JNT	I																			
	ROW	PCT	IE	XEC		GMO		SURG		OBGYN		INTMED		PEDS	F	AMPR		HOSP8		OTHER		ROM
	COL	PCT	I																			TOTAL
	TOT	PCT	I		1.1	I	2.	I	3.1	1 4	. 1	5	. I	6.	I	7	. I	8	. I	9	. I	
XADQ1								I - -	1	·	- I		- I	-	I-		- I -		- I -		- I	
		Ο.	-	21				1 7	1	2	I	11	I	1	I	9	I	• • •	_	42	I	192
UNDERSEA			I	10.9	1	43.8	3	3.6	1	1.0	I	5.7	I	0.5	I	4.7	I	7.8	I	21.9	I	12.6
			I	22.3			3	5.3	1	5.1	I	8.8	I	2.0	I	7.9	I	10.0	I	16.5	I	
			I	•••	_						_		_		-	0.6	_	•	-		-	
																	- I -				- I	
		1.	-		_				_		I		I	•	I		I	54	I	116	I	621
FLIGHT			I		_	52.0					I						I	8.7	-	18.7	I	40.6
			-	45.7	_	56.9					-				-		-		-		-	
			I					2.2				1.6			-		-	3.5	•		-	
																	-		-		-	
0.51150		2.	-	30	_	• • • •		_			I		I	45			I		I		I	715
OTHER			I		-					–	I		I			12.4	-		-		-	46.8
			1	31.9	_					76.9				90.0	-	78.1	-		-		-	
			-		_	10.5							_			5.8	-	5.3	-	6.3	-	
	COLL		1-	94	1	568		133	1	39	- 1	125	- 1-		1-		- I -		- 1 -		- I	
	TOT			6.2		37.2				• •				50		114		150		255		1528
	101	AL.		6.2		37.2		8.7		2.6		8.2		3.3		7.5		9.8		16.7		100.0

the Naval service more frequently because of their increased earnings potential (an additional one-third net) within the private sector [Ref. 16].

I. ADDITIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

A physician may volunteer or be directed to receive additional medical training in combat medicine or other military-specific areas. For example, medical officers may receive special training in aviation or undersea medicine. Table 15 indicates the frequency with which various physician specialties have received additional qualifications. As shown, of 1528 additionally qualified medical officers, 40 percent of physicians were trained in aviation

TABLE 16
STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY ADDITIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

	COUNT	T							
		-							
	ROW PCT	11	UNDERSE	Α Ι	LIGHT	(THER		ROW
	COL PCT	I							TOTAL
	TOT PCT	I	0	.1	I	. I	2	. I	
DELCD2		- 1 -		- 1-		-1-		- I	
	0.	I	7 I	I	216	I	I 68	I	455
STAY		I	15.6	I	47.5	I	36.9	I	77.2
		I	77.2	I	78.8	I	75.3	I	
		I	12.1	I	36.7	I	28.5	I	
		- I -		- I -		- I -		- I	
	I.	I	21	I	58	I	55	I	134
LEAVE		I	15.7	I	43.3	I	41.0	I	22.8
		I	22.8	I	21.2	I	24.7	I	
		I	3.6	I	9.8	I	9.3	I	
		- I -		- I -		- I -		- I	
	COLUMN		92		274		223		589
	TOTAL		15.6		46.5		37.9		100.0

(FLIGHT) medicine (Naval aviator, flight surgeon or aviation medicine) while 13 percent received undersea medicine training (undersea medicine or saturation diving) by the end of FY85. The OTHER category primarily includes advanced cardiac life support training and the combat casualty care course (C4). Physicians who have not received any military-specific training or were obligated compose the bulk of values (2919). As noted in Table 16, only 589 non-obligated physicians have received additional qualifications, of which 48 percent involve aviation medicine.

J. REGULAR OR RESERVE

Most physicians enter the Naval service as a reserve officer on active duty. Two years after the completion

TABLE 17
PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY REGULAR OR RESERVE

	COUNT	I																			
	ROW PCT	16	XEC		GMO		SURG	(DBGYN		INTMED	-	PEDS	-	FAMPR		HOSPB		OTHER		ROM
	COL PCT	I																			TOTAL
	TOT PCT	1	1	. I	2	. I	3	. I	4	. і	5.	I	6.	I	7	. 1	8.	. 1	9.	I	
XDESIG4		- I -		- I		- I		- 1 -		- I		I-		ı-		-1		- I -		I	
	0.	I	13	I	1222	I	284	I	151	I	269	I	113	I	197	I	332	I	303	I	2884
RESERV		I	0.5	I	42.4	I	9.8	1	5.2	I	9.3	I	3.9	I	6.8	I	11.5	1	10.5	I	65.6
		I	7.7	I	82.0	I	69.6	I	72.6	I	63.I	I	47.I	I	64.6	I	66.5	1	46.5	I	
		I	0.3	I	27.8	I	6.5	1	3.4	1	6.1	I	2.6	I	4.5	I	7.6	I	6.9	I	
		- 1 -		- 1		- I		- 1 -		- 1-		ı-		I-		- I		· I -		I	
	Ι.	I	155	I	268	I	124	I	57	I	157	I	127	I	108	I	167	I	348	I	1511
REGULAR		I	10.3	I	17.7	I	8.2	I	3.8	I	10.4	1	8.4	I	7.1	1	11.1	I	23.0	I	34.4
		I	92.3	I	18.0	I	30.4	I	27.4	I	36.9	I	52.9	I	35.4	I	33.5	1	53.5	I	
		I	3.5	1	6.1	I	.2.8	I	1.3	I	3.6	I	2.9	I	2.5	I	3.8	I	7.9	I	
		- I –		- 1		- I ·		- I -		- I -		I-		I-		- I		I-		I	
	COLUMN		168		1490		408		208		426		240		305		499		651		4395
	TOTAL		3.8		33.9		9.3		4.7		9.7		5.5		6.9		11.4		14.8	1	00.0

of an internship, a physician may apply for augmentation into the regular Navy. Acceptance into the regular Navy involves a two year commitment and is therefore indicative that an officer intends to remain in the service for at least those several years. Unfortunately, the author could not devise a method to remove those physicians obligated by augmentation from the sample.

Table 17 shows that two-thirds of Navy physicians (again, active and losses combined) are reserve officers. As previously discussed, the typically more senior executive medicine officers are regular Navy (REGULAR) while the usually younger and junior GMOs are USNR (RESERVE). In removing obligated physicians, Table 18 displays that 60 percent of reserve officers left the Navy while a much

TABLE 18
STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY REGULAR OR RESERVE

	COUNT	I					
	ROW PCT	18	ESERV	R	EGULAR	t	ROM
	COL PCT	I					TOTAL
	TOT PCT	I	0	.1	1	. 1	
DELCDS		- 1 -		- 1 -		- I	
	0.	I	248	I	824	1	1072
STAY		I	23.1	I	76.9	I	68.5
		I	39.9	I	87.5	1	
		I	15.9	I	52.7	1	
		- I -		-1-		- 1	
	1.	I	374	1	118	I	492
LEAVE		I	76.0	1	24.0	I	31.5
		I	60.1	1	12.5	1	
	•	1	23.9	I	7.5	I	
	-	· I –		-1-		- I	
	COLUMN		622		942		1564
	TOTAL		39.8		60.2		100.0

smaller 13 percent of regular Naval officers decided to leave in FY85.

K. FOREIGN OR U.S. MEDICAL SCHOOL GRADUATE

The medical school a military officer attends may have a bearing on his decision to continue in the Navy. Foreign medical graduates (FMGRAD) generally have lower civilian earning potential than their U.S. educated peers (USGRAD). Consequently, earlier studies have shown that foreign medical graduates tend to remain in the military longer. Table 19 depicts the percent of foreign and U.S. educated Naval physicians in FY85. As shown, 94 percent of Navy physicians are U.S. educated and this level appears consistent across all specialties. Foreign medical graduates appear concentrated among the GMO (24%), HOSFB (19%) and

TABLE 19
PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY U.S. OR FOREIGN MEDICAL SCHOOL

		I																			
	ROM PCT		XEC	(GMO	•	SURG	(DBGYN		INTMED	,	PEDS	,	FAMPR	,	10SP8	١.	OTHER		ROW
	COL PCT	I																			TOTAL
	TOT PCT	I	I.	I	2.	I	3	. І	4	. I	5	I	6.	I	7	. I	8	. I	9	. І	
EDCOL46		- I -		· I -		1-		- I -		- I ·		- I -		1-		- I -		- I -		- I	
	0.	I	10	I	64	I	17	I	13	I	30	I	27	I	9	I	50	I	48	I	268
FOREIGN	MED GRAD	1	3.7	I	23.9	I	6.3	I	4.9	I	11.2	I	10.1	I	3.4	I	18.7	I	17.9	I	6.3
		I	6.0	I	4.5	I	4.3	I	6.3	I	7.I	I	11.4	I	3.0	I	10.2	I	7.4	I	
		I	0.2	I	1.5	I	0.4	I	0.3	I	0.7	I	0.6	I	0.2	I	I.2	I	Ι.Ι	I	
		- I -		١.		· I -		- I -		- I ·		· [-		. [-		- I-		- I -		- I	
	1.	I	158	I	1347	I	381	I	192	I	391	I	209	I	294	I	439	1	5 98	I	4009
U.S. ME	D GRAD	I	3.9	I	33.6	I	9.5	I	4.8	I	9.8	I	5.2	I	7.3	1	II.0	I	14.9	I	93.7
		I	94.0	I	95.5	I	95.7	I	93.7	I	92.9	I	88.6	I	97.0	I	89.8	I	92.6	I	
		I	3.7	I	31.5	I	. 8.9	1	4.5	I	9.1	I	4.9	Ī	6.9	Ī	10.3	I	14.0	I	
		- I -		١.		1-		- I -		- I		١.		· [·		-1-		- I ·		- I	
	COLUMN		168		1411		3 98		205		421		236		303		489		646		4277
	TOTAL		3.9		33.0		9.3		4.8		9.8		5.5		7.I		11.4		15.1		100.0

TABLE 200 STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY U.S. OR FOREIGN MEDICAL SCHOOL

	COUNT	I					
	ROH PCT	IF	OREIGN	ŧ	J.S. ME	D	ROM
	COL PCT	IM	ED GRA	D	GRAD		TOTAL
	TOT PCT	I	0	. I	I	. 1	
DELCD2		- I -		- I -		- I	
	0.	I	172	I	891	I	1063
STAY		1	16.2	I	83.8	1	68.6
		I	81.1	I	66.6	1	
		I	11.1	I	57.5	I	
		- I -		- 1 -		- I	
	I.	I	40	I	446	I	486
LEAVE		I	8.2	I	91.8	I	31.4
		I	18.9	I	33.4	I	
		I	2.6	1	28.8	I	
	-	- I -		- I -		- I	
	COLUMN		212		1337		1549
	TOTAL		13.7		86.7		100.0

NUMBER OF MISSING DESERVATIONS . 2898

OTHER (18%) categories with little representation among FAMPR (3%) and EXEC (4%). Removing obligated physicians from the frequency sample in Table 20, however, reveals that 33 percent of U.S. medical school graduates left

the service while only 19 percent of foreign educated medical officers left.

L. CITIZENSHIP

Complementing the foreign medical school frequency variable is whether a medical officer is a U.S citizen (USCIT) or not. For this analysis, naturalized citizens and aliens were grouped together to obtain the non-citizen variable (NOTCIT). With minor numerical changes, non-citizen frequencies presented in Tables 21 and 22 mirrored those of foreign medical graduates. This would be expected since foreign medical graduates are more likely to be non-citizens.

TABLE 21
PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY CITIZENSHIP

		JNT																				
	ROM	PCT	IE:	KEC		GMO		SURG		OBGYN		INTHED	- 1	PEDS	- 1	FAMPR	- 1	HOSP8		OTHER		ROW
	COL	PCT	I																			TOTAL
	TOT	PCT	I	1	1.1		2.1	3	. 1		. I	5	. I		6.1	7	. I	1	8. I	9	. I	
USCIT65			- I		I		I		- I		I		- I -		I-		- I -		I-		- I	
		0.	I	3	I	55	I	20	I	10	I	25	I	29	I	9	I	47	I	52	I	250
NOTCIT			I	1.2	I	22.0	I	3.0	I	4.0	I	10.0	I	11.6	I	3.6	I	18.8	I	20.8	I	5.7
			I	1.8	I	3.8	Ī	5.0	I	4.9	I	6.0	I	12.1	I	3.0	I	9.5	I	8.0	I	
			I	0.1	I	1.3	I	0.5	I	0.2	I	0.6	I	C.7	I	0.2	I	1.1	I	1.2	I	
		-	- I		- I		I		- I		I		- I -		I -		- I -		I		- I	
		1.	I	165	I	1410	I	384	I	195	I	395	I	211	I	295	I	447	I	597	I	4099
USCIT			I	4.0	I	34.4	I	9.4	I	4.8	I	9.6	I	5.1	I	7.2	I	10.9	I	14.6	I	94.3
			I	98.2	I	96.2	I	95.0	I	95.1	I	94.0	I	87.9	I	97.0	I	90.5	I	92.0	I	
			I	3.8	I	32.4	I	8.8	I	4.5	I	9.1	I	4.9	I	6.8	I	10.3	I	13.7	I	
		-	- I		I		- I		- 1		I		- I -		I -		- I -		I -		- I	
	COLI	JMN		168		1465		404		205		420		240		304		494		649		4349
	TOT	TAL		3.9		33.7		9.3		4.7		9.7		5.5		7.0		11.4		14.9		100.0

NUMBER OF MISSING DESERVATIONS = 98

TABLE 22
STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY CITIZENSHIP

	COL	JNT	I					
	ROW	PCT	IN	OTCIT	U	SCIT		ROW
	COL	PCT	I					TOTAL
	TOT	PCT	I	0	. I	I	. I	
DELCD2			- 1 -		- I -		-1	
		0.	I	144	I	923	I	1067
STAY			I	13.5	I	86.5	I	68.5
			I	77.8	I	67.2	I	
			I	9.2	I	59.2	I	
		-	· I –		-1-		- I	
		I.	I	41	I	450	I	491
LEAVE			I	8.4	I	91.6	I	31.5
			I	22.2	I	32.8	I	
			I	2.6	I	28.9	I	
		-	-1-		- I -		- I	
	COL	MML		185		1373		1558
	TOT	AL		11.9		88.1		100.0

M. RACE, GENDER

Race and gender have been significant in many previous studies of reenlistment behavior. Table 23 shows that 75 percent of Navy Physicians are caucasian (CAUC) while Table 24 illustrates that 89 percent are male (MALE). With regard to race, nearly 97 percent of executive medicine, 85 percent of surgeons and 88 percent of OTHER are caucasian. Conversely, the largest number of non-caucasians (NOCAUC) are GMOs (40%) which is nearly double the percent found in the other categories. Examining gender, female physicians appear inclined to be GMOs (37%), but when compared with males, represent 23 percent of all pediatricians and 18 percent of OBGYN. Removing obligated physicians and missing values from the sample in Tables 25 and 26 reveals that nearly an equal percentage of

TABLE 23
PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY RACE

	ROW COL	PCT	IE:			GMO		SURG		OBGYN		INTMED		PEDS		FAMPR		HOSP8		OTHER		ROM TOTAL
VDAGECT	TOT		-		1.I		2.1		3.1		4 . I		5 . I		6 . I		7 . I		8 . I		9 . I	
XRACE63					I		!	[I		I		I		I		- I		I		- I	
		0.	I	5	I	577	1	59) [49	I	86	1	49	I	50	I	107	I	79	I	1061
NONCAUC			I	0.5	I	54.4	1	5.6	1	4.6	I	8.1	I	4.6	I	4.7	I	10.1	I	7.4	I	24.9
			I	3.0	I	39.9	1	15.0	I	24.6	I	20.8	I	21.2	I	16.8	I	22.2	I	12.4	I	
			I	0.1	I	13.5	1	1.4	I	1.1	I	2.0	I	1.1	I	1.2	I	2.5	I	1.9	I	
		-	I		1		1		I		I		I		I		- I		1		- I	
		I.		162					I								I		_		-	3204
CAUC			I	5.1	I	27.2	1	10.5	I	4.7	I	10.2	I	5.7	I	7.7	I	11.7	I	17.4	I	75.1
			I	97.0	I	60.1	1	85.0	I	75.4	I	79.2	I	78.8	I	83.2	I	77.8	1	87.6	I	
			I	3.8	I	20.4	1	7.9	I	3.5	I	7.7	I	4.3	I	5.8	I	8.8	I	13.1	I	
		-	I		I		I		I		I		I		- I		- I -		I		- I	
	COLU			167		1447		394		199		413		231		297	Ĭ	481	·	636	Ċ	4265
	TOT	AL		3.9		33.9		9.2		4.7		9.7		5.4		7.0		11.3		14.9		100.0

TABLE 24
PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY GENDER

			_																			
	COUNT		I																			
	ROM PC	Т	IEXEC		G١	10		SURG		OBGYN		INTMED		PEDS	1	FAMPR	- 1	HOSPB	(OTHER		ROH
	COL PC	Т	I																			TOTAL
	TOT PC	Т	I	I.	I		2.1	1	3.I		4.1		. I		6.I	7	. I	8	·I	9	. I	
XSEX 62			[[1	·	I		1		- I		I		- I -		- I-		- I	
	0.		1	3	ľ	181	1	1 25	I	38	1	47	I	54	I	31	I	59	I	51	I	489
FEMALE			I 0.	6	ľ	37.0	1	5.1	I	7.8	1	9.6	I	11.0	I	6.3	I	12.1	I	10.4	I	11.2
			1.1	8	I	12.2	1	6.1	I	18.3	I	11.0	I	22.5	I	10.2	I	11.9	I	7.9	I	
			.0	1	I	4.1	1	0.6	I	0.9	I	1.1	I	1.2	I	0.7	I	1.3	1	1.2	I	
		-	[:			1		I		1		- I		I		- I -		- I -		- I	
	1.		169	5	ľ	1302	1	382	I	170	I	379	I	186	I	272	I	438	I	598	I	3892
MALE			4.	2	I	33.5	1	9.8	I	4.4	I	9.7	I	4.8	I	7.0	I	11.3	I	15.4	I	88.8
			98.	2	1	87.8	1	93.9	I	81.7	I	89.0	I	77.5	I	89.8	I	88.I	I	92.I	I	
			3.1	8	1	29.7	1	8.7	I	3.9	I	8.7	I	4.2	I	6.2	I	10.0	I	13.6	I	
		-	[[1	[1		I		- I		I		- I -		- I -		- I	
	COLUMN		160	В		1483		407		208		426		240		303		497		649		4381
	TOTAL		3.1	8		33.9		9.3		4.7		9.7		5.5		6.9		11.3		14.8		100.0

NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 66

TABLE 25
STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY RACE

	CO	TNU	I					
	ROW	PCT	IN	DNCAU	: (CAUC		ROM
	COL	PCT	I					TOTAL
	TOT	PCT	I	().I		I.I	
DELCD2			I		I-		I	
		0.	I	132	I	920	I	1052
STAY			I	12.5	I	87.5	I	69.I
			I	66.7	I	69.4	I	
			I	8.7	I	60.4	I	
		-	I		- I -		I	
		1.	I	66	I	405	I	47 I
LEAVE			I	14.0	I	86.0	I	30.9
			I	33.3	I	30.6	I	
			I	4.3	I	26.6	I	
		-	I		- I -		I	
	COLU	MN		198		1325		1523
	TOT	AL		13.0		87.0		100.0

TABLE 26
STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY GENDER

	COUNT	I					
	ROW PCT	IF	EMALE	м	ALE		ROM
	COL PCT	I					TOTAL
	TOT PCT	I	0	. 1	1	. I	
DELCD2		-1-		- I -		- I	
	0.	I	86	I	986	I	1072
STAY		I	8.0	I	92.0	I	68.6
		I	63.2	I	69.1	I	
		I	5.5	I	63.1	I	
		- I -		- I -		- I	
	1.	I	50	1	440	1	490
LEAVE		I	10.2	I	89.8	I	31.4
		1	36.8	I	30.9	I	
		I	3.2	I	28.2	I	
		- I -		- I -		- I	
	COLUMN		136		1426		1562
	TOTAL		8.7		91.3		100.0

NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 2885

caucasians (31%) and non-caucasians (33%) departed the Naval service in FY85. Similarly, 37 percent of females (FEMALE) and 31 percent of males left Navy medicine.

TABLE 27
PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY MARITAL STATUS

	ROW	UNT PGT PCT	ΙE	XEC		G1.0		SURG		08GYN		INTMED	1	PEDS	1	FAMPR	ŀ	40SP8	(OTHER		ROH TOTAL
		PCT	-	1		2		3	-		-	_		-	. I		_		-		. I	
MST64			-1		- 1-		- I		•		- I ·		- I		-1-		- 1 -		- I -		-	
		0.	I	21	I	752	I	151	1	57	1	169	I	79	I	96	I	203	I	221	I	1749
SINGLE			· I	1.2	I	43.0	I		I		I	9.7	I	4.5	I	5.5	I	11.6	I		-	41.6
			I	12.7	I	53.3	I	38.5	I	28.9	I	41.4	I	34.3	I	32.5	I	43.3	I	34.8	I	
			I		_	17.9	-		-	•	-		_	• • •	-	2.3	-		_		-	
		1.	-	141	_		- I ·	238	- I - I		- I - I		_		-	196	-				- I 1	2414
MARRIED		1.	I		_	26.9	-		I		-		_		-	•	-				•	
······································			-		_	46.0			-			57.6	_		_		-	55.2			-	31.4
			I		_	15.5			-							4.7					-	
			-	•			-	•	•		-	• • •	-	• • •	-		-		_		_	
		2.	-	3	-		I		I	I	-		-		-		-		_		1	40
NEW SINGL	.E		I	7.5	I	25.0	I	7.5	1	2.5	I	10.0	I	7.5	I	7.5	I	17.5	1	15.0	I	1.0
			I	1.8	Ī	0.7	1	0.8	1	0.5	1	1.0	I	1.3	I	1.0	I	1.5	1	0.9	I	
			I	0.1	1	0.2	1	0.1	I	0.0	I	0.1	I	0.1	I	0.1	I	0.2	I	0.1	I	
			- I		-		-		-		_				-		- I -				- I	
	COLU	JMN		165	i	1412	Ĭ	392		197	_	408	Ī	230		2 95		469		635		4203
	TO	TAL		3.9		33.6		9.3		4.7		9.7		5.5		7.0		11.2		15.1		100.0

N. MARITAL STATUS

A physician's marital status may influence his decision to remain in the Navy. MARRIED officers may be more risk adverse than SINGLE officers when deciding to depart the Navy for a civilian medical practice due to financial concerns surrounding family commitments. The category 'new single' shown in Table 27 contains those individuals divorced, widowed or separated. For purposes of military pay, more fully discussed later, new singles were grouped with married officers (with dependents). However, when examining the decision to stay or leave the military service, new singles were grouped with singles. As noted, singles compose 42 percent of all physicians-53 percent of GMOs

TABLE 28
STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY MARITAL STATUS

	COUNT ROW PCT COL PCT	ISINGLE	,	MARRIED		EM SIN	G	ROW TOTAL
	TOT PCT	I	0.1	1	. І	2	. І	
DELCD2		-I	I -		- I -		- ī	
	0.	I 246		783	I	17	ı	1046
STAY		I 23.5	I	74.9	I	1.6	I	69.0
		I 60.7	I	71.9	I	73.9	I	
		I 16.2	I	51.6	I	1.1	I	
	-	- I	- I -		- I -		- I	
	I.	I 159	I	306	I	6	I	471
LEAVE		1 33.8	I	65.0	I	1.3	I	31.0
		1 39.3	I	28.1	I	26.1	I	
		I 10.5	I	20.2	I	0.4	I	
	-	· I	-1-		- I -		- I	
	COLUMN	405		1089		23		1517
	TOTAL	26.7		71.8		1.5		100.0

and 13 percent of EXEC. This suggests that single officers are probably younger and trained more recently. Removing obligated physicians from the sample in Table 28 indicates that 39 percent of singles and 28 percent of married non-obligated medical officers departed the Navy in FY85.

O. OSTEOPATH (OSTEO)

A physician who has been trained as an osteopath rather than receiving a medical degree (D.O. vs M.D.) may be influenced to remain in the Navy longer. Lower civilian earning potential, particularly for GMO osteopaths, and restriction of hospital privileges to osteopathic institutions may lead an osteopath to remain within the military service where he essentially receives the same treatment as his M.D. counterparts. Table 29 shows only seven percent

TABLE 29

FHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY OSTEOPATH

C	o	INT	I																				
RC	Н	PCT	IE	XEC		GMO		sı	JRG		OBGYN		INTMED)	PEDS		FAMPR		HOSPB	,	OTHER		ROH
CC	ìL	PCT	1																_				TOTAL
TO	T	PCT	I	:	1.1	1	2.	1	3	. 1		4.1	1	5.1		6.1		7.I		8.1		9.1	
DEGS45			-1		1	l		I		-1		1		I		1		1		1		1	
		0.	ī	158	1	936		I	364	1	184	1	389	1	222	1	265	1	433	1	5 9 5	1	3546
NOT OSTEOPA	TH	1	I	4.5	1	26.4		I	10.3	1	5.2	1	11.0	1	6.3	1	7.5	1	12.2	1	16.8	1	93.4
			1	95.2	1	89.0		I	97.8	I	93.4	1	95.8	1	96.9	1	92.0	I	94.3	I	94.9	I	
			1	4.2	- 1	24.7		1	9.6	I	4.8	1	10.2	1	5.8	1	7.0	1	11.4	1	15.7	I	
		-	· I		1			I		- 1		I		I		1		I		1-		I	
		1.	1	8	1	116		I	8	I	13	1	17	1	7	1	23	1	26	1	32	I	250
OSTEOPATH			I	3.2	1	46.4		1	3.2	I	5.2	1	6.8	I	2.8	1	9.2	I	10.4	I	12.8	I	6.6
			1	4.8	I	11.0		I	2.2	I	6.6	1	4.2	I	3.1	1	8.0	1	5.7	I	5.1	I	
			I	0.2	I	3.1		1	0.2	I	0.3	I	0.4	I	0.2	I	0.6	1	0.7	I	0.8	I	
		-	I		1			I		-1		I		I		1		I		1-		1	
co	LU	MM		166		1052			372		197		406		229		288		459		627		3796
Т	οт	AL		4.4		27.7			9.8		5.2		10.7		6.0		7.6		12.1		16.5		100.0

of the physician cohort to be osteopathic and 46 percent of these 250 to be engaged as GMOs with a large number of missing values. Removing obligated physicians in Table 30 shows 46 of 100 eligible osteopathic physicians to have left the military in FY85 which is higher than the 30 percent of non-osteopaths who departed.

F. MILITARY/CIVILIAN INCOME RATIO (LINCR)

Military compensation is composed of basic pay, basic allowance for subsistence (BAS), basic allowance for quarters (BAQ), and variable housing allowance (VHA). For this study of FY85 data, all officers were given BAQ. This approximation avoided the problems involved in identifying those medical officers residing in government quarters or estimating their value. For VHA, an average was taken

TABLE 3Ø
STAY OR LEAVE DECISION BY OSTEOPATH

	COUNT	I					
	ROW PCT	I	40T 0S1	E	STEOPA	AT.	ROW
	COL PCT	I	DPATH	ŀ	1		TOTAL
	TOT PCT	I	0	. I	1	1.1	
DELCD2		- I -		- I -		- I	
	0.	I	993	I	54	I	1047
STAY		I	94.8	I	5.2	I	68.8
		I	69.8	I	54.0	I	
		I	65.2	I	3.5	1	
		- I -		-1-		- I	
	I.	I	429	I	46	I	475
LEAV E		I	90.3	I	9.7	I	31.2
		I	30.2	I	46.0	I	
		I	28.2	I	3.0	I	
		- I -		- I -		- I	
	COLUMN		1422		100		1522
	TOTAL		93.4		6.6		100.0

of this allowance for the Naval Medical Command Washington, D.C. and the areas where the Navy's four largest hospitals are located (San Diego, CA, Oakland, CA, Pensacola, FL, and Portsmouth, VA). As was done for BAQ, the VHA rate was also differentiated for single medical officers (without dependents rate) and married or newly single officers (with dependents rate) [Ref. 17].

To obtain the military pay variable for Navy physicians several special pays must be added to the military compensation figures previously noted. Table 31 shows the multiple special pays authorized by the Uniformed Services Health Professional Pay Act of 1980. Table 32 shows the amount of Special Incentive Pay given to selected physician specialties in FY85.

TABLE 31
SPECIAL PAY FOR PHYSICIANS

LOS	VARIABLE SPECIAL PAY	ADDITIONAL SPECIAL PAY	BOARD CERT. PAY	INCENTIVE SPECIAL PAY(1)
< 5	\$5,000	\$9,000	\$2,000	<\$8,000
<8	\$10,000	\$9,000	\$2,000	<\$8,000
< 100	\$9,5ØØ	\$9,000	\$2,000	<\$8,000
<12	\$9,000	\$10,000	\$2,500	<\$8,000
< 14	\$8,000	\$10,000	\$3,000	<\$8,000
<18	\$7,000	\$10,000	\$4,000	<\$8,000
<22	\$6,ØØØ	\$10,000	\$5,000	<\$8,000
>22	\$5,000	\$10,000	\$5,000	<\$8,000
INTERN	\$1,200	Ø	Ø	Ø
RESID.	BY LOS	Ø	BY LOS	Ø
FLAG	\$1,000	\$10,000	BY LOS	Ø

(1) Not to exceed 6 percent of total special pays

TABLE 32 FY85 SPECIAL INCENTIVE PAY BY PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY

AMOUNT SPECIALTY

*8000 NEUROSURGEONS, PLASTIC SURGEONS, THORACIC CARDIO-VASCULAR SURGEONS, COLON-RECTAL SURGEONS, GENERAL SURGEONS, ANESTHESIOLOGISTS, SURGICAL ONCOLOGISTS, PEDIATRIC SURGEONS, ORTHOPEDIC SURGEONS, AND PE-RIPHERAL VASCULAR SURGEONS

#5000 AEROSPACE PREVENTIVE MEDICINE OFFICERS, UROLOGISTS AND OTORHINOLARYNGOLOGISTS (EAR, NOSE, AND THROAT SPECIALIST)

SOURCE: NAVAL MEDICAL COMMAND, WASHINGTON, D.C.

TABLE 33
MEDIAN CIVILIAN PHYSICIAN EARNINGS BY SPECIALTY

SPECIALTY	1985 NET EARNINGS
Neurosurgeons	\$192,670
Orthopedic surgeons	148,750
Plastic surgeons	155,17Ø
Thoracic surgeons	151,790
Radiologists	<u>150,000</u>
<u>Anesthesiologists</u>	<u>134,170</u>
OBGYN specialists	121,410
General surgeons	<u>120,830</u>
Internists	89,630
Psychiatrists	80,380
Pediatricians	79,110
Family Practitioners	76,530
General Practitioners	71,540
All surgical speciali	sts 132,640
All non-surgical spec	ialists (1) 94,680
All M.D.s	102,520
Osteopaths (2)	74,000

- (1) Does not include Family Pratitioners and General Practitioners.
- (2) General Practitioners only.

SOURCE: MEDICAL ECONOMICS, September 8, 1986 [Ref. 14]

TABLE 34
HOSPITAL-BASED PHYSICIANS' CIVILIAN EARNINGS

SPECIALTY	1981 NET(1)	1985 NET(2)	INCREASE
RADIOLOGISTS	127,310	150,000	.18
ANESTHESIOLOGISTS	108,950	137,170	.26
PATHOLOGISTS	104,620	125,544(3)	.2(3)

- (1) Source: MEDICAL ECONOMICS, March 7, 1983 [Ref. 19]
- (2) Source: MEDICAL ECONOMICS, September 8, 1986 [Ref. 14]
- (3) Estimate of Author

Civilian pay was estimated using a survey of 1985 annual physician earnings conducted in January 1986 by MEDICAL ECONOMICS and shown in Table 33 [Ref. 14]. For the specialty EXEC, the annual base salary for presidents/administrators for 1984 was increased by the 6.4 percent estimated to occur (\$91,831)in 1985 [Ref. 18]. For pathologists (hospital based specialty), annual net earning were estimated to be \$125,544 based on the estimates provided in Table 34.

A frequency analysis was conducted to examine the military and expected civilian earnings for stayers and leavers by subspecialty. These comparisons are presented in Tables 35 and 36. Additional calculations are found in Table 37 which also depict the difference in military earnings and expected civilian earnings. Some error is

TABLE 35 STAYERS AND LEAVERS MILITARY EARNINGS

VARIA8LE	CODE	VALUE LABEL	SUM	MEAN	STD DEV	VARIANCE		н
FOR ENTIRE POPULATION			96098434.7070	63181.0879	10647.2763	113364493.0877	¢	1521)
XSU8SP1	1.	EXEC	11578267.9180	73746.9294	8428.8440	71045411.8691	(157)
DELCD2	0.	STAY	10004428.5234	73561.9744	7443.7399	55409264.1064	Ċ	136)
DELCD2	1.	LEAVE	1573839.3945	74944.7331	13356.7433	178402592.8391	Ċ	21)
XSU8SP1	2.	GMO	17481924.0195	53298.5488	8629.6744	74471280.6607	(328)
DELCD2	0.	STAY	10865128.8828	57184.8889	7855.0845	61702351.7346	(1903
DELCD2	1.	LEAVE	6616795.1367	47947.7908	6545.5443	42844150.4127	(138)
XSU8SP1	3.	SURG	9003872.9258	72611.8784	9799.6140	96032434.7712	C	1241
DELCD2	0.	STAY	6943361.8789	73865.5519	9135.0076	83448363.4033	(941
DELCD2	1.	LEAVE	2060511.0469	68683.7016	10892.3177	118642584.1584	(201
XSU8SP1	4.	OBGYN	4452006.9219	63600.0989	8637.9985	74615018.7228	(70)
DELCD2	0.	STAY	2381475.0313	66152.0842	8070.7155	65136448.1923	(36)
DELCD2	1.	LEAVE	2070531.8906	60897.9968	8503.0473	72301814.0306	(34)
XSUBSP1	5.	INTMED	10840794.4688	62663.5518	8483.9986	71978232.8486	(173)
DELCD2	0.	STAY	7663721.1016	63864.3425	7697.4758	59251134.0232	(120)
DELCD2	1.	LEAVE	3177073.3672	59944.7805	9572.1775	91626582.3718	(53)
XSU8SP1	6.	PEDS	7797206.6172	61882.5922	8421.8356	70927315.1791	(1261
DELCD2	0.	STAY	6475665.5195	63486.9169	8012.4438	64199256.3260	(102)
DELCD2	1.	LEAVE	1321541.0977	55064.2124	6605.2968	43629946.0353	(241
XSUBSP1	7.	FAMPR	6200869.6406	60202.6179	5565.6245	30976176.5860	(103)
DELCD2	0.	STAY	3702799.0625	61713.3177	5490.6000	30146687.9376	(60)
DELCD2	1.	LEAVE	2498070.5781	58094.6646	5006.9321	25069369.1484	(43)
XSU8SP1	8.	HOSPB	10723144.0898	64210.4437	8865.1482	78590851.7361	C	167)
DELCD2	0.	STAY	6827243.0352	66283.9130	7826.0114	61246453.9576	(103)
DELCD2	1.	LEAVE	3895901.0547	60873.4540	9464.5921	89578504.0725	(64)
XSU8SP1	9.	OTHER	18020348.1055	66008.6011	8209.7294	67399656.2212	(2731
DELCD2	0.	STAY	14437127.8555	66838.5549	8189.1169	67061634.9134	(2161
DELCD2	1.	LEAVE	3583220.2500	62863.5132	7561.4733	57175878.5777	(571

TOTAL CASES = 4447
MISSING CASES = 2926 OR 65.8 PCT.

anticipated in the civilian earnings calculations due to unprogrammed losses both from the Navy and from medical school training programs. The size of the loss category for FY85 (N=492), however, is anticipated to accomodate these unusual cases without significantly altering any results.

TABLE 36 STAYERS AND LEAVERS EXPECTED CIVILIAN EARNINGS

VARIABLE	CDDE	VALUE LASEL	SUM	MEAN	STD DEV	VARIANCE		н
FDR ENTIRE POPULATION			145070249.0000	95378.2045	22834.8684	521431215.8167	(1521)
XSU8SP1	1.	EXEC	14417467.0000	91831.0000	0.0	0.0	C	157)
DELCD2	0.	STAY	12489016.0000	91831.0000	0.0	0.0	(136)
DELCD2	1.	LEAVE	1928451.0000	91831.0000	0.0	0.0	C	21)
XSU8SP1	2.	GMD	23556140.0000	71817.5000	779.4202	607495.8716	C	328)
DELCD2	0.	STAY	13629500.0000	71734.2105	665.1078	442368,4211	(190)
DELCD2	1.	LEAVE	9926640.0000	71932.1739	903.8068	816866.7725	C	138)
XSU8SP1	3.	SURG	16447360.0000	132640.0000	0.0	0.0	C	1241
DELCD2	0.	STAY	12468160.0000	132640.0000	0.0	0.0	(94)
DELCD2	1.	LEAVE	3979200.0000	132640.0000	0.0	0.0	C	30)
XSU8SP1	4.	DBGYN	8498700.0000	121410.0000	0.0	0.0	C	703
DELCD2	0.	STAY	4370760.0000	121410.0000	0.0	0.0	(36)
DELCD2	1.	LEAVE	4127940.0000	121410.0000	0.0	0.0	(34)
XSU8SP1	5.	INTMED	15505990.0000	89630.0000	0.0	0.0	C	173)
DELCD2	9.	STAY	10755600.0000	89630.0000	0.0	0.0	(120)
DELCD2	1.	LEAVE	4750390.0000	89630.0000	0.0	0.0	C	53)
XSU8SP1	6.	PEDS	9967860.0000	79110.0000	0.0	0.0	C	126)
DELCD2	0.	STAY	8069220.0000	79110.0000	0.0	0.0	(102)
DELCD2	1.	LEAVE	1898640.0000	79110.0000	0.0	0.0	(24)
XSU8SP1	7.	FAMPR	7882590.0000	76530.0000	0.0	0.0	C	103)
DELCD2	0.	STAY	4591800.0000	76530.0000	0.0	0.0	(60)
DELCD2	1.	LEAVE	3290790.0000	76530.0000	0.0	0.0	(43)
XSU8SP1	8.	HDSP8	22946502.0000	137404.2036	10408.4899	108336662.3920	C	167)
DELCD2	0.	STAY	13979608.0000	135724.3495	10453.3118	109271727.8766	(103)
DELCD2	1.	LEAVÉ	8966894.0000	140107.7188	9823.6331	96503767.9831	(64)
XSUBSP1	9.	DTHER	25847640.0000	94680.0000	0.0	0.0	C	273)
DELCD2	0.	STAY	20450880.0000	94680.0000	0.0	0.0	(216)
DELCD2	1.	LEAVE	5396760.0000	94680.0000	0.0	0.0	()	57)
TDTAL CASES = 4447							1	

TDTAL CASES = 4447
MISSING CASES = 2926 OR 65.8 PCT.

63

TABLE 37

EXPECTED MILITARY-CIVILIAN EARNINGS DIFFERENCES

SPECIALTY	CIVILIAN <u>INCREASE</u>	MILPAY/CIVPAY
EXEC	\$18, <i>Ø</i> 84	. 8Ø
GMO	18,518	.74
SURG	6Ø,Ø24	.55
OBGYN	57,81Ø	.52
INTMED	26,966	.7Ø
PEDS	17,227	.78
FAMPR	16,328	.79
HOSPB	73,194	. 47
OTHER	28,671 =====	.7ø ===
AVERAGE	\$32,197	. 66

IV. EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

A. EXPLANATORY VARIABLES

Three models were developed and estimated using the LOGIT nonlinear regression technique. This technique was chosen because the dependent variable (DELCD2) is restricted to two values—either a physician stayed in the Navy (Ø) or he was a loss (1). The models were designed to estimate the effect that a number of variables had on a physician's decision to stay or leave. Most of the explanatory variables selected were dichotomous variables, coded 1 if the condition holds and Ø if it does not. Table 38 lists all the variables considered in this study.

The strengths of this model are several. First, there has been a dramatic increase in the completeness of the data over the past several years. Table 39 shows the number of missing values in the file used. Aside from additional military-specific medical training (ADD QUAL) and Osteopath, the largest number of missing observations is only four percent of the sample.

B. PROBLEMS OF MULTICOLLINEARITY

Multiple regression models assume that there is no linear relationship between the independent variables. This means that the effect of an independent variable

TABLE 38

EXPLANATORY VARIABLES CONSIDERED FOR THE MODELS

SPECIALTY

Executive Medicine
General Medical Officer
Surgeon
Obstetrician/Gynecologist
Internal Medicine
Family Practice
Pediatrician
Hospital Based
Other

SOURCE OF ENTRY

Volunteer Prior Armed Forces Health Profession Scholarship Program

OTHER

Military/Civilian Income Ratio Gender
Race
Regular or Reserve Officer
Flight Medicine Qualification
Undersea Medicine Qualification
Board Certification
Citizenship
Grade
Length-of-Service
Doctor of Osteopathy (OSTEO)
Marital Status
Age
Medical School
Eligible to Retire

TABLE 39 MISSING VALUES

VARIABLE	MISSING VALUES	PERCENT
SOURCE OF ENTRY	4	Ø
PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY	52	1
INCOME RATIO	Ø5	Ø
GENDER	14	Ø
RACE	132	3
REGULAR OR RESERVE	Ø	Ø
ADD QUAL	29Ø5*	-
BOARD CERTIFICATION	2	Ø
CITIZENSHIP	48	1
GRADE	2	Ø
LENGTH-OF-SERVICE	18	Ø
OSTEOPATH	602	14
MARITAL STATUS	196	4
AGE	199	4
MEDICAL SCHOOL	121	3
RETIREMENT ELIGIBLE	18	Ø

*Note: Many physicians, particularly junior medical officers, may not have received any military-specific training such as aviation or undersea medical education.

(such as LOS) on the decision variable (STAY or LEAVE) is assumed to occur while all other variables are held constant. If a linear relationship does exist—that is, if an independent variable is strongly related (either positively or negatively) to one or more of the other independent variables—than a change in this independent variable causes a corresponding change in the other, correlated variable. This causes a problem of multicollinearity. Multicollinearity or strong correlation between independent variables (such as LOS and GRADE) makes the coefficients of the regression model unreliable and unstable [Ref. 6].

TABLE 40 SELECTED CORRELATION PROBLEMS

VARIABLE	with VARIABLE	CORRELATION
LINCR LINCR	HOSPB REGULAR	51 .41
AFHPSP AFHPSP	REGULAR BDCERT	52 38
GMO	BDCERT	44
FMGRAD	VOL	. 47

Appendix C lists the simple correlation coefficients of all variables within the model. As can be seen, AGE, GRADE and LOS are highly correlated with a number of the other independent variables. In addition, NOTCIT is highly correlated with the foreign medical graduate variable (.69). For this reason, these four variables (ARE, GRADE, LOS, NOTCIT) were omitted from the model.

Potential multicollinearity between other variables necessitated the construction of two models to appropriately consider the remaining variables. Table 40 presents the simple correlation coefficients between other combinations of explanatory variables. Although most of the correlations noted are not surprising, the statistical problems they create can cause difficulties for the entire model. The income ratio (LINCR), for example, is negatively correlated with HOSPB—that is, the military—civilian wage ratio for hospital—based physicians tends to be low

due to the high median civilian earnings these physicians can expect. Similarly, BDCERT physicians, by definition, are not GMOs.

Model One is briefly presented at the beginning of the next chapter to exemplify the problems associated with multicollinearity. With many variables either positively or negatively correlated with one another, the model coefficients become difficult to interpret. For example, many variables that were expected to have a significant effect are insignificant, while other variables, later shown to be insignificant, are erroneously presented as significant.

The second LOGIT explanatory model, Model Two, omits LINCR and FMGRAD in order to alleviate multicollinearity. AFHPSP was also omitted and became the comparison variable against which VOL and PRIOR were evaluated. Similarly, GMO was omitted and became the base case against which the other specialties were evaluated.

This base case distinction is important to remember for it means that the effect of a given variable on the decision to stay or leave must be evaluated as compared to the base case variable. For example, the effect of the decision to stay or leave for physicians with aviation or undersea medicine qualifications is only by comparison with physicians with OTHER qualifications. As noted in the frequency analysis earlier, we know that nearly 25

percent of OTHER physicians who were eligible to leave the service did leave in FY85. Hence, any tendency for aviation or undersea medicine physicians to stay or leave is in comparison to the characteristics embodied within the 25 percent who departed (or 75 percent who stayed).

The third LOGIT model reinstated the variables in Model Two but omitted REGULAR, BDCERT, HOSPB and VOL. HOSPB thus became the comparison variable against which the other specialties were evaluated. VOL similarly became the base case against which PRIOR and AFHPSP source of entry programs were measured.

IV. EMPIRICAL RESULTS

A. MODEL ONE

Table 41 presents the mean value for all variables in Model One discussed above. As noted, 2899 (73%) of the potential cohort of stayers were deleted from those remaining on active duty through FY85 primarily because they were obligated. Among this cohort of stayers and leavers, the average age was 41, grade was lieutenant commander, AFHPSP was 29 percent, LOS was 11 years, etc. OBGYN is annotated as having "limited dispersion" because of the small sample size (.045) [Ref. 20].

Previous analysis suggests that the entering cohort of physicians, noted earlier in the frequencies presented, does not necessarily indicate the mean percentage of physicians analyzed in this logistic regression. For example, 168 physicians were initially identified as executive medicine officers while 408 physicians were classified as surgeons. After eliminating those physicians who primarily have obligations to the Naval service, 100 percent of all physicians were executive medicine officers while only 8 percent of the surgeons remained. This variation suggests very few executive medicine officers are obligated while surgeons may either be in a four year residency or serving under obligation.

TABLE 41

MODEL ONE MEAN OBSERVATIONS

1548 OBSERVATIONS 1062 DELCD2 = 0 486 DELCD2 = 1 2899 OBSERVATIONS DELETED DUE TO MISSING VALUES

VARIABLE	MEAN	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	RANGE
LINCR	-0.387716	-1.33806	0.11454	1.4526
PR 10R	0.297158	0	1	1
AFHPSP	0.291344	0	1	1
EXEC	0.101421	0	1	1
SURG	0.0781654	0	1	1
OBGYN	0.0452196	0	1	1 =
INTMED	0.110465	0	1	1
PEDS	0.0813953	0	1	1
FAMPR	0.0658915	0	1	1
HOSP8	0.106589	0	1	1
OTHER	0.175711	0	1	1
REGULAR	0.607235	0	1	1
FMGRAD	0.136951	0	1	1
8DCERT	0.595607	0	1	1
FLIGHT	0.177003	0	1	1
UNDER	0.0587855	0	1	1
OSTEO	0.0645995	0	1	1
NOCAUC	0.121447	0	1	1
ELRET	0.122093	0	1	1
SINGLE	0.273256	0	1	1
FEMALE	0.0872093	0	1	1
AGE	41.3391	26	67	41
GRADE	4.78359	3	,	6
LOS	11.3075	1	39	38
NOTCLT	0.118863	0	1	1

* WARNING: VARIABLE HAS LIMITED DISPERSION.

IT MAY BE A BAD CANDIDATE FOR THE MODEL.

-2 LOG LIKELIHOOD FOR MODEL CONTAINING INTERCEPT ONLY: 1926.42

MODEL CH1-SQUARE: 537.06 WITH 25 D.F. (SCORE STAT.) P=0.0 .

CONVERGENCE OBTAINED IN 6 ITERATIONS. R= 0.537.

MAX ABSOLUTE DERIVATIVE=0.2536D-04. -2 LOG L= 1321.32.

MODEL CH1-SQUARE: 605.10 WITH 25 D.F. (-2 LOG L.R.) P=0.0 .

Table 41 also gives an R-value of .53. This denotes the explanatory ability of the variables to indicate whether a physician will remain in the Navy or leave. "R" has a value of zero if the model is of no value and "1" if the model predicts perfectly.

TABLE 42

MODEL ONE LOGISTIC REGRESSION PROCEDURE

VARIABLE	BETA	STD. ERRDR	CHI-SQUARE	P R
INTERCEPT	3.89209093	0.86119696	20.42 0.00	00
LINCR	1.06714355	0.86557996	1.52 0.21	76 0.000
PRIOR	-0.18649019	0.24726031	0.57 0.45	07 0.000
AFHPSP	0.49063416	0.21135308	5.39 0.02	03 0.042
EXEC	0.38151464	0.42770405	0.80 0.37	24 0.000
SURG	0.57496027	0.54325675	1.12 0.28	99 0.000
DBGYN	1.35199872	0.57771458	5.48 0.01	93 0.042
INTMED	0.56519362	0.34881536	2.63 0.10	52 0.018
PEDS	-0.15104414	0.35286626	0.18 0.66	86 0.000
FAMPR	0.64679580	0.32709746	3.91 0.04	80 0.031
HDSP8	1.40606096	0.62080246	5.13 0.02	35 0.040
OTHER	0.44324278	0.35296479	1.58 0.20	92 0.000
REGULAR	-2.16730796	0.18537049	136.70 .	-0.264
FMGRAD	-0.42535744	0.33721618	1.59 0.20	72 0.000
BDCERT	-0.18196471	0.17815169	1.04 0.30	71 0.000
FLIGHT	-0.65926075	0.20958360	9.89 0.00	17 -0.064
UNDER	-0.17122797	0.31832396	0.29 0.59	06 0.000
OSTED	0.22182665	0.27750434	0.64 0.42	41 0.000
NOCAUC	-0.07227427	0.22870738	0.10 0.75	20 0.000
ELRET	2.71491725	0.35545631	58.34 0.00	00 0.171
SINGLE	-0.25831506	0.16795229	2.37 0.12	40 -0.014
FEMALE	-0.10897246	0.24492540	0.20 0.65	64 0.000
AGE	0.01065028	0.01744128	0.37 0.54	14 0.000
GRADE	-0.85567663	0.17175030	24.82 0.00	00 -0.109
LOS	-0.02380293	0.02869135	0.69 0.40	68 0.000
NOTCIT	-0.00566999	0.34533262	0.00 0.98	69 0.000

CLASSIFICATION TABLE

PREDICTED

		HE	GATIVE		PDSITIV	Æ	TDTAL
	NEGATIVE	I	935	!	127	1	1062
TRUE	POSITIVE		180			l l	486
	TDTAL		1115		433	-1	1548

SENSITIVITY: 63.0% SPECIFICITY: 88.0% CDRRECT: 80.2% FALSE PDSITIVE RATE: 29.3% FALSE NEGATIVE RATE: 16.1%

FRACTION OF CONCORDANT PAIRS OF PREDICTED PROBABILITIES AND RESPONSES :0.855
RANK CORRELATION SETWEEN PREDICTED PROBABILITY AND RESPONSE :0.717

In reviewing the logistic regression results in Table 42, we first examine the individual R-statistics given for each independent variable. As can be seen, the R-values are between -1 and +1 and they provide a measure of the

contribution of the variables independent of the sample size. The R-value is zero if the variable provides no partial contribution to the model. None of the R-values are +1 which would indicate it is perfectly related to the dependent variable nor -1 which would indicate a perfect inverse relationship. An example of a perfectly related variable would be to compare any variable with itself (monthly income and annual income). Conversely, civilian computer programmers would probably be nearly perfectly unrelated to the model specified, provided they were not also physicians. [Ref. 20]

Next, a review of the P-statistics indicates the significance of the estimated coefficient of the independent variables examined. As would be expected, the previously examined variables which did not contribute to the model (R-statistic = Ø), were not significant at the 1Ø percent level. On the other hand, the most significant variable, whether a physician was designated as a reserve or augmented into the regular Navy, contributes significantly to the explanatory power of the model. The more significant (lower) the P-statistic, the more confidence can be associated with the estimated coefficient (Beta). Since the purpose of this inquiry is to determine significant characteristics which accounted for the decision of a physician to remain or leave the Naval service, the P-statistic

helps establish the level of confidence with which the independent variable's coefficient can be judged.

After reviewing the explanatory ability of the variables chosen (R-statistic) and the significance of the Beta coefficients (P-statistic), the Beta coefficients themselves are examined. These coefficients should be viewed in terms of relative magnitude rather than absolute size. Positive coefficients indicate "more likely to leave" while negative coefficients suggest "less likely to leave" the Naval service.

Examination of the independent variables shows that several are unexpectedly insignificant, while others are surprisingly significant. LOS, AGE, PRIOR, and FMGRAD are among the variables evaluated as not contributing to the model while the one variable previously evaluated as least likely to be of significance, OBGYN, is significant. In addition, LINCR, although not statistically significant, has a positive coefficient which indicates that an increase in military pay is likely to influence physicians to leave the Naval service. These results, particularly in view of the many high standard deviations indicate the disturbing effect that multicollinearity can have on a model.

To rectify as many of these correlation problems as possible, Models Two and Three were estimated. This is not meant to infer that the variables eliminated are not

significant (AGE, GRADE, LOS, NOTCIT) in the physicians decision to remain in or leave the Navy. The contrary is true. Individually and in modified regressions, the higher a physicians age, grade and length-of-service, the less likely he is to leave the Navy and these results were significant at the one percent level. Aliens and naturalized citizens (NOTCIT) were also significantly less likely to leave the Navy.

B. MODEL TWO

A second regression model was constructed which omitted the income variable (LINCR) and FMGRAD. AFHPSP and GMO were similarly deleted from this model to form the comparison variables for source of entry and physician subspecialty, respectively. Mean observations remained virtually identical and are not repeated. Overall, the reader should first note in Table 43 that every standard error in Model 2 has been reduced. This suggests that Model Two has succeeded in reducing multicollinearity problems throughout the model. Secondly, this model's explanatory R-value remains approximately the same (.51).

In examining physician specialty and level of significance (P-value), both VOL and PRIOR physicians were less likely to leave the Navy than the comparison variable AFHPSP. Previous frequency analysis showed that 57 percent of non-obligated AFHPSP physicians left the service

TABLE 43 MODEL TWO LOGISTIC REGRESSION PROCEDURE

-2 LOG LIKELIHOOD FOR MODEL CONTAINING INTERCEPT ONLY 1940.15

MODEL CH1-SQUARE: 495.28 WITH 19 D.F. (SCORE STAT.) P=0.0 . CONVERGENCE OBTAINED IN 6 ITERATIONS. R= 0.512.

MAX ABSOLUTE DERIVATIVE=0.3880D-07. -2 LOG L= 1394.49.

MODEL CH1-SQUARE= 545.65 WITH 19 D.F. (-2 LOG L.R.) P=0.0 .

VARIABLE	8ETA	STD. ERROR	CH1-SOUARE	P	R
INTERCEPT	1.21900311	0.19129687	40.61	0.0000	
VOL	-0.86462232	0.15801292	29.94	0.0000	-0.120
PR1OR	-1.02168504	0.22123142	21.33	0.0000	-0.100
EXEC	-0.73006504	0.33118577	4.86	0.0275	-0.038
SURG	-0.67263983	0.29109453	5.34	0.0208	-0.041
OSGYN	0.37738246	0.33113302	1.30	0.2544	0.000
INTMED	-0.19074392	0.26086497	0.53	0.4647	0.000
PEDS	-0.62900305	0.32278300	3.80	0.0513	-0.030
FAMPR	0.40193324	0.31281836	1.65	0.1988	0.000
HOSP8	0.20685903	0.25646186	0.65	0.4199	0.000
OTHER	-0.33101385	0.24525727	1.82	0.1771	0.000
REGULAR	-2.42801922	0.16985671	204.33		-0.323
BDCERT	-0.24026018	0.16409024	2.14	0.1431	-0.009
FL1GHT	-0.77027467	0.20145271	14.62	0.0001	-0.081
UNDER	-0.29842618	0.31217661	0.91	0.3391	0.000
OSTEO	0.25439257	0.26704654	0.91	0.3408	0.000
HOCAUC	-0.28900454	0.20469772	1.99	0.1580	0.000
ELRET	2.01339114	0.24703569	66.43	0.0000	0.182
SINGLE	-0.10400695	0.15828152	0.43	0.5111	0.000
FEMALE	-0.02318895	0.23683669	0.01	0.9220	0.000

CLASSIFICATION TABLE

PREDICTED

		N	EGAT1VE		POS1T1V	Ε	TOTAL
	NEGAT1VE	1	916	1	155	1	1071
TRUE		1		-1		-1	
	POS1T1VE	L	179	-1	310	1	489
		1-				-	
	TOTAL	1	1095	1	465	1	1560

SENSITIVITY: 63.4% SPECIFICITY: 85.5% CORRECT: 78.6% FALSE POSITIVE RATE: 33.3% FALSE NEGATIVE RATE: 16.3%

FRACTION OF CONCORDANT PAIRS OF PREDICTED PROBABILITIES AND RESPONSES :0.837 RANK CORRELATION BETWEEN PREDICTED PROBABILITY AND RESPONSE

:0.685

in FY85. This can be stated conversely: AFHPSP medical officers were the most likely to leave the Navy in FY85 when compared to other commissioning programs.

Of the significant (P < .1) subspecialty variables, EXEC (-.73), SURG (-.67), and PEDS (-.63) were less likely to leave the service in FY85 than GMOs. However, previous frequency analysis showed that 42 percent of non-obligated GMOs left the Navy. The other specialties were not statistically significant in a comparison with GMO as to whether they were more or less likely to leave the Naval service.

Of the remaining variables, REGULAR (-2.4) and FLIGHT (-.7) were less likely to leave. REGULAR was the most significant variable in the model with the highest explanatory ability (R=.32). FLIGHT must be compared to non-obligated physicians with OTHER additional qualifications who left the military service with a frequency of 25 percent in FY85. Also significant were physicians eligible to retire who were more likely to leave (2.0) than those medical officers with less that 20 years-of-service.

Non-caucasians were less likely to leave the Navy in FY85 than caucasians, but this statistic was not significant. This may suggest that the civilian opportunities for non-caucasians are not as good as for caucasians. Although this appears intuitively correct, this independent variable is statistically insignificant. Using a similar

explanation, females are less likely to leave the Navy than males, but again the statistic is not significant.

Board certification was not evaluated as a significant variable in the model although the indication is that they are less likely to leave the Naval service than physicians who are not board certified. This result may suggest problems within the model resulting from those physicians who are board certified but not performing in their specialty. As noted earlier, studies have indicated that the increased earnings potential of board certified physicians increases the probability of their leaving the military.

The substitute for length-of-service, ELRET, would be anticipated to show that those physicians who had served twenty years would be more likely to leave the service than those who had not. Although physician retention is not strong, the model estimates a strong liklihood that physicians eligible to retire are more likely to leave the Navy than those who are not. This result was also statistically highly significant.

The remainder of Table 43 deals with the predicted probabilities given in the model. Sensitivity (63%) is the proportion of true positives that were predicted to be positive. Specificity is the proportion of true negatives (86%) that were predicted to be negative. The false positive (33%) and false negative (16%) rates are also found within Table 43. An additional way of assessing the predictive

power of the model is given by "concordant pairs" which measures the concordance between predicted probabilities and responses (84%).

The estimated coefficients indicate the tendency of the independent variable only to be "more likely" or "less likely" to leave the Naval service. To provide a numerical interpretation of the significant (P < .1) variables in Model Two, elasticities were calculated for the Beta coefficients according to the following formula:

ELASTICITY = Beta * X(i) * (1-P);

where:

Beta = estimated coefficient
X(i) = mean of the independent variable (i)
P = probability of leaving = 489/156 = .313
[Ref. 6]

As shown in Table 44, a ten percent increase in the number of volunteers leads to a two percent decrease in the average probability of their leaving. Similarly, a ten percent increase in the number of augmented or regular Navy physicians indicates a ten percent average decrease in the probability of their leaving. Conversely, a ten percent increase in the number or retirement eligible physicians would lead to a 1.6 percent increase in the number of medical officers departing the Navy. As can be seen from the table, the largest elasticity is associated with a physician's status as a reserve or REGULAR Naval officer. This suggests that medical officers who apply for and successfully augment into the regular Navy, and

TABLE 44

ELASTICITY OF SELECTED VARIABLES IN MODEL TWO

VARIABLE	BETA	ELASTICITY	EFFECT ON Y OF A 10% RISE IN X(i) ON LEAVING PROB.
VOL PRIOR EXEC SURG PEDS REGULAR FLIGHT	865 -1.022 730 673 629 -2.428 770	233 207 051 037 035 -1.006 093	2.3% DECREASE 2.1% DECREASE .5% DECREASE .4% DECREASE .4% DECREASE 10.0% DECREASE .9% DECREASE
ELRET	+2.013	+.167	1.6% INCREASE

incur a two year commitment in so doing, were less likely to leave in FY85.

Table 45 shows the final parameter estimates given in a stepwise regression. Here variables have been added to the model to maximize its explanatory power (R-value) or maximize the significance of the individual coefficient. This partial correlation deletes variables not significant at the 10 percent level and lists the most significant variables after the impact of all previous variables has been eliminated.

As can be seen, the stepwise regression estimated two additional physician specialties (OTHER and INTMED) as being statistically significant in the model. Both of these physicians specialties were estimated to be less likely to leave the Naval service in FY85 than GMO. In comparing the predictive nature of this reduced model, all the statistical indicators remained virtually

TABLE 45

MODEL TWO STEPWISE REGRESSION PROCEDURE

NO ADDITIONAL VARIABLES MET THE 0.1000 SIGNIFICANCE LEVEL FOR ENTRY.

FINAL PARAMETER ESTIMATES

VARIABLE	8ETA	STD. ERROR	CH1-SQUARE	Р	R
INTERCEPT	1.20189825	0.12907732	86.70		
REGULAR	-2.41744161	0.16641493	211.02		-0.328
ELRET	1.99246582	0.24150066	68.07	0.0000	0.185
VOL	-0.87905542	0.15266279	33.16	0.0000	-0.127
PRIOR	-1.00388264	0.21677838	21.45	0.0000	-0.100
FLIGHT	-0.78911577	0.19119036	17.04	0.0000	-0.088
SURG	-0.88995464	0.25250111	12.42	0.0004	-0.073
EXEC	-0.94193705	0.29463563	10.22	0.0014	-0.065
PEDS	-0.86961410	0.28210036	9.50	0.0021	-0.062
OTHER	-0.55422478	0.19883091	7.77	0.0053	-0.055
INTMED	-0.40266133	0.21883993	3.39	0.0658	-0.027

CLASSIFICATION TABLE

PREDICTED

		N	EGATIVE		POSITIV	Æ.	TOTAL
	NEGATIVE	1	917	1	154	ī	1071
TRU		1		ł		1	
	POSITIVE	-		-			489
	TOTAL		1107		453		1560

SENSITIVITY: 61.1% SPECIFICITY: 85.6% CORRECT: 77.9% FALSE POSITIVE RATE: 34.0% FALSE NEGATIVE RATE: 17.2%

FRACTION OF CONCORDANT PAIRS OF PREDICTED PROBABILITIES AND RESPONSES 10.824
RANK CORRELATION SETWEEN PREDICTED PROBABILITY AND RESPONSE 10.680

the same. This suggests that the eliminated variables add little to the predictive power of the original model. The stepwise regression also altered the coefficients and intercept for all the selected variables. In the case of surgeons (-.88 vs previous -.67), for example, they are still less likely to leave the Navy than GMOs, but this revised coefficient has not changed the SURG relationship with the other subspecialties estimated as

significant. Again, the coefficients for physician specialty are not to be assessed in terms of absolute size, but relative magnitude.

C. MODEL THREE

A final model was estimated using the LOGIT nonlinear estimation technique which included the previously omitted variables in Model Two (LINCR, AFHPSP, GMO, FMGRAD) and elimated the following: VOL, HOSPB, REGULAR, BDCERT. As in Model Two, AGE, GRADE, LOS and NOTCIT were also eliminated. Of initial importance is the drop in the overall explanatory power of the model (R-value) from .51 to .39. This probably is the result of eliminating REGULAR from the new model when it was the most significant variable in both Models One and Two. Secondly, Table 46 shows that the majority of standard errors have again dropped marginally.

The first variable, the natural log of the military-civilian income ratio is statistically significant. This positive coefficient indicates that a rise in military income narrows the gap between military and estimated civilian earnings, and thus suggests that Navy physicians would be less likely to leave the service if given an increase in pay. The new significance of LINCR also indicates that the model has reduced multicollinearity.

TABLE 46

MODEL THREE LOGISTIC REGRESSION PROCEDURE

+2 LOG LIKELIHOOD FOR MODEL CONTAINING INTERCEPT ONLY= 1940.15

MODEL CH1-SQUARE= 309.53 WITH 19 D.F. (SCORE STAT.) P=0.0 . CONVERGENCE OBTAINED 1N 6 ITERATIONS. R= 0.387.
MAX ABSOLUTE DERIVATIVE=0.7059D-11. -2 LOG L= 1611.85. MODEL CHI-SQUARE: 328.30 WITH 19 D.F. (-2 LOG L.R.) P=0.0 .

VARIA8LE	8ETA	STD. ERROR	CHI-SQUARE	Р	R
INTERCEPT	-1.17965256	0.31281837	14.22 0.0	0002	
LINCR	-1.44424797	0.39040027	13.69 0.0	0002	-0.078
PRIOR	-0.86952330	0.18992278	20.96 0.0	0000	-0.099
AFHPSP	1.01058088	0.16127029	39.27 0.	0000	0.139
EXEC	-1.04789415	0.32848394	10.18 0.0	0014	-0.065
GMO	0.25531381	0.25369299	1.01 0.	3142	0.000
SURG	-0.91613138	0.27422365	11.16 0.0	8000	-0.069
08GYN	-0.10514007	0.30655022	0.12 0.3	7316	0.000
INTMED	-0.33809133	0.26119740	1.68 0.	1955	0.000
PEDS	-0.72090467	0.32570189	4.90 0.0	0269	-0.039
FAMPR	0.21056949	0.31947163	0.43 0.9	5098	0.000
OTHER	-0.65292366	0.24367731	7.18 0.0	0074	-0.052
FMGRAD	-0.65159938	0.22727468	8.22 0.0	0041	-0.057
FL1GHT	-0.83667437	0.18727277	19.96 0.0	0000	-0.096
UNDER	-0.62272411	0.28942488	4.63 0.0	0314	-0.037
OS TEO	0.33454868	0.24021564	1.94 0.	1637	0.000
NOCAUC	-0.07800417	0.19479397	0.16 0.0	6888	0.000
ELRET	1.42998225	0.22877291	39.07 0.0	0000	0.138
SINGLE	0.00562052	0.14453855	0.00 0.9	9690	0.000
FEMALE	-0.00784212	0.21870423	0.00 0.9	9714	0.000

CLASS'SICATION TABLE

PREDICTED

		N	EGAT1VE		POSITIV	E	TOTAL
	NEGATIVE	1	947	1	124	1	1071
TRUE		1		1		1	
	POS1T1VE	1	273	1	216	1	489
		1				-1-	
	TOTAL	1	1220	1	340	1	1560

SENSITIVITY: 44.2% SPECIFICITY: 88.4% CORRECT: 74.6% FALSE POSITIVE RATE: 36.5% FALSE NEGATIVE RATE: 22.4%

FRACTION OF CONCORDANT PAIRS OF PREDICTED PROBABILITIES AND RESPONSES :0.766 RANK CORRELATION SETWEEN PREDICTED PROBABILITY AND RESPONSE

:0.544

For a physician's source of entry, both PRIOR and AFHPSP are statistically significant when compared to VOL. Non-obligated volunteer physicians departed the Navy at the 25 percent rate in FY85. The coefficients indicate that AFHPSP physicians were again more likely to leave the Naval service than volunteers or PRIOR commissioned officers.

In examining specialty, EXEC (1.0), SURG (-.91), PEDS (-.72) and OTHER (-.65) are all less likely to leave the military service than hospital-based physicians. Previous frequency analysis showed hospital-based physicians who were not obligated to have left the Navy at the rate of 39 percent in FY85. These results are quite similar to those found in Model Two in terms of relative magnitude which suggests a consistency in Models Two and Three.

When compared with physicians who have received additional qualifications, FLIGHT remains significant and continues to suggest that these physicians are less likely to leave the Navy (-.83). In addition, physicians with undersea medicine qualifications are also statistically significant and less likely to leave the Navy (-.62). UNDER was not significant in Model Two although the coefficient suggested they were less likely to leave. Aerospace and undersea medicine qualifications involve voluntary education in subspecialties not easily transferred to the private sector. This may suggest why physicians who

seek this training are less likely to leave the Naval service.

Of the other variables included in this model, FMGRAD (-.65) and ELRET (1.4) were significant at the one percent level. Foreign medical graduates is one of the variables added to this model and suggests these physicians are less likely to leave the Navy that their U.S. educated peers. Physicians eligible to retire, as in Model Two, continue to be inclined to leave the Navy.

The change in variables from the previous model has also altered the predicted probabilities given. Sensitivity or the proportion of true positives has dropped from 63 percent to 44 percent. Specificity or the proportion of true positives has dropped from 88 to 86 percent. Similarly, the false positive rate has risen slightly (33 to 37 percent) and the false negative rate has also risen from 16 to 22 percent. Lastly, the concordance between predicted probabilities and responses has dropped from 84 to 77 percent. These results, combined with the now lower R-value of Model Three previously noted, suggest that the reduction in correlation sought by establishing this model has been at the expense of its overall explanatory power.

Table 47 presents the elasticities for the significant variables in Model Three. An increase in AFHPSP now leads to an overal increase in the average probability of leaving.

TABLE 47

ELASTICITY OF SELECTED VARIABLES IN MODEL THREE

VARIABLES	BETA	ELASTICITY	EFFECT ON Y OF A 10% RISE IN X(i) ON LEAVING PROB.
LINCR	-1.444	992	+9.9% DECREASE
PRIOR	870	176	2% DECREASE
AFHPSP	+1.011	+.206	+2.1% INCREASE
EXEC	-1.048	073	7% DECREASE
SURG	916	050	5% DECREASE
PEDS	721	0140	4% DECREASE
OTHER	653	Ø79	8% DECREASE
FMGRAD	652	Ø61	6% DECREASE
FLIGHT	837	1Ø1	-1.0% DECREASE
UNDER	623	Ø25	3% DECREASE
ELRET	+1.430	+.119	+1.2% <u>INCREASE</u>

This is the result of changing the comparison variable to VOL from the previous model. Similarly, a ten percent increase in foreign medical graduates reduces the average probability of leaving. Lastly, a ten percent increase in the military-civilian income ratio increases the probability of physicians remaining in service. The income variable also has the largest elasticity in Model Three which suggests the importance of military pay in the Navy physician's retention decision in FY85.

Table 48 presents the final parameter estimates given in a stepwise regression. As occurred in Model 2, the internal medicine specialty has become significant at the five percent level. This suggests that the elimination of non-contributing variables accomplished by the stepwise technique, improved the significance of INTMED as occurred in Model Two.

TABLE 48 MODEL THREE STEPWISE REGRESSION PROCEDURE

FINAL PARAMETER ESTIMATES

VAR1A8LE	8ETA	STD. ERROR	CH1-SQUARE	Р	R
INTERCEPT	-0.90425307	0.18898130	22.90	.0000	
AFHPSP	1.05818628	0.15384099	47.31	0.000	0.153
LINCR	-1.14641725	0.29817040	14.78	10001	-0.081
ELRET	1.34374673	0.22078974	37.04	0.000	0.134
PRIOR	-0.90176837	0.18688115	23.28	0.0000	-0.105
FL1GHT	-0.79532080	0.18021333	19.48	0.000	-0.095
FMGRAD	-0.70195124	0.21512315	10.65	0.0011	-0.067
SURG	-0.99325442	0.24924208	15.88	.0001	-0.085
UNDER	-0.59399041	0.28641710	4.30	.0381	-0.034
EXEC	-1.19062579	0.28056641	18.01	0.0000	-0.091
OTHER	-0.79874577	0.18118281	19.43	0.0000	-0.095
PEDS	-0.91299731	0.26263139	12.08	.0005	-0.072
INTMED	-0.48024582	0.20209126	5.65	0.0175	-0.043

CLASS'FICATION TABLE

PREDICTED

		NEGATIVE			POSITIVE	_	TOTAL		
	NEGATIVE	1	946	1	125	ı	1071		
TRUE		1		ł		1			
	POSITIVE	-			217		489		
	TOTAL	•	1218			:	1560		

SENSITIVITY: 44.4% SPECIFICITY: 88.3% CORRECT: 74.6% FALSE POSITIVE RATE: 36.5% FALSE NEGATIVE RATE: 22.3%

FRACTION OF CONCORDANT PAIRS OF PREDICTED PROBABILITIES AND RESPONSES :0.766 RANK CORRELATION SETWEEN PREDICTED PROSABILITY AND RESPONSE

VI. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The explanatory models developed in this thesis are presented as a potential starting point from which further analysis can be performed. As noted earlier, the data set permitted only a cross-sectional analysis of the Naval Medical Corps. The combination of several years data would establish an historical data base which could allow the measurement of variables such as income that fluctuate over time rather than across the force. A time series analysis would provide a larger data set as more individuals would eventually reach their stay/leave decision point.

In addition, further research could focus on separate portions of the BUMIS File in examining the retention behavior of Medical Service, Nurse or Dental Corps Officers. For example, the stay/leave decision for dental officers would be anticipated to be quite different from the behavior of medical officers. Similarly, sources of entry, pay differential and obligated service for nonmedical staff corps officers may suggest an entirely different set of incentives and behavior for these non-physician health care professionals.

Several significant conclusions can be drawn from the explanatory models developed in this study. First, a physician's specialty plays an important role in explaining

loss behavior. Specifically, executive medicine officers, surgeons, pediatricians, OTHER, and internists were less likely to leave the Navy than general medical officers and hospital-based physicians. These results, however, must be tempered by the frequency analysis, which indicated that both 42 percent of non-obligated general medical officers and 39 percent of non-obligated hospital-based physicians who could leave the Navy in FY85 did so.

The results from the analysis of source of entry are also significant. AFHPSP, the major source of entry for Navy physicians in FY85, are more likely to leave military service than directly commissioned volunteers, while medical officers previously commissioned under the Berry, Early Commissioning and Medical-Osteopathic Scholarship Programs are less likely to leave than both the aforementioned. The retention behavior of USUHS graduates was not evaluated due to the obligation under which these officers were serving in FY85. This could indicate the current mainstay of Navy physician recruitment/procurement, AFHPSP, concurrently poses a future retention problem if these medical officers continue to depart shortly after completing their obligated service.

Of the other significant variables, physicians were less likely to leave if they received an increase in military pay, were augmented into the regular Navy, had received aviation medicine training, or were a foreign medical

graduate. The following variables were not significant at the 10 percent level: race, gender, single, osteopath, marital status, and board certification. Physicians having undersea medicine qualifications were less likely to leave the Navy in Model Two and Three, but this factor was significant at the 10 percent level only in Model Three.

Several variables were omitted from the models due to correlation problems with the other independent variables. Each of these variables, in separate modified regressions, however, was statitically significant. Specifically, the higher a medical officer's GRADE, AGE and LOS, the less likely he was to leave the Navy in FY85. Similarly, aliens and naturalized citizens were also less likely to leave the service.

Based on the previous analysis, several recommendations are suggested in view of the low retention rate for military medical officer officers, the additional difficulty of maintaining the appropriate mix of physicians by specialty, and the desired alteration of the current Naval Medical Corps to a more surgically-oriented force. The first recommendation discusses the importance of increasing military pay for certain physician specialties. The second addresses the advantages of lengthening obligated service time for some Navy-sponsored residency training programs.

A major objective of this thesis was to analyze the relative effect of economic versus non-economic factors

in explaining retention. The correctly specified models verify the importance of economic variables. Table 47 indicated that the military-civilian pay variable had the highest elasticity with respect to retention. Indeed, one would find it difficult to discount the significance of military pay as a recruiting and retention factor when the average Navy medical officer in FY85 earned 64 percent of, or \$34,000 less than, the pay of his civilian peer. This large pay differential becomes even more significant when one notes that the two specialties paticularly essential to the concept of operational readiness, surgeons and hospital-based physicians, earn \$60,000 and \$73,000 less than their average non-military counterparts, respectively. To say that this pay differential makes recruitment of these two groups difficult would be to understate the problem dramatically. In addition, the majority of Navy surgeons and some hospital-based physicians were receiving the maximum amount of special incentive pay allowed under the Uniformed Services Health Professional Pay Act of 1980. In light of the aforementioned, a review of military pay for physicians, particularly surgeons and hospital-based specialists, is recommended.

The composition of any work force is the direct result of recruiting and retention efforts. The military-civilian pay differential makes the recruitment of volunteer surgeons and hospital-based physicians extraordinarily difficult.

The retention of career Navy physicians that remained for 20 years of service or more in FY85 was 134 or 3.4 percent of all medical officers remaining in service. The bulk of Navy physicians entering the service and remaining on active duty is composed of obligated AFHPSP medical officers and those who have similarly incurred an obligation to continue on active duty—primarily through Navy—sponsored residency programs.

Approximately 260 residencies are offered each year within Navy military hospitals. An additional 70 total residencies are sponsored through civilian facilities at any one time. Physician residencies essentially range from two to four years duration. A medical officer incurs a two year obligation upon completion of his military residency regardless of time spent in training. For civilian residencies, the obligation is year-for-year.

Increasing the obligated service time for example, to a year-for-year program might prove to be a cost-effective plan for retaining future specialists. General surgeons, for example, might be obligated an additional two to three years, anesthesiologists one year, radiologists one or two years, and pathologists two years. Although the "retention" of specialists through increased obligation would not affect the force for at least four years after enactment, consideration of extending obligation past the present two years for Navy-sponsored residencies may be feasible.

Of course, the cost of this plan in terms of its effect on recruiting would have to be evaluated. For it to be effective, physician supply would have to be fairly inelastic with respect to obligation time. However, it may be possible to offset any negative effects on recruiting with additional pay, especially bonuses in those areas of critical need.

This thesis began by presenting the current overall problem of retaining military physicians and the additional difficulties of altering the mix of medical officers to substantially increase the number, although not targeted, of surgeons and hospital-based doctors. Subsequent frequency and regression analysis suggested a number of significant factors which improved or lessened the probability of a physician remaining in the Naval service. For surgeons and hospital-based medical officers, particularly identified important in raising the level of combat readiness desired in Navy medicine, two policy recommendations--increasing military pay and lengthening obligated service Navy-sponsored residency programs--were presented. the reality of increasing military pay for surgeons hospital-based physicians by only half the estimated and shortfall with median civilian earnings involves FY85 annual increase of \$30,000 and \$36,000 respectively, an the importance of increasing obligated service time for Navy-sponsored residency programs may appear especially attractive. This lengthening of obligated service, together with an increase in the number of Navy-sponsored residency billets in these desired specialties, unfortunately, will not begin to alter the structure of the force for at least five and usually six years from enactment when these additional years of obligated service begin to be served by surgeons and hospital-based physicians.

APPENDIX A

PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY LENGTH-OF-SERVICE

co	UNT	I									
ROM	PCT	IEXEC	GMO	SURG	OBGYN	INTHED	PEDS	FAMPR	HOSPB	OTHER	ROW
COL	PCT	I									TOTAL
TOT	PCT	I 1.	I 2.	I 3.1	4.	1 5.	t 6.	I 7.	1 8.	9.1	
		-	•	-		-		•	•	I I	
		I 0									
										I 0.9 I	
								•		I 0.3 I	
										I 0.0 I	
		_	-	I				I	_		
	I.	-			-	-				I 19 I	
									I 4.8		
		I 0.0 I 0.0		1 4.2 I I 0.4 I						I 2.9 I I 0.4 I	
				I						1 0.4 1 II	
		I 0		I 42					I 46		
		I 0.0		I 9.2 1		1 6.6				1 7.9 I	
		I 0.0		I 10.3					I 9.3		
		I 0.0				1 0.7		I 0.7		I 0.8 I	
				I							
		I 0	-	I 32		I 50		•	I 46	•	
		I 0.0		I 7.7 1		1 12.0			I I1.0		
		I 0.0		1 7.9 I						I 6.6 I	
		I 0.0		I 0.7 1			0.5			I 1.0 I	
			•	I						II	
	4.			I 32 1						-	
		I 0.5		I 8.6 1		1 10.8				I 17.3 I	8.5
		I 1.2		I 7.9 1		9.4				I 9.9 I	
		I 0.0	I 2.7	I 0.7 1		0.9	0.4		I I.2	I I.5 I	
	_	I	I	I1		[I	I	II	
	5.	I 2	1 83	I 44 1	15	31	28	I 26	I 54	I 50 I	333
		I 0.6	1 24.9	I 13.2 I	4.5	9.3	8.4	1 7.8	1 16.2	I 15.0 I	7.6
		I 1.2	I 5.6	1 10.8 1	7.2	7.3	11.7	I 8.6	1 10.9	I 7.7 I	
		I 0.0	I I.9	1 1.0 1	0.3	0.7	0.6	1 0.6	1.2	1 1.1 I	
	-	I	I	I1	::	[·	I	·	II	
	6.	I 0	I 52	1 44 1	15	49	24	I 42	I 40	I 49 I	315
		I 0.0	I 16.5	1 14.0 1	4.8	15.6	7.6	1 13.3	1 12.7	I 15.6 1	7.2
		I 0.0	I 3.5	1 10.8 1	7.2	11.5	10.0	I 13.9	8.1	I 7.6 I	
		I 0.0	I I.2	I I.O 1	0.3	1.1	0.5	I 1.0	0.9	1.1 1	
		I	I	I I		[]		I		II	
	7.			I 31 I						I 54 I	278
				1 11.2 1		14.0				I 19.4 I	6.4
		1 2.4		I 7.6 I						8.3 I	
				I 0.7 I	• • • •				0.9		
		I	-	II						[I	
	8.			38 1							252
				I 15.1 1					16.7		5.8
				9.3 1							
			I 0.8 1		• • • • •					1.2 I	
		•	I	•		[]				II	1.20
	9.										170
				1 11.2 1						22.4 I	3.9
		I 2.4 I		1 4.7 1						5.9 I	
		i U.I	I 0.7	I 0.4 I	0.2	0.5 1	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.9 1	

COUNT ROW PCT		GMO	SURG	08GYN	INTMED	PEDS	FAMPR	HOSP8	DIHER	ROM
COL PCT							, , , , , ,	7.00. 0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	TOTAL
								1 8.		I
10.					-	-	•	II		•
	I 1.4	I 20.3	7.2	I 3.6	I 10.I	1 9.4	I 5.8	I 19.6 1	22.5	I 3.2
	I 1.2	I 1.9	1 2.5	1 2.4	I 3.3	1 5.4	I 2.6	1 5.4 1	4.8	I
			I 0.2 I					I 0.6 1		-
11.	I 6	1 28	8 1	I 5	I 16	I 13	1 5	ı II I	19	I 1I1
			1 7.2			I I1.7	-			I 2.5
		I 1.9 I	I 2.0 I 0.2			I 5.4 I 0.3				•
				I	I	I	I	I	·	I
	I 8				-					
			9.7					1 13.9		I 1.6
			I I.7 I 0.2		I 1.2					I
								I 0.2 1		
13.	I 8	1 9	9	I 4	1 12	I 8	I 9	I 12	29	I 100
	I 8.0	9.0	9.0	I 4.0	1 12.0	1 8.0	I 9.0	1 12.0	29.0	I 2.3
	I 4.8	I 0.6	2.2	I I.9	1 2.8	I 3.3	I 3.0	1 2.4	4.5	I
	I 0.2	I 0.2	0.2 			I 0.2		I 0.3 1		-
14.	-	•		_		-	_	-		-
	I 10.8	1 2.0	9.8	I 4.9	14.7	I 9.8	1 8.8	I 15.7	23.5	I 2.3
	1 6.5	I 0.I	2.5	1 2.4	3.5	1 4.2	I 3.0	I 3.2 1	3.7	I
	1 0.3							I 0.4 I		-
15.	-			-		-				I 71
	I 12.7	I 9.9 1	8.5	I 7.0	7.0	I 9.9	I 9.9	I II.3 1	23.9	I I.6
	I 5.4 I 0.2		1.5				I 2.3 I 0.2		_	I
							I	I		
16.		I 9 1								I 7I
	_	1 12.7 1				1 4.2		I 11.3 1		I 1.6
	-	1 0.6					1 1.7			
	I 0.2						-	I 0.2 1		-
17.	I IO	I 3 1	. 9 :	1 2	9	1 2	1 4	I 8 1	16	I 63
	I I5.9	1 4.8	14.3	I 3.2	14.3	I 3.2	I 6.3	I 12.7	25.4	I I.4
	I 6.0	I 0.2 1	2.2					I I.6 1		I
			0.2					I 0.2 1		-
18.	I IO .	1 4 1	6	5	ı ı	I 3	I 0	I 5 1	1 13	I 47
	I 21.3								-	I I.1
	I 6.0				I 0.2 I 0.0					I
-	I 0.2							I		
	I 10			I 3				I 4 1		
		I I.8				1 10.9	I 5.5 I I.0	I 7.3 1		
	I 6.0 I 0.2		1.7							
	1	I	(1		
	I 14									
		1 7.3					1 0.0 I 0.0	I 9.8 I		
	I 8.3 . I 0.3			I I.4 : I 0.I :						
	I	I		I	r	I -		1		
TOTAL	168 3.8	1482 33.9	407 9.3	208 4.8	425 9.7	240 5.5		496 Il.3	649 14.8	
TUTAL	3.8	33.7	7.3	7.0	7.1	3.3	3.7			

COUNT	I									
ROM PCT	IEXEC	GHO	SURG	OBGYN	INTHED	PEDS	FAMPR	HOSPB	OTHER	ROW
COL PCT	I									TOTAL
TOT PCT	-								-	
	I	-	-				•	_	II	
21.		_	1 7			•	•	•	1 5 1	
									1 13.9 1	
							- '		1 0.8 1	
									I 0.I I	
	1 3		I I			1 1 3		-	I 5 1	
	I 13.6	1 9.1	1 4.5	18.2	9.1	I 13.6	I 0.0	1 9.1	1 22.7 1	0.5
	I I.8	I 0.I	I 0.2						I 0.8 1	
			I 0.0		I 0.0			I 0.0		
-									I	i I
23.	I 7	I I	I 0		1 1	ı ı	I C	1 2	I 6 1	I I 9
	1 36.8	1 5.3	0.0	5.3	5.3	1 5.3	I 0.0	1 10.5	I 31.6 1	0.4
	4.2	I 0.1	0.0	0.5	0.2	0.4	1 0.0	1 0.4	I 0.9 1	1
	I 0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 0.0	1 0.0	I 0.I I	i
-	I	I	r	[]	I	I	I	I	II	i
24.	I 5	I 0 :	1 0	2 1	3	t c	1 0	1 0	I 3 1	13
	I 38.5	I 0.0	0.0	15.4	23.1	0.0	1 0.0	0.0	I 23.1 1	0.3
	I 3.0	1 0.0	0.0	1.0	0.7	0.0	1 0.0	0.0	I 0.5 1	1
	I 0.I	1 0.0	0.0	0.0 1	0.1	0.0	I 0.0	0.0	I 0.1 I	ı
-	I	I		[]		[I	I	11	
25.	I 6		1 1	0 1	1 1	0	I 0 :	ıı	I 3 I	12
	1 50.0	0.0	8.3	0.0 1	8.3	0.0	1 0.0	8.3	I 25.0 I	0.3
	I 3.6	0.0	0.2	0.0 1	0.2	0.0	I 0.0	0.2	1 0.5 1	
	I 0.I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 0.0	0.0	I 0.1 I	i
	I	•				t	•		•	
26.			. 0				1 0	-		
			0.0						I 7.7 I	
									I 0.2 1	
	I 0.1		0.0						I 0.0 I I	
	•	2					-	-	: I 4 I	
	I 36.8	1 10.5	10.5	5.3	5.3	5.3	I 0.0	5.3	I 21.I I	0.4
	I 4.2		0.5	0.5	0.2	E 0.4	I 0.0	0.2	I 0.6 I	
	I 0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0 1	0.0	0.0	1 0.0	0.0	1 0.1 1	
	I	[[]				I	·	II	
28.	I 5 1						1 0 1		1 1 1	
	1 50.0		10.0	10.0 1	10.0 1	0.0	1 0.0	10.0	1 10.0 1	0.2
		0.0		•					I 0.2 I	
	I C.I						I 0.0 1			
29.	-					·			II I 2 I	
		0.0 1					1 0.0			
	1 1.8			-			I 0.0 1		I 0.3 I	
	1 0.1						I 0.0 1			

COUNT	I									
ROM PCT		GMO	SURG	OBGYN	INTMED	PEDS	FAMPR	HOSPB	OTHER	R014
COL PCT					_					TOTAL
TOT PCT	I I.	I 2.1	1 3.1	4.	1 5.	I 6.	I 7.1	8.	9.1	
	-	1	1		I	1	I1		I I	
30.	-	I 0 1				1 0	I 0 1	0	1 1	6
			33.3	0.0	1 0.0	0.0	I 0.0 1	0.0	1 16.7 1	0.1
	•		0.5			0.0	I 0.0 I	0.0	1 0.2 1	
		1 0.0 1					I 0.0 I			
	1				•	-	•		•	
• • • •	-	I 0 1			-		I 0 1		1 0 1	
		I 0.0 1					I 0.0 1		I 0.0 I	
		I 0.0 1					1 0.0 1		I 0.0 I	
	I						I 0.0 I			
	-	I 0 1					I O I		0 1	
	I 100.0	I 0.0 1	0.0 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	I 0.0 1	0.0	I 0.0 I	0.0
	I 0.6	I 0.0 1	0.0 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	I 0.0 1	0.0	I 0.0 I	
	I 0.0	1 0.0 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	I 0.0 1	0.0	1 0.0 I	
-	1	11	1				11		[I	
33.	I 0	1 0 1	0 1	1	0	0	I 0 1	0	1 0 1	I
	I 0.0	1 0.0 1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	1 0.0 1	0.0	1 0.0 1	0.0
	1 0.0	1 0.0 1	0.0 1	0.5	0.0	0.0	1 0.0 1	0.0	1 0.C I	
	1 0.0	I 0.0 1	0.0 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 0.0 1	0.0	I 0.0 I	
-	1	11	[]		[[I1		II	
38.	1 0	I I I	0 1	0 :	0 1	0 1	I 0 1	0 :	1 0 1	I
	I 0.0	I 100.0 I	0.0 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	I 0.0 1	0.0	1 0.0 1	0.0
	I 0.0	1 0.1 1	0.0 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	I 0.0 1	0.0	1 0.0 1	
		I 0.0 1					I 0.0 I		I 0.0 I	
	I 0	•	[I 0	I I 0	I 0 1	•	I 1 I	
	I 0.0	I 0.0	0.0	0.0	1 0.0	0.0	I 0.0 1	0.0	I 100.0 I	0.0
	1 0.0	1 0.0	0.0	0.0	I 0.0	0.0	1 0.0	0.0	1 0.2 1	
	-	•	0.0				I 0.0 1		1 0.0 1	
	•	I			•		•	-	I I	
COLUMN	168	1482	407	208	425	240	302	496	649	4377
TOTAL	3.8	33.9	9.3	4.8	9.7	5.5	6.9	11.3	14.8	100.0

NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 70

99

APPENDIX B
PHYSICIAN SPECIALTY BY AGE

COUNT	I									
ROH PCT	1EXEC	GMO	SURG	OBGYN	INTMED	PEDS	FAMPR	HOSP8	OTHER	ROH
COL PCT										TOTAL
TOT PCT	I I.									
24.		-				-	I 0	•		
	1 0.0		I 0.0		0.0			I 0.0		
	I 0.0	1 0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	I 0.0	0.0	I 0.0 1	0.0 1	1
	I 0.0		0.0		0.0			I 0.0		
	II		[[] L 0]				I: I 0		
			I 0.0		20.0				0.0	
									1 0.0 1	
	I 0.0	0.1	0.0	1 0.0 1	0.0	1 0.0		1 0.0		
	I 0 1	[: [86]				-	_	_		
			1 0.0		0.0			I 0.0		
				1 0.5				I 0.0		
	1 0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 0.0	0.0	1 0.0	0.0	ı
	I					-				
27.	•		I 0.0 1		_			I I I 0.6	I 3 1 I 1.7 1	
	I 0.0 1								I 0.5	
	I 0.0		0.0					I 0.0		-
-	I					I		I		Ī
28.	1 0 1	167	10	7 1	9 :	I 12	1 6	1 20	9 1	240
	I 0.0 1	69.6	4.2	2.9	3.8	I 5.0	1 2.5	1 8.3	3.8	5.7
	I 0.0 1	12.2	2.6	3.4	2.2	I 5.I	I 2.0	I 4.2	1.4	I
	I 0.0 1		[0.2] []					I 0.5	[0.2] []	
	I 0					-		I 20		
	I 0.0 1	58.3	6.5	4.9	5.9	I 5.5	7.2	1 6.5	5.2	7.3
	I 0.0	13.1	5.2	7.4	4.4	1 7.2	7.4	1 4.2	2.5	1
	I 0.0 1		· · · ·		0.4			1 3.5		
30.	II		29			I I 15		I: I 29		1
	1 0.0	41.0	9.0	4.6	11.4	1 4.6	I 10.2	9.0	10.2	7.7
	I 0.0 1	9.7	7.5	7.4	9.0	1 6.3	111.1	I 6.I	1 5.1 1	ľ
		3.2						I 0.#		
	I1 I 0 1		[]			1	-	1: I 47 I	[] [5]]	
	I 0.0 1					4.0		1 12.5		
1	I 0.0 1	11.1	4.4 1	9.9 1	8.0		13.5	9.8	7.9 1	
	I 0.0 1							1.1		
	1I			14 1		I: I I4			[] [47]	
	I 0.0 1							16.4		
	I 0.0 1									
	I 0.0 I	2.3 1	0.9 1	0.3 1	1.1	0.3	0.7	1.3 1	1.1 1	
	I1	- 1								
	I I 1 I 0.3 I			5.6 I						
				7.9 1						
		I.5 1					0.9			
-	I 1									
	I I			18 I					42 1	
				7.4 I						
				8.9 1						
	1 0.0 1	1.5 1	U.7 I	0.4 1	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.9	1.0 1	

COUNT	1									
ROW PCT	IEXEC	GMO	SURG	OBGYN	INTMED	PEDS	FAMPR	HOSPB	OTHER	ROH
COL PCT										TOTAL
	Ι Ι.									
									11	
35.	-									
			I 10.9		1 14.4				1 18.9 1	
				I 5.9 I 0.3					I 5.9 1	
									I 0.9 1	
	1 2			I II						
	1 1.2	1 20.2	I 8.3		1 12.5				I 19.6 1	
	I 1.2	I 2.5	I 3.6	1 5.4	I 5.1	3.4	I 6.I	I 5.6	I 5.1 1	
	1 0.0									•
								I	I1	l.
37.									I 32 I	
			1 11.4		I 12.9				I 22.9 I	
		1 1.3		1 4.9					1 5.0 I	
	I 0.1									
38.									I1 I 20 I	
		I 17.5							1 20 1 1 17.5 I	
				3.4					 . 3.1 I	
	1 0.0									
-	I	I 1	[[]	[]	1	([I1	
39.	1 2	1 16 1	20 1	10 1	1 16 1	9 1	7	1 21	26 1	127
:	1 1.6	1 12.6	1 15.7 1	7.9 1	12.6	7.1	5.5	1 16.5	20.5 I	3.0
:	1 1.2	1 1.2	5.2	4.9 1	3.9 1	3.8 1			4.0 1	
				0.2 1					1 0.6 1	
									[1	
40.			1 9 1 1 8.5 1			l 12 1 l 11. 3 1			I 29 I I 27.4 I	
				2.0					1 4.5 I	
	1 0.1									
41.	I 6 1	1 14 1	1 15 1	4 1	9 1	I I6 1	12	I 20	1 21 1	117
1	I 5.I	1 12.0	12.8 1	3.4 1	7.7 1	13.7	10.3	I 17.1	I 17.9 I	2.8
1	I 3.6	1.0	3.9 1	2.0 1	2.2	6.8	4.0	4.2	I 3.3 I	
		1 0.3							I 0.5 I	
									I I	
42.				6 1					I 29 I	
		15.9		5.6					I 27.1 I I 4.5 I	
	I 6.5 1									
	I									
	-	I 10						6	I 15 I	78
									1 19.2 I	
	1 4.2	0.7	2.8 1	1.5	3.1	3.0 1	2.0	1 1.3	1 2.3 I	
:	1 0.2	0.2	0.3	i 0.1 1	0.3 1	0.2 1	1.0	0.1	1 0.4 1	
	I		•			[]	-		11	
44.	-									
			8.5						1 28.2 1	
		1 1.0		1.0						
	1 0.2								I 0.5 1 II	
-	:			,,	,,					

COUNT										
ROW PCT		GMO	SURG	OSGYN	INTHED	PEDS	FAMPR	HOSP8		ROM
COL PCT	-									TOTAL
TOT PCT									1 9.1 11	
45.				5		I 10			- I 15 I	
	I 19.0	1 9.5	9.5	6.0	9.5	1 11.9	I 1.2	I 15.5	I 17.9 I	2.0
	I 9.5	1 0.6	2.1 1	2.5	1 1.9	1 4.2	I 0.3	I 2.7	1 2.3 I	
	I 0.4	1 0.2	0.2 1	0.1	0.2	0.2	1 0.0	1 0.3	0.4 1	
-	I	I	[]		I	ı	I	1	II	
46.	I 10	I 13	7 1	4	I 6	1 6	I 0	1 4	I 17 I	67
	1 14.9	1 19.4	1 10.4 1	6.0	9.0	9.0	1 0.0	I 6.0	I 25.4 I	1.6
	1 6.0	I 0.9	1.8 1	2.0	1.5	1 2.5	1 0.0	8.0 1	I 2.6 I	
	1 0.2					1 0.1			1 0.4 1	
47.	-	-					-	-	II I 14 I	
	I 9.1	I 9.1	12.7 1	7.3	3.6	9.1	1 1.8	I 21.8	I 25.5 I	1.3
	I 3.0	1 0.4	1.8 1	2.0	0.5	2.1	1 0.3	I 2.5	I 2.2 I	
	1 0.1	1 0.1	0.2 1	0.1	0.0	0.1	1 0.0	1 0.3	I 0.3 I	
	-		[]				-	•	11	
48.	-		7 1		4					
	I 18.6					7.0			I 25.6 I I 1.7 I	
		I 0.4 1				1 1.3				
	I 0.2 1								I 0.3 I I I	
49.	-							-		
	1 22.6	7.5	9.4 1	7.5	11.3	5.7	1 2.8	I I5.1	I 17.0 I	1.3
	I 7.1	1 0.3 1	1.3 1	2.0	1.5	1.3	0.7	1.7	I 1.4 I	
	1 0.3						0.0		I 0.2 I	
50.			3 1						I I I 13 I	50
	1 30.0					2.0			26.0 I	
	I 8.9 1	0.4	0.8 1	1.0 1	1.0	0.4	0.0	1 1.5	2.0 I	
	I 0.4 1	0.1	0.1 1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	I 0.2	I 0.3 I	
-	1	II	1	:	[I	I	I I	
51.	I 7 1	1 4 1	1 1	0 1	2 1	2 .	I 1	I 2	I 14 I	3.3
	I 21.2	1 12.1 1	3.0 I	0.0	6.1	6.1	3.0	I 6.1	1 42.4 I	8.0
	I 4.2 1	0.3 1	0.3 1	0.0	0.5		1 0.3	1 0.4	2.2 I	
	I 0.2 1		0.0 I			0.0			1 0.3 I	
52.									_	32
	1 21.9 1	18.8 1	12.5 I	3.1 1	12.5	3.1	3.1	18.8	6.3 I	0.8
:	1 4.2 1	0.4 1	1.0 I	0.5 1	1.0 1	0.4	0.3	1.3	0.3 1	
	1 0.2 1								0.0 I	
	-		_						I	
	I 6 1								_	24
	I 25.0 1									0.6
	I 3.6 1							0.8		
	I 0.1 1		0.1 I							
54.										33
	30.3	9.1 1	12.1 I	3.0 I	6.1 1	3.0	3.0	9.1 1	24.2 I	0.8
	1 6.0 1	0.2 1		0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.6	1.2 I	
	1 0.2 1	0.1 1	0.1 I	0.0	0.0 1	0.0	0.0	1 0.1	0.2 1	

COUNT	I									
ROW PCT	1EXEC	GMO	SURG	OBGYN	INTMED	PEDS	FAMPR	HOSPB	OTHER	ROH
COL PCT										TOTAL
TOT PCT		I 2.	1 3.	I 4.	I 5.	I 6.	I 7.	I 8	. 1 9	
55.		I 0							· I	
22.		-	I 3 I 15.8	_						-
						I 0.0		I 5.3 I 0.2		1 0.5 I
						1 0.0			I 1.2	-
	I								1 0.2	-
56.	I 5	I 3	I 2		- I 0			I 2	I 1	
	I 33.3	I 20.0	I 13.3		-	_		I 13.3		I 0.4
	I 3.0	I 0.2	I 0.5	1 0.5					I 0.2	
	I 0.1	I 0.I	I 0.0	0.0	I 0.0	I 0.0				1
-	I	1	I	I	I	1	1	1	I	I
57.	I 5	I 1	I 5	. 0	1 2	I 0	I 0	I 2	I 2	1 17
	I 29.4	I 5.9	I 29.4	0.0	11.8	I 0.0	1 0.0	1 11.8	1 11.8	1 0.4
			1 1.3			0.0	1 0.0	1 0.4	1 0.3	1
			I 0.1			I 0.0			0.0	
								1	1	1
			I 3			-		I 2	I 1	
			1 18.8					1 18.8		I 0.4
			1 0.8							I
	I 0.1		1 9.1	[0.0]				I 0.I		I
	I 0	_	1 2			-	-	-	I I	I I 6
	I 0.0		I 33.3							I 0.I
			I 0.5							I 0.1
	I 0.0		. 0.0				I 0.0		I 0.0	-
-	I						I		I	
61.	1 1	. 0	1 1	I I	. 0	1 0	I O	I I	I 4	I 6
	1 12.5	0.0	12.5	12.5	0.0	1 0.0	0.0	1 12.5	I 50.0	I 0.2
	1 0.6	0.0	0.3 1	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1 0.2	I 0.6	I
	1 0.0	0.0	0.0 1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	I 0.1	I
-:									I	I
62.	1 1 1	. 0	. 0 1	. 0 1	1 1	I O	. 0		I I	I 4
			0.0						I 25.0	
	I 0.6 1		0.0 1							I
	I 0.0 1					I 0.0			I 0.0	•
	I 0 1		[]					-	-	I I 1
		100.0								I 0.0
			0.0							1
	0.0		0.0				. 0.0		I 0.0	
-1	[]								I	
65.	. 0 1	1 0 1	. 0 1	0 1	1	. 0	. 0	1 1	I 0	1 2
1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 1	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	I 0.0	1 0.0
1	0.0	0.0	0.0 I	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	I 0.0	I
1	0.0	0.0	0.0 1	0.0 1	0.0	1 0.0	0.0	0.0	I 0.0	I
	[]								I	-
67.									-	
		1 100.0							-	
	0.0 1		0.0 1			0.0				I
	0.0 1									I T
COLUMN -	[I	1369	388	203	413	237	297	479	643	4197
TOTAL	4.0	32.6	9.2	4.8	9.8	5.6		11.4		100.0
	7.0	52.4	,	4.0	, 10	2.0				

NUMBER OF MISSING OBSERVATIONS = 250

APPENDIX C

CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS FOR CONSTRUCTED VARIABLES

VARIABLE	н	MEAN	STD DEV	SUM	нініним	MAXIMUM
LINCR	4447	-0.52407663	0.24416728	-2330.5687776	-1.33805943	0.11453950
EXEC	4447	0.03777828	0.19068103	168.0000000	0	1.00000000
GMO	4447	0.33505734	0.47206359	1490.0000000	o	1.00000000
SURG	4447	0.09174725	0.28870128	408.0000000	o	1.00000000
OBGYN	4447	0.04677311	0.21117625	208.0000000	0	1.00000000
INTMED	4447	0.09579492	0.29434288	426.0000000	0	1.00000000
PEDS	4447	0.05396897	0.22598186	240.0000000	0	1.00000000
FAMPR	4447	0.06858556	0.25277649	305.0000000	0	1.00000000
HOSP8	4447	0.11221048	0.31566073	499.0000000	0	1.00000000
OTHER	4447	0.14639083	0.35353735	651.0000000	0	1.00000000
VOL	4447	0.22756915	0.41931011	1012.0000000	0	1.00000000
PRIOR	4447	0.13897009	0.34595422	618.0000000	0	1.00000000
AFHPSP	4447	0.58758714	0.49232408	2613.0000000	0	1.0000000
REGULAR	4447	0.34472678	0.47533255	1533.0000000	0	1.00000000
OSTEO	4447	0.05756690	0.23294882	256.0000000	0	1.00000000
NOCAUC	4447	0.24128626	0.42791165	1073.000000	0	1.00000000
SINGLE	4447	0.40701597	0.49133314	1810.0000000	0	1.00000000
FEMALE	4447	0.11041151	0.31343724	491.0000000	0	1.00000000
FLIGHT	4447	0.14076906	0.34782228	626.0000000	0	1.00000000
UNDER	4447	0.04362492	0.20428208	194.0000000	0	1.00000000
8DCERT	4447	0.32628738	0.46890657	1451.0000000	0	1.00000000
FMGRAD	4447	0.06296380	0.24292515	280.0000000	0	1.00000000
ELRET	4429	0.04402800	0.20518050	195.0000000	o	1.00000000
GRADE	4445	3.91383577	1.01407723	17397.0000000	3.0000000	9.00000000
Los	4429	6.74396026	5.99151860	29869.0000000	0	39.00000000
AGE	4248	35.67396422	7.44390276	151543.0000000	24.00000000	67.00000000
HOTCIT	4447	0.05869125	0.23507236	261.0000000	0	1.00000000
LEAVE	4447	0.11063638	0.31371660	492.0000000	0	1.00000000

CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS / PROB > IR! UNDER HO:RHO*O / NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS

1 11/05	
LINCR 0.0000 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0002 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001	
3.001	9760 -0.35739
	0001 0.0001 4447 4447
	4447
EXEC 0.23532 1.00000 -0.14065 -0.06298 -0.04389 -0.06449 -0.04733 -0.05377 -0.07044 -0.08206 0.07812 0.2	3067 -0.22214
EXEC 0.0001 0.0000 0.0001 0.0001 0.0034 0.0001 0.0016 0.0003 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.	0.001
4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447	4447 4447
GMO 0.21189 = 0.14065 1.00000 = 0.22561 = 0.15724 = 0.23105 = 0.16955 = 0.19262 = 0.25237 = 0.29396 = 0.13872 = 0.25237	
	2596 0.22307 0001 0.0001
4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447	4447 4447
	3896 -0.05971
ALLA CALLES CALL	0.0001
4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447	4447 4447
OBGYN -0.26015 -0.04389 -0.15724 -0.07040 1.00000 -0.07210 -0.05291 -0.06011 -0.07875 -0.09173 -0.02625 0.0	1568 0.01900
	2957 0.2053
4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447	4447 4447
	2164 -0.00048
	1490 0.9742
4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447	4447 4447
PEDS 0.16155 -0.04733 -0.16955 -0.07591 -0.05291 -0.07774 1.00000 -0.06481 -0.08491 -0.09891 0.02939 0.0	5940 -0.06069
PEDS 0.0001 0.0016 0.0001 0.0001 0.0004 0.0001 0.0000 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0500 0.	0001 0.0001
4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447	4447 4447
FAMPR 0.17343 -0.05377 -0.19262 -0.08625 -0.06011 -0.08832 -0.06481 1.00000 -0.09647 -0.11238 -0.05604 -0.0 FAMPR 0.0001 0.0003 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0002 0.	1900 0.07010 2053 0.0001
4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447	4447 4447
HOSP8 -0.51265 -0.07044 -0.25237 -0.11299 -0.07875 -0.11572 -0.08491 -0.09647 1.00000 -0.14723 0.03134 0.0	0341 -0.01188
	8203 0.4285
4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447	4447 4447
OTHER 0.08356 -0.08206 -0.29396 -0.13162 -0.09173 -0.13479 -0.09891 -0.11238 -0.14723 1.00000 0.07564 0.0	8741 -0.11697
	0001 0.0001
4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447	4447 4447
	1806 -0.64788
	0001 0.0001
4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447	4447 4447
PRIOR 0.29760 0.23067 -0.22596 0.03896 0.01568 0.02164 0.05940 -0.01900 0.00341 0.08741 -0.21806 1.0	0000 -0.47954
	0000 0.0001
4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447	4447 4447
4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447	
AFHPSP -0.35739 -0.22214 0.22307 -0.05971 0.01900 -0.00048 -0.06069 0.07010 -0.01188 -0.11697 -0.64788 -0.4	
AFHPSP -0.35739 -0.22214 0.22307 -0.05971 0.01900 -0.00048 -0.06069 0.07010 -0.01188 -0.11697 -0.64788 -0.4 AFHPSP 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.2053 0.9742 0.0001 0.0001 0.4285 0.0001 0.0001 0.	0001 0.0000
AFHPSP -0.35739 -0.22214 0.22307 -0.05971 0.01900 -0.00048 -0.06069 0.07010 -0.01188 -0.11697 -0.64788 -0.4 AFHPSP 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.2053 0.9742 0.0001 0.0001 0.4285 0.0001 0.0001 0.	
AFHPSP -0.35739 -0.22214 0.22307 -0.05971 0.01900 -0.00048 -0.06069 0.07010 -0.01188 -0.11697 -0.64788 -0.4 AFHPSP 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.2053 0.9742 0.0001 0.0001 0.4285 0.0001 0.0001 0.	0001 0.0000 4447 4447
AFHPSP -0.35739 -0.22214 0.22307 -0.05971 0.01900 -0.00048 -0.06069 0.07010 -0.01188 -0.11697 -0.64788 -0.4 AFHPSP 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.2053 0.9742 0.0001 0.0001 0.4285 0.0001 0.0001 0.44 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447 4447	0001 0.0000 4447 4447

CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS / PRO8 > IRI UNDER HO:RHO=0 / NUMBER OF OSSERVATIONS

	LINCR	EXEC	GMO	SURG	OBGYN	INTMED	PEDS	FAMPR	HOSPB	OTHER	VOL	PRIOR	AFHPSP
FLIGHT	0.16285	0.06562	0.15514	-0.05249	-0.06822	-0.07902	-0.08523	-0.06890	-0.03328	0.04456	0.09337	0.06169	-0.11536
FLIGHT	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0005	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0265	0.0030	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
	4447		4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447
UNDER	0.11102	0.07894	0.04431	-0.04118	-0.03688	-0.02837	-0.04614	-0.01875	-0.02361	0.04236	0.01799	0.08606	-0.07602
UNMED	0.0001	0.0001	0.0031	0.0060	0.0139	0.0585	0.0021	0.2112	0.1154	0.0047	0.2303	0.0001	0.0001
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447
BDCERT	0.23976		-0.44726		-0.02014	0.19067	0.10123	0.06543	0.06562				-0.37860
8DCERT	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.1793	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447
FMGRAD	0.06746	-0.00281	-0.05848	-0.02787	-0.00042	0.00999	0.04871	-0.03738	0.05450	0.01836	0.45770	-0.09076	-0.30565
FMGRAD	0.0001	0.8516	0.0001	0.0631	0.9775	0.5052	0.0012	0.0127	0.0003	0.2209	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447
ELRET	0.24415	0.34914	-0.13120	0.01174	0.03039	0.00108	-0.01248	-0.05805	-0.02037	0.02311	-0.00042	0.36816	-0.25223
ELRET	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.4349	0.0431	0.9429	0.4064	0.0001	0.1752	0.1241	0.9777	0.0001	0.0001
	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	. 4429	4429
GRADE	0.42167	0.39962	-0.45772	0.09387	0.01457	0.07892	0.06644	-0.03749	0.04146	0.18142	0.34577	0.50165	-0.60395
GRADE	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.3315	0.0001	0.0001	0.0124	0.0057	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
	4445	4445	4445	4445	4445	4445	4445	4445	4445	4445	4445	4445	4445
					7.175								
Los	0.47158		-0.40029	0.05521	0.03407	0.05001		-0.02058	0.03884	0.16286		0.65359	-0.57339
LOS	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0002	0.0234	0.0009	0.0046	0.1708	0.0097	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429
AGE	0.38457	0.34189	-0.35730	0.07800	0.01011	0.02089	0.02842	-0.05100	0.04971	0.14469	0.51350	0.35473	-0.65182
AGE	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.5101	0.1735	0.0640	0.0009	0.0012	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
	4248	4248	4248	4248	4248	4248	4248	4248	4248	4248	4248	4248	4248
HOTC1T	0.04732	-0.03442	-0.06577	-0.01308	-0.01000	-0.00001	0.06315	-0.03369	0.05369	0.03733	0.35507	-0.07542	-0.22809
NOTC1T	0.0016	0.0217	0.0001	0.3833	0.5048	0.9996	0.0001	0.0247	0.0003	0.0128	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447
LEAVE	0.09315	0.00907	-0.04078	-0.03760	0.03730	0.01430	-0.00810	0.02625	0.02451	-0.02844	0.07359	0.00337	-0.03800
LEAVE	0.0001	0.5452	0.0065	0.0122	0.0129	0.3405	0.5892	0.0800	0.1022	0.0579	0.0001	0.8222	0.0115
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447
OSTEO	6.01962	-0.00846	0.06192	-0.05180	0.00469	-0.02469	-0.02912	0.02079	-0.00834	-0.01494	0.01322	-0.03789	0.03643
OSTEO	0.1954	0.5726	0.0001	0.0005	0.7545	0.0999	0.0521	0.1658	0.5783	0.3187	0.3780	0.0115	0.0151
03720	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447
NOCAUC	-0.17039	-0.09796	0.24216	-0.07182	-0.00296	-0.02998	-0.02072	-0.04906	-0.02232	-0.11608	-0.05914	-0.21136	0.24184
NOCAUC	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.8438	0.0456	0.1671	0.0011	0.1368	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447
										0.0/01/	0 17/57	0.1/220	0.23940
SINGLE												0.0001	0.0001
SINGLE		0.0001	0.0001	0.2022	0.0001	0.9678	0.0341	0.0024	0.5048	0.0010			4447
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	494/
FEMALE	-0.08818	-0.05852	0.02506	-0.04983	0.05109	-0.00009	0.08733	-0.00760	0.00888	-0.04238	0.02441	-0.12494	0.04299
FEMALE	0.0001	0.0001	0.0947	0.0009	0.0007	0.9954	0.0001	0.6126	0.5540	0.0047	0.1036	0.0001	0.0041
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447

	REGULAR	OSTEO	NOCAUC	SINGLE	FEMALE	FLIGHT	UNDER	8DCERT	FMGRAD	ELRET	GRADE	LOS	AGE
													· ·
LINCR	0.41375	0.01942	-0.17039	-0.15760	-0.08818	0.16285	0.11102	0.23976	0.06746	0.24415	0.42167	0.47158	0.38457
LINCR	0.0001	0.1954	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4429	4445	4429	4248
EXEC	0.24092	-0.00846	-0.09796	-0.10654	-0.05852	0.06562	0.07894	0.19416	-0.00281	0.34914	0.39962	0.38393	0.34189
EXEC	0.0001	0.5726	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.8516	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.000;
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4429	4445	4429	4248
GMO	-0.24623	0.06182	0.24216	0.15084	0.02506	0.15514	0.04431	-0.44726	-0.05848	-0.13120	-0.45772	-0.40029	-0.35730
GMQ	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0947	0.0001	0.0031	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4429	4445	4429	4248
SURG	-0.02729	-0.05180	-0.07182	-0.01913	-0.04983	-0.05249	-0.04118	0.06127	-0.02787	0.01174	0.09387	0.05521	0.07800
SURG	0.0688	0.0005	0.0001	0.2022	0.0009	0.0005	0.0060	0.0001	0.0631	0.4349	0.0001	0.0002	0.0001
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4429	4445	4429	4248
OBGYN	-0.03295	0.00469	-0.00296	-0.05779	0.05109	-0.06822	-0.03688	-0.02014	-0.00042	0.03039	0.01457	0.03407	0.01011
CBGYN	0.0280	0.7545	0.8438	0.0001	0.0007	0.0001	0.0139	0.1793	0.9775	0.0431	0.3315	0.0234	0.5101
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4429	4445	4429	4 2 4 8
INTHED	0.01631	-0.02468	-0.02998	-0.00060	-0.00009	-0.07902	-0.02837	0.19067	0.00999	0.00108	0.07892	0.05001	0.02089
INTMED	0.2768	0.0999	0.0456	0.9678	0.9954	0.0001	0.0585	0.0001	0.5052	0.9429	0.0001	0.0009	0.1735
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4429	4445	4429	4248
PEDS	0.09269	-0.02912	-0.02072	-0.03177	0.08733	-0.08523	-0.04614	0.10123	0.04871	-0.01248	0.06644	0.04253	0.02842
PEDS	0.0001	0.0521	0.1671	0.0341	0.0001	0.0001	0.0021	0.0001	0.0012	0.4064	0.0001	0.0046	0.0640
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4429	4445	4429	4248
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4429	4445	4429	4248
FAMPR	0.00535			4447					4447 -0.03738				
FAMPR													
	0.00535	0.02079	-0.04906	-0.04553	-0.00760	-0.06890	-0.01875	0.06543	-0.03738	-0.05805	-0.03749	-0.02058	-0.05100
	0.00535	0.02079	-0.04906 0.0011	-0.04553 0.0024	-0.00760 0.6126	-0.06890 0.0001	-0.01875 0.2112	0.06543	-0.037 38 0.0127	-0.05805 0.0001	-0.03749 0.0124	-0.02058 0.1708	-0.05100
	0.00535 0.7218 4447	0.02079	-0.04906 0.0011 4447	-0.04553 0.0024 4447	-0.00760 0.6126 4447	-0.06890 0.0001 4447	-0.01875 0.2112	0.06543	-0.03738 0.0127 4447	-0.05805 0.0001	-0.03749 0.0124	-0.02058 0.1708 4429	-0.05100
FAMPR	0.00535 0.7218 4447	0.02079 0.1658 4447	-0.04906 0.0011 4447	-0.04553 0.0024 4447	-0.00760 0.6126 4447	-0.06890 0.0001 4447	-0.01 8 75 0.2112 4447	0.06543 0.0001 4447	-0.03738 0.0127 4447	-0.05805 0.0001 4429	-0.03749 0.0124 4445	-0.02058 0.1708 4429	-0.05100 0.0009 4248
FAMPR HOSP8	0.00535 0.7213 4447	0.02079 0.1658 4447	-0.04906 0.0011 4447	-0.04553 0.0024 4447 0.01001	-0.00760 0.6126 4447 0.00888	-0.06890 0.0001 4447	-0.01 87 5 0.2112 4447	0.06543 0.0001 4447	-0.03738 0.0127 4447	-0.05805 0.0001 4429 -0.02037	-0.03749 0.0124 4445 0.04146	-0.02058 0.1708 4429	-0.05100 0.0009 4248 0.04971
FAMPR HOSP8	0.00535 0.7213 4447 -0.00752 0.6160	0.02079 0.1658 4447 -0.00834 0.5783	-0.04906 0.0011 4447 -0.02232 0.1368	-0.04553 0.0024 4447 0.01001 0.5048	-0.00760 0.6126 4447 0.00888 0.5540	-0.06890 0.0001 4447 -0.03328 0.0265	-0.01875 0.2112 4447 -0.02361 0.1154	0.06543 0.0001 4447 0.06562 0.0001	-0.03738 0.0127 4447 0.05450 0.0003	-0.05805 0.0001 4429 -0.02037 0.1752	-0.03749 0.0124 4445 0.04146 0.0357	-0.02058 0.1708 4429 0.03884 0.0097	-0.05100 0.0009 4248 0.04971 0.0012
FAMPR HOSP8	0.00535 0.7213 4447 -0.00752 0.6160 4447	0.02079 0.1658 4447 -0.00834 0.5783 4447	-0.04906 0.0011 4447 -0.02232 0.1368 4447	-0.04553 0.0024 4447 0.01001 0.5048	-0.00760 0.6126 4447 0.00888 0.5540 4447	-0.06890 0.0001 4447 -0.03328 0.0265	-0.01875 0.2112 4447 -0.02361 0.1154 4447	0.06543 0.0001 4447 0.06562 0.0001	-0.03738 0.0127 4447 0.05450 0.0003 4447	-0.05805 0.0001 4429 -0.02037 0.1752 4429	-0.03749 0.0124 4445 0.04146 0.0357	-0.02058 0.1708 4429 0.03884 0.0097 4429	-0.05100 0.0009 4248 0.04971 0.0012 4248
HOSP8	0.00535 0.7213 4447 -0.00752 0.6160 4447	0.02079 0.1658 4447 -0.00834 0.5783 4447	-0.04906 0.0011 4447 -0.02232 0.1368 4447	-0.04553 0.0024 4447 0.01001 0.5048 4447	-0.00760 0.6126 4447 0.00888 0.5540 4447	-0.06890 0.0001 4447 -0.03328 0.0265 4447	-0.01875 0.2112 4447 -0.02361 0.1154 4447	0.06543 0.0001 4447 0.06562 0.0001 4447	-0.03738 0.0127 4447 0.05450 0.0003 4447	-0.05805 0.0001 4429 -0.02037 0.1752 4429	-0.03749 0.0124 4445 0.04146 0.0357 4445	-0.02058 0.1708 4429 0.03884 0.0097 4429	-0.05100 0.0009 4248 0.04971 0.0012 4248
HOSP8 HOSP8	0.00535 0.7213 4447 -0.00752 0.6160 4447	0.02079 0.1658 4447 -0.00834 0.5783 4447	-0.04906 0.0011 4447 -0.02232 0.1368 4447	-0.04553 0.0024 4447 0.01001 0.5048 4447	-0.00760 0.6126 4447 0.00888 0.5540 4447	-0.06890 0.0001 4447 -0.03328 0.0265 4447	-0.01875 0.2112 4447 -0.02361 0.1154 4447	0.06543 0.0001 4447 0.06562 0.0001 4447	-0.03738 0.0127 4447 0.05450 0.0003 4447	-0.05805 0.0001 4429 -0.02037 0.1752 4429	-0.03749 0.0124 4445 0.04146 0.0357 4445	-0.02058 0.1708 4429 0.03884 0.0097 4429	-0.05100 0.0009 4248 0.04971 0.0012 4248
HOSP8 HOSP8	0.00535 0.7213 4447 -0.00752 0.6160 4447 0.16541 0.0001	0.02079 0.1658 4447 -0.00834 0.5783 4447 -0.01496 0.3187	-0.04906 0.0011 4447 -0.02232 0.1368 4447 -0.11608 0.0001	-0.04553 0.0024 4447 0.01001 0.5048 4447 -0.04916 0.0010	-0.00760 0.6126 4447 0.00888 0.5540 4447 -0.04238 0.0047	-0.06890 0.0001 4447 -0.03328 0.0265 4447 0.04456 0.0030	-0.01875 0.2112 4447 -0.02361 0.1154 4447 0.04236 0.0047	0.06543 0.0001 4447 0.06562 0.0001 4447 0.12698 0.0001	-0.03738 0.0127 4447 0.05450 0.0003 4447 0.01836 0.2209	-0.05805 0.0001 4429 -0.02037 0.1752 4429 0.02311 0.1241	-0.03749 0.0124 4445 0.04146 0.0357 4445 0.18142 0.0001	-0.02058 0.1708 4429 0.03884 0.0097 4429 0.16286 0.0001	-0.05100 0.0009 4248 0.04971 0.0012 4248 0.14469 0.0001
HOSP8 HOSP8	0.00535 0.7213 4447 -0.00752 0.6160 4447 0.16541 0.0001	0.02079 0.1658 4447 -0.00834 0.5783 4447 -0.01496 0.3187 4447	-0.04906 0.0011 4447 -0.02232 0.1368 4447 -0.11608 0.0001 4447	-0.04553 0.0024 4447 0.01001 0.5048 4447 -0.04916 0.0010	-0.00760 0.6126 4447 0.00888 0.5540 4447 -0.04238 0.0047	-0.06890 0.0001 4447 -0.03328 0.0265 4447 0.04456 0.0030	-0.01875 0.2112 4447 -0.02361 0.1154 4447 0.04236 0.0047 4447	0.06543 0.0001 4447 0.06562 0.0001 4447 0.12698 0.0001	-0.03738 0.0127 4447 0.05450 0.0003 4447 0.01836 0.2209 4447	-0.05805 0.0001 4429 -0.02037 0.1752 4429 0.02311 0.1241	-0.03749 0.0124 4445 0.04146 0.0357 4445 0.18142 0.0001	-0.02058 0.1708 4429 0.03884 0.0097 4429 0.16286 0.0001	-0.05100 0.0009 4248 0.04971 0.0012 4248 0.14469 0.0001 4248
HOSP8 HOSP8 OTHER OTHER	0.00535 0.7213 4447 -0.00752 0.6160 4447 0.16541 0.0001	0.02079 0.1658 4447 -0.00834 0.5783 4447 -0.01496 0.3187 4447	-0.04906 0.0011 4447 -0.02232 0.1368 4447 -0.11608 0.0001 4447	-0.04553 0.0024 4447 0.01001 0.5048 4447 -0.04916 0.0010 4447	-0.00760 0.6126 4447 0.00888 0.5540 4447 -0.04238 0.0047 4447	-0.06890 0.0001 4447 -0.03328 0.0265 4447 0.04456 0.0030 4447	-0.01875 0.2112 4447 -0.02361 0.1154 4447 0.04236 0.0047 4447	0.06543 0.0001 4447 0.06562 0.0001 4447	-0.03738 0.0127 4447 0.05450 0.0003 4447 0.01836 0.2209 4447	-0.05805 0.0001 4429 -0.02037 0.1752 4429 0.02311 0.1241 4429	-0.03749 0.0124 4445 0.04146 0.0357 4445 0.18142 0.0001 4445	-0.02058 0.1708 4429 0.03884 0.0097 4429 0.16286 0.0001	-0.05100 0.0009 4248 0.04971 0.0012 4248 0.14469 0.0001 4248
HOSP8 HOSP8 OTHER OTHER	0.00535 0.7213 4447 -0.00752 0.6160 4447 0.16541 0.0001 4447	0.02079 9.1658 4447 -0.00834 0.5783 4447 -0.01496 0.3187 4447	-0.04906 0.0011 4447 -0.02232 0.1368 4447 -0.11608 0.0001 4447	-0.04553 0.0024 4447 0.01001 0.5048 4447 -0.04916 0.0010 4447	-0.00760 0.6126 4447 0.00888 0.5540 4447 -0.04238 0.0047 4447	-0.06090 0.0001 4447 -0.03328 0.0265 4447 0.04456 0.0030 4447	-0.01875 0.2112 4447 -0.02361 0.1154 4447 0.04236 0.0047 4447	0.06543 0.0001 4447 0.06562 0.0001 4447 0.12698 0.0001 4447	-0.03738 0.0127 4447 0.05450 0.0003 4447 0.01836 0.2209 4447	-0.05005 0.0001 4429 -0.02037 0.1752 4429 0.02311 0.1241 4429	-0.03749 0.0124 4445 0.04146 0.0357 4445 0.18142 0.0001 4445	-0.02058 0.1708 4429 0.03884 0.0097 4429 0.16286 0.0001 4429 0.11353	-0.05100 0.0009 4248 0.04971 0.0012 4248 0.14469 0.0001 4248
HOSP8 HOSP8 OTHER OTHER	0.00535 0.7213 4447 -0.00752 0.6160 4447 0.16541 0.0001 4447	0.02079 0.1658 4447 -0.00834 0.5783 4447 -0.01496 0.3187 4447 0.01322 0.3780	-0.04906 0.0011 4447 -0.02232 0.1368 4447 -0.11608 0.0001 4447	-0.04553 0.0024 4447 0.01001 0.5048 4447 -0.04916 0.0010 4447 -0.17457 0.0001	-0.00760 0.6126 4447 0.00888 0.5540 4447 -0.04238 0.0047 4447 0.02441 0.1036	-0.06090 0.0001 4447 -0.03328 0.0265 4447 0.0456 0.0030 4447 0.09337 0.0001	-0.01875 0.2112 4447 -0.02361 0.1154 4447 0.04236 0.0047 4447 0.01799 0.2303	0.06543 0.0001 4447 0.06562 0.0001 4447 0.12698 0.0001 4447	-0.03738 0.0127 4447 0.05450 0.0003 4447 0.01836 0.2209 4447 0.45770 0.0001	-0.05005 0.0001 4429 -0.02037 0.1752 4429 0.02311 0.1241 4429 -0.00042 0.9777	-0.03749 0.0124 4445 0.04146 0.0357 4445 0.18142 0.0001 4445	-0.02058 0.1708 4429 0.03884 0.0097 4429 0.16286 0.0001 4429 0.11353 0.0001	-0.05100 0.0009 4248 0.04971 0.0012 4248 0.14469 0.0001 4248
HOSP8 HOSP8 OTHER OTHER	0.00535 0.7213 4447 -0.00752 0.6160 4447 0.16541 0.0001 4447	0.02079 0.1658 4447 -0.00834 0.5783 4447 -0.01496 0.3187 4447 0.01322 0.3780 4447	-0.04906 0.0011 4447 -0.02232 0.1368 4447 -0.11608 0.0001 4447	-0.04553 0.0024 4447 0.01001 0.5048 4447 -0.04916 0.0010 4447 -0.17457 0.0001	-0.00760 0.6126 4447 0.00888 0.5540 4447 -0.04238 0.0047 4447 0.02441 0.1036 4447	-0.06890 0.0001 4447 -0.03328 0.0265 4447 0.04456 0.0030 4447 0.09337 0.0001	-0.01875 0.2112 4447 -0.02361 0.1154 4447 0.04236 0.0047 4447 0.01799 0.2303	0.06543 0.0001 4447 0.06562 0.0001 4447 0.12698 0.0001 4447	-0.03738 0.0127 4447 0.05450 0.0003 4447 0.01836 0.2209 4447 0.45770 0.0001	-0.05005 0.0001 4429 -0.02037 0.1752 4429 0.02311 0.1241 4429 -0.00042 0.9777 4429	-0.03749 0.0124 4445 0.04146 0.0357 4445 0.18142 0.0001 4445	-0.02058 0.1708 4429 0.03884 0.0097 4429 0.16286 0.0001 4429 0.11353 0.0001	-0.05100 0.0009 4248 0.04971 0.0012 4248 0.14469 0.0001 4248
HOSP8 HOSP8 OTHER OTHER VOL	0.00535 0.7213 4447 -0.00752 0.6160 4447 0.16541 0.0001 4447	0.02079 0.1658 4447 -0.00834 0.5783 4447 -0.01496 0.3187 4447 0.01322 0.3780 4447	-0.04906 0.0011 4447 -0.02232 0.1368 4447 -0.11608 0.0001 4447	-0.04553 0.0024 4447 0.01001 0.5048 4447 -0.04916 0.0010 4447 -0.17457 0.0001 4447	-0.00760 0.6126 4447 0.00888 0.5540 4447 -0.04238 0.0047 4447 0.02441 0.1036 4447	-0.06890 0.0001 4447 -0.03328 0.0265 4447 0.04456 0.0030 4447 0.09337 0.0001	-0.01875 0.2112 4447 -0.02361 0.1154 4447 0.04236 0.0047 4447 0.01799 0.2303 4447	0.06543 0.0001 4447 0.06562 0.0001 4447 0.12698 0.0001 4447	-0.03738 0.0127 4447 0.05450 0.0003 4447 0.01836 0.2209 4447 0.45770 0.0001	-0.05005 0.0001 4429 -0.02037 0.1752 4429 0.02311 0.1241 4429 -0.00042 0.9777 4429	-0.03749 0.0124 4445 0.04146 0.0357 4445 0.18142 0.0001 4445 0.34577 0.0001 4445	-0.02058 0.1708 4429 0.03884 0.0097 4429 0.16286 0.0001 4429 0.11353 0.0001	-0.05100 0.0009 4248 0.04971 0.0012 4248 0.14469 0.0001 4248 0.51350 0.0001 4248
HOSP8 HOSP8 OTHER OTHER VOL VOL	0.00535 0.7213 4447 -0.00752 0.6160 4447 0.16541 0.0001 4447 0.10285 0.0001 4447	0.02079 0.1658 4447 -0.00834 0.5783 4447 -0.01496 0.3187 4447 0.01322 0.3780 4447 -0.03789 0.0115	-0.04906 0.0011 4447 -0.02232 0.1368 4447 -0.11608 0.0001 4447 -0.05914 0.0001 4447	-0.04553 0.0024 4447 0.01001 0.5048 4447 -0.04916 0.0010 4447 -0.17457 0.0001 4447	-0.00760 0.6126 4447 0.00888 0.5540 4447 -0.04238 0.0047 4447 0.02441 0.1036 4447	-0.06890 0.0001 4447 -0.03328 0.0265 4447 0.04456 0.0030 4447 0.09337 0.0001 4447	-0.01875 0.2112 4447 -0.02361 0.1154 4447 0.04236 0.0047 4447 0.01799 0.2303 4447 0.08606 0.0001	0.06543 0.0001 4447 0.06562 0.0001 4447 0.12698 0.0001 4447 0.21254 0.0001 4447	-0.03738 0.0127 4447 0.05450 0.0003 4447 0.01836 0.2209 4447 0.45770 0.0001 4447	-0.05805 0.0001 4429 -0.02037 0.1752 4429 0.02311 0.1241 4429 -0.00042 0.9777 4429 0.36816 0.0001	-0.03749 0.0124 4445 0.04146 0.0357 4445 0.18142 0.0001 4445 0.34577 0.0001 4445	-0.02058 0.1708 4429 0.03884 0.0097 4429 0.16286 0.0001 4429 0.11353 0.0001 4429	-0.05100 0.0009 4248 0.04971 0.0012 4248 0.14469 0.0001 4248 0.51350 0.0001 4248
HOSP8 HOSP8 OTHER OTHER VOL VOL	0.00535 0.7213 4447 -0.00752 0.6160 4447 0.16541 0.0001 4447 0.10285 0.0001 4447 0.46772 0.0001	0.02079 0.1658 4447 -0.00834 0.5783 4447 -0.01496 0.3187 4447 0.01322 0.3780 4447 -0.03789 0.0115	-0.04906 0.0011 4447 -0.02232 0.1368 4447 -0.11608 0.0001 4447 -0.05914 0.0001 4447	-0.04553 0.0024 4447 0.01001 0.5048 4447 -0.04916 0.0010 4447 -0.17457 0.0001 4447	-0.00760 0.6126 4447 0.00888 0.5540 4447 -0.04238 0.0047 4447 0.02441 0.1036 4447 -0.12494 0.0001	-0.06890 0.0001 4447 -0.03328 0.0265 4447 0.04456 0.0030 4447 0.09337 0.0001 4447	-0.01875 0.2112 4447 -0.02361 0.1154 4447 0.04236 0.0047 4447 0.01799 0.2303 4447 0.08606 0.0001	0.06543 0.0001 4447 0.06562 0.0001 4447 0.12698 0.0001 4447 0.21254 0.0001 4447	-0.03738 0.0127 4447 0.05450 0.0003 4447 0.01836 0.2209 4447 0.45770 0.0001 4447	-0.05805 0.0001 4429 -0.02037 0.1752 4429 0.02311 0.1241 4429 -0.00042 0.9777 4429 0.36816 0.0001	-0.03749 0.0124 4445 0.04146 0.0357 4445 0.18142 0.0001 4445 0.34577 0.0001 4445	-0.02058 0.1708 4429 0.03884 0.0097 4429 0.16286 0.0001 4429 0.11353 0.0001 4429	-0.05100 0.0009 4248 0.04971 0.0012 4248 0.14469 0.0001 4248 0.51350 0.0001 4248
HOSP8 HOSP8 OTHER OTHER VOL VOL	0.00535 0.7213 4447 -0.00752 0.6160 4447 0.16541 0.0001 4447 0.10285 0.0001 4447 0.46772 0.0001	0.02079 9.1658 4447 -0.00834 0.5783 4447 -0.01496 0.3187 4447 0.01322 0.3780 4447 -0.03789 0.0115 4447	-0.04906 0.0011 4447 -0.02232 0.1368 4447 -0.11608 0.0001 4447 -0.05914 0.0001 4447	-0.04553 0.0024 4447 0.01001 0.5048 4447 -0.04916 0.0010 4447 -0.17457 0.0001 4447	-0.00760 0.6126 4447 0.00888 0.5540 4447 -0.04238 0.0047 4447 0.02441 0.1036 4447	-0.06090 0.0001 4447 -0.03328 0.0265 4447 0.0456 0.0030 4447 0.09337 0.0001 4447	-0.01875 0.2112 4447 -0.02361 0.1154 4447 0.04236 0.0047 4447 0.01799 0.2303 4447 0.08606 0.0001 4447	0.06543 0.0001 4447 0.06562 0.0001 4447 0.12698 0.0001 4447 0.34435 0.0001 4447	-0.03738 0.0127 4447 0.05450 0.0003 4447 0.01836 0.2209 4447 0.45770 0.0001 4447	-0.05805 0.0001 4429 -0.02037 0.1752 4429 0.02311 0.1241 4429 -0.00042 0.9777 4429 0.36816 0.0001 4429	-0.03749 0.0124 4445 0.04146 0.0357 4445 0.18142 0.0001 4445 0.50165 0.0001 4445	-0.02058 0.1708 4429 0.03884 0.0097 4429 0.16286 0.0001 4429 0.65359 0.0001 4429	-0.05100 0.0009 4248 0.04971 0.0012 4248 0.14469 0.0001 4248 0.51350 0.0001 4248 0.35473 0.0001 4248
HOSP8 HOSP8 OTHER OTHER VOL VOL PRICR PRIOR	0.00535 0.7213 4447 -0.00752 0.6160 4447 0.16541 0.0001 4447 0.10285 0.0001 4447	0.02079 9.1658 4447 -0.00834 0.5783 4447 -0.01496 0.3187 4447 0.01322 0.3780 4447 -0.03789 0.0115 4447	-0.04906 0.0011 4447 -0.02232 0.1368 4447 -0.11608 0.0001 4447 -0.05914 0.0001 4447	-0.04553 0.0024 4447 0.01001 0.5048 4447 -0.04916 0.0010 4447 -0.17457 0.0001 4447	-0.00760 0.6126 4447 0.00888 0.5540 4447 -0.04238 0.0047 4447 0.02441 0.1036 4447	-0.06090 0.0001 4447 -0.03328 0.0265 4447 0.0456 0.0030 4447 0.09337 0.0001 4447	-0.01875 0.2112 4447 -0.02361 0.1154 4447 0.04236 0.0047 4447 0.01799 0.2303 4447 0.08606 0.0001 4447	0.06543 0.0001 4447 0.06562 0.0001 4447 0.12698 0.0001 4447 0.34435 0.0001 4447	-0.03738 0.0127 4447 0.05450 0.0003 4447 0.01836 0.2209 4447 0.45770 0.0001 4447	-0.05805 0.0001 4429 -0.02037 0.1752 4429 0.02311 0.1241 4429 -0.00042 0.9777 4429 0.36816 0.0001 4429	-0.03749 0.0124 4445 0.04146 0.0357 4445 0.18142 0.0001 4445 0.34577 0.0001 4445	-0.02058 0.1708 4429 0.03884 0.0097 4429 0.16286 0.0001 4429 0.65359 0.0001 4429	-0.05100 0.0009 4248 0.04971 0.0012 4248 0.14469 0.0001 4248 0.51350 0.0001 4248 0.35473 0.0001 4248
HOSP8 HOSP8 OTHER OTHER VOL VOL PRIOR PRIOR	0.00535 0.7213 4447 -0.00752 0.6160 4447 0.16541 0.0001 4447 0.10285 0.0001 4447 0.46772 0.0001 4447	0.02079 9.1658 4447 -0.00834 0.5783 4447 -0.01496 0.3187 4447 0.01322 0.3780 4447 -0.03789 0.0115 4447	-0.04906 0.0011 4447 -0.02232 0.1368 4447 -0.11608 0.0001 4447 -0.21136 0.0001 4447 0.24184	-0.04553 0.0024 4447 0.01001 0.5048 4447 -0.04916 0.0010 4447 -0.17457 0.0001 4447 -0.14229 0.0001 4447	-0.00760 0.6126 4447 0.00888 0.5540 4447 -0.04238 0.0047 4447 0.02441 0.1036 4447 -0.12494 0.0001 4447	-0.06090 0.0001 4447 -0.03328 0.0265 4447 0.0456 0.0030 4447 0.09337 0.0001 4447 -0.06169 0.0001 4447	-0.01875 0.2112 4447 -0.02361 0.1154 4447 0.04236 0.0047 4447 0.01799 0.2303 4447 0.08606 0.0001 4447	0.06543 0.0001 4447 0.06562 0.0001 4447 0.12698 0.0001 4447 0.34435 0.0001 4447	-0.03738 0.0127 4447 0.05450 0.0003 4447 0.01836 0.2209 4447 0.45770 0.0001 4447 -0.09076 0.0001 4447	-0.05005 0.0001 4429 -0.02037 0.1752 4429 0.02311 0.1241 4429 -0.00042 0.9777 4429 0.36816 0.0001 4429 -0.25223 0.0001	-0.03749 0.0124 4445 0.04146 0.0357 4445 0.18142 0.0001 4445 0.50165 0.0001 4445	-0.02058 0.1708 4429 0.03884 0.0097 4429 0.16286 0.0001 4429 0.65359 0.0001 4429	-0.05100 0.0009 4248 0.04971 0.0012 4248 0.14469 0.0001 4248 0.51350 0.0001 4248 0.35473 0.0001 4248
HOSP8 HOSP8 OTHER OTHER VOL VOL PRIOR PRIOR	0.00535 0.7213 4447 -0.00752 0.6160 4447 0.16541 0.0001 4447 0.10285 0.0001 4447 0.46772 0.0001 4447	0.02079 0.1658 4447 -0.00834 0.5783 4447 -0.01496 0.3187 4447 0.01322 0.3780 4447 -0.03789 0.0115 4447 0.03643 0.0151	-0.04906 0.0011 4447 -0.02232 0.1368 4447 -0.11608 0.0001 4447 -0.21136 0.0001 4447 0.24184 0.0001	-0.04553 0.0024 4447 0.01001 0.5048 4447 -0.04916 0.0010 4447 -0.17457 0.0001 4447 -0.14229 0.0001 4447	-0.00760 0.6126 4447 0.00888 0.5540 4447 -0.04238 0.0047 4447 0.02441 0.1036 4447 -0.12494 0.0001 4447	-0.06090 0.0001 4447 -0.03328 0.0245 6.0.0330 4447 0.09337 0.0001 4447 -0.06169 0.0001 4447	-0.01875 0.2112 4447 -0.02361 0.1154 4447 0.04236 0.0047 4447 0.01799 0.2303 4447 0.08606 0.0001 4447	0.06543 0.0001 4447 0.06562 0.0001 4447 0.12698 0.0001 4447 0.34435 0.0001 4447	-0.03738 0.0127 4447 0.05450 0.0003 4447 0.01836 0.2209 4447 0.45770 0.0001 4447 -0.09076 0.0001 4447	-0.05005 0.0001 4429 -0.02037 0.1752 4429 0.02311 0.1241 4429 -0.00042 0.9777 4429 0.36816 0.0001 4429 -0.25223 0.0001	-0.03749 0.0124 4445 0.04146 0.0357 4445 0.18142 0.0001 4445 0.34577 0.0001 4445 0.50165 0.0001 4445	-0.02058 0.1708 4429 0.03884 0.0097 4429 0.16286 0.0001 4429 0.65359 0.0001 4429	-0.05100 0.0009 4248 0.04971 0.0012 4248 0.14469 0.0001 4248 0.51350 0.0001 4248 0.35473 0.0001 4248 -0.65182 0.0001
HOSP8 HOSP8 OTHER OTHER VOL VOL PRIOR PRIOR	0.00535 0.7213 4447 -0.00752 0.6160 4447 0.16541 0.0001 4447 0.46772 0.0001 4447 -0.52936 0.0001 4447	0.02079 0.1658 4447 -0.00834 0.5783 4447 -0.01496 0.3187 4447 0.01322 0.3780 4447 -0.03789 0.0115 4447	-0.04906 0.0011 4447 -0.02232 0.1368 4447 -0.11608 0.0001 4447 -0.05914 0.0001 4447 -0.21136 0.0001 4447 0.24184 0.0001 4447	-0.04553 0.0024 4447 0.01001 0.5048 4447 -0.04916 0.0010 4447 -0.17457 0.0001 4447 -0.14229 0.0001 4447	-0.00760 0.6126 4447 0.00888 0.5540 4447 -0.04238 0.0047 4447 0.02441 0.1036 4447 -0.12494 0.0001 4447 0.04299 0.0041 4447	-0.06890 0.0001 4447 -0.03328 0.0265 4447 0.0456 0.0030 4447 0.06169 0.0001 4447 -0.11536 0.0001 4447	-0.01875 0.2112 4447 -0.02361 0.1154 4447 0.04236 0.0047 4447 0.01799 0.2303 4447 0.08606 0.0001 4447	0.06543 0.0001 4447 0.06562 0.0001 4447 0.12698 0.0001 4447 0.21254 0.0001 4447 -0.37860 0.0001 4447	-0.03738 0.0127 4447 0.05450 0.0003 4447 0.01836 0.2209 4447 0.45770 0.0001 4447 -0.09076 0.0001 4447	-0.05805 0.0001 4429 -0.02037 0.1752 4429 0.02311 0.1241 4429 -0.00042 0.9777 4429 0.36816 0.0001 4429 -0.25223 0.0001 4429	-0.03749 0.0124 4445 0.04146 0.0357 4445 0.18142 0.0001 4445 0.34577 0.0001 4445 0.50165 0.0001 4445	-0.02058 0.1708 4429 0.03884 0.0097 4429 0.16286 0.0001 4429 0.11353 0.0001 4429 0.65359 0.0001 4429	-0.05100 0.0009 4248 0.04971 0.0012 4248 0.14469 0.0001 4248 0.51350 0.0001 4248 0.35473 0.0001 4248 -0.65182 0.0001 4248
HOSP8 HOSP8 OTHER OTHER VOL VOL PRIOR PRIOR AFHPSP	0.00535 0.7213 4447 -0.00752 0.6160 4447 0.16541 0.0001 4447 0.46772 0.0001 4447 -0.52936 0.0001 4447	0.02079 0.1658 4447 -0.00834 0.5783 4447 -0.01496 0.3187 4447 0.01322 0.3780 4447 -0.03789 0.0115 4447	-0.04906 0.0011 4447 -0.02232 0.1368 4447 -0.11608 0.0001 4447 -0.05914 0.0001 4447 -0.21136 0.0001 4447 0.24184 0.0001 4447	-0.04553 0.0024 4447 0.01001 0.5048 4447 -0.04916 0.0010 4447 -0.17457 0.0001 4447 -0.14229 0.0001 4447 0.23940 0.0001 4447	-0.00760 0.6126 4447 0.00888 0.5540 4447 -0.04238 0.0047 4447 0.02441 0.1036 4447 -0.12494 0.0001 4447 0.04299 0.0041 4447	-0.06890 0.0001 4447 -0.03328 0.0265 4447 0.0456 0.0030 4447 0.06169 0.0001 4447 -0.11536 0.0001 4447	-0.01875 0.2112 4447 -0.02361 0.1154 4447 0.04236 0.0047 4447 0.01799 0.2303 4447 0.08606 0.0001 4447	0.06543 0.0001 4447 0.06562 0.0001 4447 0.12698 0.0001 4447 0.21254 0.0001 4447 -0.37860 0.0001 4447	-0.03738 0.0127 4447 0.05450 0.0003 4447 0.01836 0.2209 4447 0.45770 0.0001 4447 -0.09076 0.0001 4447	-0.05805 0.0001 4429 -0.02037 0.1752 4429 0.02311 0.1241 4429 -0.00042 0.9777 4429 0.36816 0.0001 4429 -0.25223 0.0001 4429	-0.03749 0.0124 4445 0.04146 0.0357 4445 0.18142 0.0001 4445 0.34577 0.0001 4445	-0.02058 0.1708 4429 0.03884 0.0097 4429 0.16286 0.0001 4429 0.11353 0.0001 4429 0.65359 0.0001 4429	-0.05100 0.0009 4248 0.04971 0.0012 4248 0.14469 0.0001 4248 0.51350 0.0001 4248 0.35473 0.0001 4248 -0.65182 0.0001

CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS / PROS > IR! UNDER HO:RHO=0 / NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS

	REGULAR	OSTEO	NOCAUC	SINGLE	FEMALE	FL 1GHT	UNOER	BDCERT	FMGRAO	ELRET	GRADE	Los	AGE
AGE	0.45211	0.01421	-0.19119	-0.34701	-0.11196	0.09488	0.06216	0.46897	0.30378	0.44402	0.83502	0.73879	1.00000
AGE	0.0001		0.0001						0.0001	0.0001	0.0001		0.0000
	4248		4248			4248			4248		4247	4246	4248
NOTC1T	0.07453	-0.04528	0.12974	-0.09392	0.04940	-0.05155	-0.03928	0.05477	0.69151	-0.03472	0.14769	0.03089	0.24687
NOTC1T	0.0001	0.0025	0.0001	0.0001	0.0010	0.0006	0.0088	0.0003	0.0001	0.0208	0.0001	0.0398	0.0001
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4429	4445	4429	4248
				1.0									
LEAVE	-0.07784				-0.00989						0.09575		0.12208
LEAVE	0. 0 001		0.0001		0.5098	0°.1218 4447			0.0758		0:0001	0.0001	0.0001
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4429	4445	4429	4248
OSTEO	-0.04520	1.00000	-0.01979	-0.02790	-0.02854	0.03599	-0.00079	-0.04433	-0.06009	-0.04844	-0.02185	-0.03140	0.01421
OSTEO	0.0026	0.0000	0.1871	0.0629	0.0570	0.0164	0.9578	0.0031	0.0001	0.0013	0.1453	0.0366	0.3544
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4429	4445	4429	4248
NOCAUC	-0.26749	-0.01979	1.00000	0.03773	0.02604	-0.09376	-0.08442	-0.22655	0.09183	-0.10835	-0.28649	-0.34378	-0.19119
NOCAUC	0.0001	0.1871	0.0000	0.0119	0.0825	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4429	4445	4429	4248
SINGLE		-0.02790	0.03773	1.00000	0.17695		-0.01336						
SINGLE	0.0001	0.0629	0.0119	0.0000	0.0001	0.0047	0.3731	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4429	4445	4429	4248
FEMALE	-0.06682	-0.02854	0.02604	0.17695	1.00000	-0.04769	-0.05065	-0.07837	0.04456	-0.07578	-0.11657	-0.14012	-0.11196
FEMALE	0.0001	0.0570	0.082	0.0001	0.0000	0.0015	0.0007	0.0001	0.0030	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
	4447	4447	1447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4429	4445	4429	4248
FLIGHT	0.09958	0.03599	-0.67376	0.04239	-0.04769	1.00000	-0.08645	-0.03345	-0.01708	0.07461	0.10458	0.13848	0.09488
FL1GHT	0.0001	0.0164	0.0001	0.0047	0.0015	0.0000	0.0001	0.0257	0.2549	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4429	4445	4429	4248
UNOER		-0.00079					1.00000		-0.04177	0.10023	0.10069	0.10940	0.06216
UNMED	0.0001	0.9578	0.0001	0.3731	0.0007	0.0001	0.0000	0.6725	0.0053	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4429	4445	4429	4248
BDCERT	0 35199	-0.04433	-0 22455	-0 20559	-0 07937	-0 03365	0.00634	1.00000	0.05260	0.19724	0.59526	0.48762	0.46897
BDCERT	0.0001	0.0031	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0257	0.6725	0.0000	0.0004	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
DOCENT	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4429	4445	4429	4248
							·						_
FMGRAO	0.11585	-0.06009	0.09183	-0.11300	0.04456	-0.01708	-0.04177	0.05260	1.00000	-0.02409	0.18185	0.05617	0.30378
FMGRAO	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0030	0.2549	0.0053	0.0004	0.0000	0.1089	0.0001	0.0002	0.0001
	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4447	4429	4445	4429	4248
ELRET		-0.04844				0.07461	0.10023		-0.02409	1.00000	0.44132	0.61558	0.44402
ELRET	0.0001	0.0013	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.1089	0.0000	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4428	4429	4246
GRADE	0 57771	-0.02185	-0.204/9	-0.20175	0 11457	0.10458	0.10069	0.59526	0.18185	0.44132	1.00000	0.82662	0.83502
GRADE	0.0001	0.1453	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.10458	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0000	0.0001	0.0001
CHOL	4445	4445	4445	4445	4445	4445	4445	4445	4445	4428	4445	4428	4247
LOS	0.65465	-0.03140	-0.34378	-0.26484	-0.14012	0.13848	0.10940	0.48762	0.05617	0.61558	0.82662	1.00000	0.73879
LOS	0.0001	0.0366	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0002	0.0001	0.0001	0.0000	0.0001
	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4429	4428	4429	4246

				NOTCLT	LEAVE
	NOTC1T	LEAVE			
OSTEO	-0.04528	0.05441	L 1NCR	0.04732	0.09315
OSTEO	0.0025	0.0003	LINCR		0.0001
	4447	4447		4447	4447
HOCALIC	0.1207/	0.00073			
NOCAUC	0.12974	0.0001	EXEC	-0.03442	
HOUNG	4447	4447	EXEC	4447	0.5452
				117,	7777
SINGLE	-0.09392	-0.05144	GHO	-0.06577	-0.04078
SINGLE	0.0001	0.0006	GMO	0.0001	0.0065
	4447	4447		4447	4447
FEMALE	0.04940		SURG		-0.03760
FEMALE	0.0010		SURG	0.3833	
	4447	4447		4447	4447
FL1GHT	-0.05155	-0.02321	OBGYN	-0.01000	0 03730
FLIGHT	0.0006		OBGYN	0.5048	
	4447	4447	050111	4447	
UNDER	-0.03928	-0.00163	1NTME:	-0.00001	0.01430
UNMED	0.0088	0.9136	1NTME!	0.9996	0.3405
	4447	4447		4447	4447
BDCERT	0.05477		PEDS		-0.00810
BDCERT	0.0003	0.0001	PEDS		0.5892
	4447	4447		4447	4447
FMGRAD	0.69151	0.02663	FAMPR	-0.03369	0.02625
FMGRAD	0.0001	0.0758	FAMPR		0.0800
	4447	4447		4447	
ELRET	-0.03472	0.11754	HOSPB	0.05369	0.02451
ELRET	0.0208	0.0001	HOSPB	0.0003	0.1022
	4429	4429		4447	4447
GRADE	0.14769	0.09575	OTHER		-0.02844
GRADE	0.0001 4445	0.0001	OTHER	0.0128	0.0579
	4443	4445		4417	7477
LOS	0.03089	0.10008	VOL	0.35507	0.07359
Los	0.0398	0.0001	VOL	0.0001	0.0001
	4429	4429		4447	4447
AGE	0.24687	0.12208	PRIOR	-0.07542	
AGE	0.0001	0.0001	PRIOR	0.0001	
	4248	4248		4447	4447
MOTOLT	1 00000	0.03698	AFHPSI	-0 22909	-0.03800
NOTC1T		0.0137			0.0113
HOICII	4447		1 71 11 31	4447	
	4447	4111		7.41	1777
LEAVE	0.03698	1.00000	REGULA	AR 0.07453	-0.07784
LEAVE		0.0000	REGULA	AR 0.0001	0.0001
	4447	4447		4447	4447

LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. Smith, Paul, "DOD Wants to Replace CHAMPUS to Cut Costs," Navy Times, p. 1, 7 October 1985.
- Smith, Paul, "Mayer Says Medical Care Mix Changing, But Kin Protected," <u>Navy Times</u>, p. 3, 14 October 1985.
- 3. Smith, Paul, "Reports in 1984 Spurred Shift in Military Medicine," Navy Times, p. 35, 16 December 1985.
- 4. Bush, Ted, "Navy Seeks Better Pay for Pilots to Aid Retention," Navy Times, p. 2, 20 October 1986.
- 5. Burlage, John, "Nuclear-Trained May Get Sweeter Special Pays," Navy Times, p. 1, 3 November 1986.
- 6. Findyck, Robert S. and Rubinfeld, Daniel, <u>Econometric</u>
 <u>Models and Economic Forecasts</u>, 2nd ed., Chapter 10,
 New York: McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1985.
- 7. Daubert, Victoria L., <u>Retention of Volunteer Physicians</u>
 <u>in the U.S. Air Force</u>, p. v, Rand Corporation, February
 1985.
- 8. Mullins, Carol M., <u>Navy Medical Officer Retention</u>
 <u>Behavior</u>, p. vii, Navy Personnel Research and Development Center, December 1984.
- 9. Cain, Russell L., <u>Socio-economic and Personal Variables Effecting Retention of Medical Officers</u>, pp. 71-73, Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, October 1982.
- 1Ø. Menifee, James T., <u>Factors Affecting the Organization Commitment of Military Physicians</u>, pp. 76-79, Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, March 1984.
- 11. Hosek, Susan K., <u>Procurement of Air Force Physicians:</u>
 <u>Scholarship or Direct Recruiting</u>, p. v, Rand Corporation, July 1983.
- 12. Warner, John T. and Goldberg, Matthew S., "The Influence of Non-Pecuniary Factors on Labor Supply: The Case of Navy Enlisted Personnel," Review of Economics and Statistics, v. 66, pp. 26-35, 1984.

- 13. Bowman, William R., Economic Influences on Reenlistment:
 The Draft Era, pp. 12-43, Office of Naval Research,
 October 1982.
- 14. Owens, Arthur, "Earnings: Have They Flattened Out for Good?" Medical Economics, pp. 162-181, 8 September 1986.
- 15. Naval Military Personnel Command, NMPC-4 Instruction 1170.18, Compendium of Obligation Programs for Officers, Chapter III, March 1984.
- 16. Owens, Arthur, "How Much Is Board Certification Worth?" Medical Economics, pp. 59-66, 10 January 1983.
- 17. "FY85 Variable Housing Allowance Table," <u>Air Force Times</u>, p. 37, 14 October 1985.
- 18. Collins, Linda I., "A Survey of Hospital Salaries," Hospitals, pp. 80-96, 1 November 1984.
- 19. Holoweiko, Mark, "Those Hospital Specialties: Alive and Very Well," <u>Medical Economics</u>, pp. 148-171, 7 March 1983.
- 20. Harrell, Frank E., Jr., SUGI Supplemental Library User's Guide, Chapter 19, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, 1983.

INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

		No.	Copies
1.	Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Stattion Alexandria, Virginia 223Ø4-6145	,,,,,	2
2.	Library, Code Ø142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943-5002		2
3.	LCDR William P. Whalen, MSC Naval Medical Command (Code 44) 23rd and E Streets, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20372-5120		6
4.	Commander, Naval Medical Command MEDCOM-Ø5 23rd and E Streets, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20372-5120		2
5.	Commander, Naval Medical Command MEDCOM-44 23rd and E Streets, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20372-5120		5
6.	Commander, Naval Medical Command MEDCOM-54 23rd and E Streets, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20372-5120		3
7.	Commander, Naval Medical Command MEDCOM-512 23rd and E Streets, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20372-5120		5
8.	Commander, Naval Medical Command MEDCOM-5122 23rd and E Streets, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20372-5120		3
9.	Office of the Chief of Naval Operations CODE OP-931D Washington, D.C. 20350-2000		3

10.	Office of the Chief of Naval Operations CODE OP-93C Washington, D.C. 20350-2000	3
11.	Professor Stephen L. Mehay, Code 54Mp Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943-5000	5
12.	Dr. Kenneth J. Coffey 2126 Connecticut Ave. N.W. Washington, D.C. 20008	1









NAVAL POLICE OF SUSAS-BANG

Thesis W484295 Whalen

An analysis of factors affecting the retention of medical officers in the United States Navy.

